

ILLINOIS POLLUTION CONTROL BOARD

IN THE MATTER OF:)
)
PETITION OF SOUTHERN)
ILLINOIS POWER COOPERATIVE)
FOR AN ADJUSTED STANDARD) AS 21-6
FROM 35 ILL. ADMIN. CODE) (Adjusted Standard)
PART 845 OR, IN THE)
ALTERNATIVE, A FINDING OF)
INAPPLICABILITY)

DAY TWO -- JUNE 11, 2025

(Pages 221 - 478)

Proceedings held on June 11, 2025, commencing at
8:59 a.m., at the Market Street Hall, 310 North Market
Street, Marion, Illinois, before Carol Webb, Hearing
Officer.

Reported By: Karen Waugh, CSR, RPR
CSR License No: 084-003688

APPEARANCES

Board Staff Members present:

Essence Brown, Technical Unit

ARENTFOX SCHIFF LLP

BY: Ms. Sarah L. Lode
233 South Wacker Drive, Suite 7100
Chicago, IL 60606
On behalf of the Petitioner, SIPC

BY: Ms. Bina Joshi
233 South Wacker Drive, Suite 7100
Chicago, IL 60606
On behalf of the Petitioner, SIPC

ILLINOIS ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

BY: Mr. Gabriel H. Neibergall
Assistant Counsel
Division of Legal Counsel
1021 North Grand Avenue East
Springfield, IL 62794-9276
On behalf of the Illinois EPA

BY: Ms. Rebecca Strauss
Assistant Counsel
Division of Legal Counsel
1021 North Grand Avenue East
Springfield, IL 62794-9276
On behalf of the Illinois EPA

I N D E X

1		
2		
3	PUBLIC COMMENTS	PAGE
4	Bruce Mosby	225
5		
6	WITNESSES	
7	JASON MCLAURIN	
	Direct Examination by Ms. Lode:	227
8	Cross Examination by Mr. Neibergall:	249
	Redirect Examination by Ms. Lode:	264
9	Examination by Ms. Brown:	267
10	DAVID HAGEN	
	Direct Examination by Ms. Joshi:	268
11	Cross Examination by Mr. Neibergall:	329
	Redirect Examination by Ms. Joshi:	350
12	Recross Examination by Mr. Neibergall:	358
	Further Redirect by Ms. Joshi:	358
13		
	KENNETH LISS	
14	Direct Examination by Ms. Joshi:	359
15	ARI LEWIS	
	Direct Examination by Ms. Joshi:	401
16	Cross Examination by Mr. Neibergall:	443
17	ANDREW BITTNER	
	Direct Examination by Ms. Joshi:	449
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		

E X H I B I T S

	Petitioner's	ID	REC
9	Kenneth Liss declaration	363	
10	Andrews Engineering closure plan	378	
30	Kenneth Liss supplemental declaration	363	
32	Jason McLaurin declaration	228	
34	USEPA FAQ	346	
36	Ari Lewis support for petition	403	
37	Ari Lewis HHERA	403	
38	Andrew Bittner closure impact assessment	452	
40	Haley & Aldrich report	271	
44	815 facility annual reports	244	
47	Kenneth Liss 2nd declaration	364	
50	Jason McLaurin Powerpoint	230	476
51	David Hagen CV	272	476
52	David Hagen Powerpoint	273	476
53	Kenneth Liss CV	368	476
54	Kenneth Liss Powerpoint	369	476
55	Ari Lewis Powerpoint	406	476
56	Andrew Bittner Powerpoint	454	476

PROCEEDINGS

(June 11, 2025; 8:59 a.m.)

HEARING OFFICER WEBB: All right. We are back on the record for AS 21-6. It is 9 o'clock a.m., and before we start with our third witness, we have one gentleman who would like to make a public comment. Bruce Mosby, would you step forward, please? There's a microphone for you right there. You may either stand or sit while you make your comment, whatever is more comfortable for you. Oh, and would you please spell your name for the court reporter?

MR. MOSBY: Okay. Bruce, B-R-U-C-E, Mosby, M-O-S-B-Y. Thank you for allowing me to comment. The reason I'm here, I am a retired area bank president. I served over 42 years. All my years with -- in banking was in the Southern Illinois Electric Cooperative service area. As a rural cooperative member, landowner, resident, I would respectfully ask that the IEPA and the IPCB give consideration to the implications that this proposed action would have on all SIPC customers. Already SIPC serves a disadvantaged area. Continued economic stress would be detrimental. Enforcement actions and fines would be passed on to the consumer. Ultimately, the ramifications to households and

1 businesses when making financial decisions could cause
2 hardships, possibly impacting local economies and jobs.

3 I've seen firsthand what happens when
4 hard-working, well-intentioned folks are pinched
5 financially by an unexpected source. In today's economy,
6 not everyone might make it. Many of the cooperative
7 members are self-employed farmers or work at an
8 ag-related business. This sector of our economy can be
9 difficult enough without additional expenditure outlays.

10 To emphasize in detail, our economy, both local
11 and poverty levels, according to the Illinois Policy and
12 USAFacts, six of the eight poorest counties in Illinois
13 are actually serviced by SIPC. The first is Alexander;
14 number two, Pulaski; number three, Jackson County; number
15 six is Franklin County; number seven is Saline County;
16 number eight is Gallatin County. Since 2000, 64 of
17 Illinois' 102 counties saw their population decline.
18 Illinois population shrank 2 percent while the overall US
19 population actually grew 7.7 percent.

20 While we realize there are many factors that
21 contribute to our decline, the potential addition of more
22 burden will not benefit our area. As in any business,
23 any increase in cost of doing business is typically
24 passed on to the customer. Given some of the information

1 I've shared, it's a given that some may not be able to
2 handle it. For those on a tight budget, it could impact
3 such things as college education accounts, retirement,
4 just day-to-day living. I ask that your decision be
5 favorable to SIPC and all of its customer base. Thank
6 you.

7 HEARING OFFICER WEBB: Thank you. Can I
8 have that? Thank you. Okay. We are ready for
9 Petitioner's third witness.

10 MS. LODE: Thank you. We're going to call
11 Mr. Jason McLaurin.

12 HEARING OFFICER WEBB: Have a seat up here
13 and the court reporter will swear you in.

14 (Witness sworn.)

15 JASON MCLAURIN, produced, sworn and examined on
16 behalf of the Petitioner, testified as follows:

17 DIRECT EXAMINATION

18 BY MS. LODE:

19 Q. Good morning, Mr. McLaurin. Will you please
20 state and spell your name for the record?

21 A. First name is Jason, J-A-S-O-N; last name is
22 M-C-L-A-U-R-I-N.

23 Q. Thank you. And what is your educational
24 background?

1 A. I have a bachelor in plant and soil science
2 from SIU Carbondale, 2003.

3 Q. And where are you currently employed?

4 A. Southern Illinois Power Cooperative.

5 Q. What's your position there?

6 A. I'm the environmental coordinator.

7 Q. And as environmental coordinator, what are
8 your duties?

9 A. I oversee the implementation and monitoring
10 of all environmental regs and policies that affect SIPC.

11 Q. And how long have you been in this position?

12 A. July of 2007.

13 Q. What was your involvement in preparing and
14 submitting SIPC's second amended petition in this matter?

15 A. Collecting and submitting factual background
16 information as needed.

17 Q. Did you prepare a support in declaration of
18 that petition?

19 A. Yes, ma'am.

20 MS. LODE: If we may approach?

21 HEARING OFFICER WEBB: Yes.

22 Q. (By Ms. Lode) Bina is now showing you
23 SIPC's Exhibit 32. Do you recognize this document?

24 A. I do.

1 Q. And what is it?

2 A. It's my declaration.

3 Q. Do those statements in that declaration
4 remain true and correct?

5 A. Yes.

6 Q. Great. And what was your involvement in
7 preparing SIPC's response to IEPA's recommendation?

8 A. Again, it's providing factual and background
9 information to the best of my knowledge.

10 Q. Did you prepare a declaration in support of
11 that response?

12 A. Yes, ma'am.

13 Q. Again, we're going to approach, and Bina
14 will show you SIPC's Exhibit 41. Do you recognize this
15 document?

16 A. Yes.

17 Q. And what is it?

18 A. It's the declaration I provided.

19 Q. And do the statements in that declaration
20 remain true and correct?

21 A. Yes.

22 Q. Great. And I understand you have a
23 Powerpoint to accompany your testimony today. Is that
24 correct?

1 A. That's correct.

2 Q. One more document coming up. Bina's handing
3 you a copy of a Powerpoint where the title slide reads
4 Testimony of Jason McLaurin, which is also displayed as
5 slide 1 here in the room. Do you recognize this
6 document?

7 A. Yes, ma'am.

8 Q. Is this a true and correct copy of the
9 Powerpoint prepared to accompany your testimony?

10 A. Appears so.

11 Q. Did you assist in drafting or otherwise
12 review and approve the contents of this Powerpoint?

13 A. Yes.

14 Q. And just generally, what does the Powerpoint
15 contain?

16 A. It's a summary of the information I provided
17 for this proceeding.

18 Q. So I'd like to kind of walk you through that
19 Powerpoint and some of the facts you laid out in your
20 declaration. Let's start with pond 4 and slide 3 of your
21 presentation. You were present and heard the testimony
22 of Mr. Wendell Watson and Mr. Todd Gallenbach yesterday;
23 is that correct?

24 A. Correct.

1 Q. Mr. Watson testified that pond 4 currently
2 receives coal pile, stormwater and other plant runoff as
3 well as decant water from pond 6. Do you agree with that
4 statement?

5 A. Yes.

6 Q. And Mr. Gallenbach testified that pond 4
7 historically received decant water from ponds 1 and 2.
8 Do you agree with that statement?

9 A. Yes.

10 Q. Let's talk a little bit more about each one
11 of these water sources. Where is the intake point for
12 pond 6 into pond 4?

13 A. Southwest corner.

14 Q. Is the arrow labeled "pond 6" on slide 3
15 approximately the correct location for pond 6's intake
16 point?

17 A. Yes.

18 Q. Are there any unique characteristics about
19 the pump in pond 6 that pumps water to pond 4?

20 A. It's a pump that's designed to pump clean
21 water. We have the pump set up in a -- we call it clear
22 well. We had rock berms on each side. The pump intake
23 is suspended a foot or two below water line to ensure
24 it's the cleanest water.

1 Q. And what about the pipe that runs from
2 pond 6 to pond 4? Is there anything unique about that?

3 A. I mean, other than the fact that it's a
4 significant elevation from where we pump up to the
5 discharge into pond 4, and it's -- I mean, it's not
6 designed to pump anything but clean water for that
7 reason.

8 Q. And why is that upgradient unique or
9 significant? Can you give us a little bit more
10 information about how that works?

11 A. It's just -- I mean, the pump itself can't
12 handle pushing solids, particularly up to the -- we're
13 talking 78 feet in elevation change, and that's why we
14 have to ensure that -- it's not even so much solids.
15 It's also -- it's vegetation. We got to make sure it's
16 clean at the intake in order to -- if not, we burn up
17 pumps left and right.

18 Q. So moving to the coal pile runoff, where is
19 the intake point for that runoff into pond 4?

20 A. Southeast corner.

21 Q. And is the arrow labeled "coal pile" in --
22 on slide 3 approximately the correct location for that
23 intake point?

24 A. That's correct.

1 Q. And for pond 1, where is the intake point
2 for pond 1 into pond 4?

3 A. Where the arrow's pointing at, which is
4 actually on the east side. East side.

5 Q. Perfect. So that location on slide 3 is
6 correct.

7 A. That's correct.

8 Q. And finally, the intake point for pond 2
9 into pond 4, where is that?

10 A. It's on the upper east side of the pond 4,
11 where the arrow is.

12 Q. Moving to slide 4, when, if at all, was the
13 last time pond 4 was dewatered and cleaned?

14 A. Fall of 2010.

15 Q. And why was that cleaning conducted?

16 A. Routine maintenance.

17 Q. Can you describe the scope of that
18 dewatering and cleaning?

19 A. We drained the pond, let it dry for a few
20 days, and then we removed the material, which was
21 predominantly coal fines. The --

22 Q. And --

23 A. Go ahead.

24 Q. So you said it was predominantly coal fines.

1 Can you describe the entire scope of the sediment
2 removed?

3 A. Most of it, like I said, it was coal fines.
4 It was black, granular in nature, that we receive from
5 our coal yard runoff, and like I said, that stuff was
6 taken back to the plant. We recombusted it. That stuff
7 was predominantly located on the southern sections of the
8 pond. As we started to get into the northern sides of
9 it, we started getting more into the organic sludgy
10 material. That stuff was separated, allowed to dry, and
11 we put that stuff on the landfill.

12 Q. And you said predominantly coal fines.
13 Could you give that a percentage?

14 A. Oh, shoot. 60, 70 percent.

15 Q. And where were the coal fines located? You
16 said on the south side?

17 A. The south side towards the plant.

18 Q. And for clarity on the record, can you
19 please confirm what was done with those coal fine
20 materials?

21 A. We brought them back to the facility, coal
22 yard, and basically recombusted those, or combusted them.

23 Q. And in your experience, can CCR be burned as
24 coal?

1 A. No.

2 Q. Based on your operational experience, are
3 you aware of what CCR materials look like?

4 A. Yes.

5 Q. And you were present when pond 4 was
6 cleaned; is that correct?

7 A. That is correct.

8 Q. Did the dark material collected from the top
9 of pond 4 look like CCR?

10 A. No.

11 Q. And based on your operational experience,
12 are you aware of what scrubber sludge looks like?

13 A. Yes.

14 Q. Did the material removed from pond 4 look
15 like scrubber sludge?

16 A. No.

17 Q. Now I would like to address certain
18 questions and topics brought up in question number 2 of
19 the hearing questions followed by the -- filed by the
20 Board. The organic material you mentioned that was
21 located predominantly on the north side of the pond, and
22 you also mentioned it in your declaration, what was done
23 with those materials following the excavation of pond 4?

24 A. We removed them, let them dry and spread

1 them around the landfill.

2 Q. And the muddy materials -- you called them
3 organic materials -- based on your operational
4 experience, what was that material comprised of?

5 A. Dead and dying vegetation. We got a
6 200-acre facility. We mow every day, I mean, continually
7 mow in the summertime, and pond 4 is our final pond. I
8 mean, pretty much those -- everything collects there
9 eventually.

10 Q. And since its cleaning in 2010, how much CCR
11 has been disposed of in pond 4?

12 A. None.

13 Q. So moving to slide 5, are you aware that EPA
14 has alleged that pond 4 contains a significant amount of
15 CCR based on the appearance of deltas in the pond on the
16 historic aerials?

17 A. Yes.

18 Q. So slide 5 shows a couple examples of those
19 areas -- or aerials, specifically Exhibit 3, which is
20 from March 1993, and Exhibit 4, which is from April 1998.
21 Based on the testimony you just provided and in your
22 experience, what material would likely make up these
23 deltas?

24 A. Coal pile runoff.

1 Q. And why is that?

2 A. Because the front -- I'd say 60 percent of
3 our coal yard flows in the direction of pond 4, and the
4 deltas are -- I can see how they're flowing out -- is
5 from the direction of the coal pile runoff.

6 Q. And it --

7 A. And that's from the southwest to northeast
8 corner the way those deltas are forming.

9 Q. Now, you said that the coal pile runs from
10 the southwest of pond 4.

11 A. Southeast to northwest. My bad.

12 Q. Sorry. Southeast. My apologies. In
13 Exhibit 4, here on the left side, which would be the
14 southwest corner, we see some light-colored material. Do
15 you see what I'm referring to?

16 A. In the southwest corner?

17 Q. Yes.

18 A. Yep.

19 Q. And to your knowledge, what is this area or
20 what is that material?

21 A. It's grass and land.

22 Q. And why would that be exposed in this photo?

23 A. Why would it be exposed? I mean, it's dry,
24 so it's grass and land in that area. It's not -- This

1 pond is not perfectly square in size. In that corner
2 they've cut it off.

3 Q. So moving on to former pond B-3 in slide 6,
4 Mr. Gallenbach testified that former pond B-3 was used
5 during his operation primarily as a secondary pond to
6 A-1. Do you agree with that characterization?

7 A. Correct.

8 Q. And to your knowledge, what other, if any,
9 discharges did former pond B-3 receive?

10 A. Coal pile runoff as well.

11 Q. And it was used primarily as a secondary
12 pond to A-1. To your knowledge, did A-1 ever receive
13 scrubber sludge?

14 A. No.

15 Q. Mr. Watson and Mr. Gallenbach further
16 testified about the current state of former pond B-3,
17 noting it's clean of sediment and does not contain water.
18 Do you agree with that description?

19 A. Yes.

20 Q. When was former pond B-3 dewatered and
21 cleaned of sediment?

22 A. I believe that was finalized in 2017.

23 Q. How was the unit dewatered?

24 A. We removed the water, dewatered it down

1 through an NPDES outfall we had at the time, and once the
2 material was dry, we cleaned it all out and --

3 Q. And for the record, for clarity, does that
4 outfall -- that NPDES outfall still exist?

5 A. Nope.

6 Q. What was the scope to which sediment was
7 removed from former pond B-3?

8 A. Like I said, we dewatered and let it dry,
9 then we scraped up the material. The majority of the
10 material, again, is coal fines. We brought it back to
11 the facility to be recombusted. The material that we
12 couldn't combust that we want to get rid of we took to a
13 sanitary landfill.

14 Q. And at what point did you stop removing
15 sediment from former pond B-3?

16 A. We actually invited the Agency local field
17 office to come out and look at the process we were doing.
18 They came out twice. The first time they came out, they
19 asked us to remove a little bit more of the
20 sedimentation. Second time around they came out, checked
21 it, they gave us a thumbs up, and that was it.

22 Q. And moving back a step, how would you
23 characterize the material from former pond B-3? I
24 believe you said coal fines and --

1 A. It was coal fines and, I mean, dying and
2 dead vegetation, decayed algae.

3 Q. And the coal fines were taken where?

4 A. To the plant.

5 Q. And the organic material was taken where?

6 A. Well, we had I think three distinct piles.
7 We tested on two to see if they would pass, you know,
8 say, a CCB test or a Class 1 groundwater. The ones that
9 didn't we took to Perry Ridge. The ones who did pass the
10 test we kept over there and used them as land application
11 around the pond.

12 Q. I would like to now address question 3 from
13 the Board, and I believe we just touched on this a little
14 bit, but they discuss a sludge. So that sludge, what
15 would that have been?

16 A. There, it would have been dying vegetation.

17 Q. And based on your operational knowledge, was
18 it CCR?

19 A. No.

20 Q. Was it scrubber sludge?

21 A. No. Scrubber sludge never went that
22 direction.

23 Q. Following the dewatering and cleaning to
24 clay, you briefly mentioned this, but did SIPC conduct

1 any sampling or testing to confirm that all CCR had been
2 removed?

3 A. Yeah, we sampled the bottom of the former
4 impoundment before.

5 Q. Can you give us a little bit more
6 information on what that sampling was?

7 A. We tested it for Class 1 groundwater
8 standards.

9 Q. And what were the results?

10 A. Below.

11 Q. Since the cleaning and dewatering in 2017,
12 how much CCR has been disposed of in former pond B-3?

13 A. None.

14 Q. Before we move to the former landfill area,
15 I'd like to ask a brief few questions about the south fly
16 ash pond. Around 2007, what was done to the north side
17 of the south fly ash pond?

18 A. A small section of it was -- put a road
19 across it or a berm across it, and it was to be used for
20 the coal from Prairie State. Not really waste coal or
21 anything like that. It was just overburden coal that --
22 and they were sinking a mine shaft at Prairie State.

23 Q. What was done with the water in that area?

24 A. It was dewatered. Again, from my

1 understanding, they had started that process as the time
2 I was there and I didn't see all of it.

3 Q. And what is the current status of that area?

4 A. I mean, they -- the good usable coal has
5 been scraped off and burned. There's still some
6 overburden rocky stuff that's still in that cavity.

7 Q. Since 2007 when this path was formed, has
8 that area been managed with water?

9 A. There's no water in it.

10 Q. Moving to slide 7, are you familiar with an
11 area called the former landfill area?

12 A. Yes.

13 Q. Mr. Watson and Mr. Gallenbach testified that
14 during its operation, the former CCR landfill received
15 fly ash mixed with scrubber sludge. Do you agree with
16 that statement?

17 A. Yes.

18 Q. They also testified that the former landfill
19 stopped receiving waste prior to October of 2015. Do you
20 agree with that statement?

21 A. Correct.

22 Q. During your time at the facility, what
23 interactions, if any, have you had with IEPA regarding
24 the former landfill?

1 A. We've had two inspections, both from the
2 Land Division, one around 2009, 2010 time frame, and the
3 other, I believe she came in 2018 or '19. I don't
4 remember the exact date.

5 Q. Can you provide a little bit more
6 information about those inspections?

7 A. She come out to check to see if the material
8 we were putting on the landfill is what we said we were
9 doing, make sure we were taking care of the maintenance
10 of it, compaction, slopes, make sure none of the material
11 was slipping away outside the confinements that we called
12 the former landfill, etc.

13 Q. And what bureau at IEPA?

14 A. Bureau of Land.

15 Q. During these inspections, to your knowledge,
16 what type of unit did IEPA consider this area to be?

17 A. 815 exempt.

18 Q. And that's an 815 exempt what?

19 A. Landfill.

20 Q. As part of your duties with SIPC, did you
21 participate in preparing an on-site permit exempt 815
22 facility annual report for this area?

23 A. Yes.

24 MS. LODE: May I approach?

1 Q. (By Ms. Lode) Bina's going to show you what
2 SIPC has submitted with its response as SIPC's
3 Exhibit 44. Do you recognize this document?

4 A. Yes, ma'am.

5 Q. What are these?

6 A. These are the annual 815 reports I submit to
7 IEPA.

8 Q. To your knowledge, with what frequency did
9 SIPC submit these reports?

10 A. Annually, February.

11 Q. And has SIPC continued to submit on-site
12 permit exempt 815 facility reports for the former
13 landfill area?

14 A. Yes.

15 Q. To your knowledge, when did IEPA indicate it
16 was beginning to consider the former landfill area as a
17 CCR surface impoundment?

18 A. I believe it was 2021.

19 Q. And are you aware that IEPA has asserted
20 that historically there were long, narrow areas of water
21 located on top of a portion of the former CCR landfill?

22 A. Yes.

23 Q. Based on your experience at the facility,
24 what are these long, narrow strips?

1 A. They were strips used by the plant and -- to
2 be of hand in emergency upsets for our scrubber.

3 Q. And what primarily caused those emergency
4 upset conditions?

5 A. Typically cold weather.

6 Q. And about how often did those conditions
7 occur?

8 A. Couple times a year.

9 Q. To your knowledge, how deep were these long,
10 narrow strips?

11 A. They weren't very deep. Six, seven feet.

12 Q. Did the depth extend to the bottom of the
13 landfill?

14 A. No.

15 Q. To your knowledge, did the water in them
16 saturate the other areas of the landfill?

17 A. No.

18 Q. And to your knowledge, did they ever cover
19 the entirety of the former landfill?

20 A. No.

21 Q. Were you -- sorry. Now, I'd like to make
22 sure that we've addressed the issues raised in Board
23 question number 8. How long were -- How often were these
24 long, narrow strips on top of the landfill used?

1 A. Just a few times a year.

2 Q. And where was the water in these strips
3 handled between use?

4 A. It was decanted to pond 3.

5 Q. And how was it decanted to pond 3?

6 A. We have standpipes on the north end of those
7 strips.

8 Q. Were you present when Mr. Gallenbach was
9 cross-examined yesterday afternoon?

10 A. Yes.

11 Q. Did you hear Mr. Gallenbach testify that
12 though he wasn't sure, he believes these long, narrow
13 strips drained into pond 6?

14 A. I did.

15 Q. What corrections, if any, would you make to
16 that statement?

17 A. What I just provided, that we would drain
18 them via standpipes, and it was fairly short order time
19 frame.

20 Q. Did pond 6 ever receive the water from those
21 long, narrow strips?

22 A. None to my knowledge.

23 Q. And did these areas contain water
24 year-round?

1 A. No.

2 Q. Are you aware that IEPA noted in its
3 recommendation that some areas on top of the former
4 landfill show visible water on top of the area that was
5 once the fly ash holding area extension?

6 A. Yes.

7 Q. So for clarity in the record, that's the
8 west portion of the former fly ash area. What caused
9 this ponding on top of the closed unit?

10 A. Precipitation.

11 Q. And how often would you say this ponding
12 occurred?

13 A. During precipitation events.

14 Q. And just one more set of questions. I want
15 to clarify the record following Mr. Gallenbach's cross
16 examination testimony yesterday. Are you aware of the
17 horseshoe-shaped pond IEPA referenced that is located on
18 the southeast corner of the former CCR landfill?

19 A. Yes.

20 Q. How often was this area used?

21 A. We used it for pH control, so we used it
22 quite often.

23 Q. And was it used for any other purpose?

24 A. It also had the capability to receive

1 scrubber sludge during emergency episodes.

2 Q. And when it was used for that purpose, for
3 scrubber sludge, how was the sediment removed?

4 A. We would dewater the pond and remove the
5 material.

6 Q. And how was the area dewatered, decanted or
7 drained?

8 A. We had a standpipe on the north end of it,
9 but I also -- for this pond I utilized a -- it was a
10 siphon, and then I had -- the end of that siphon was six
11 inches to a foot below water line.

12 Q. And for the -- for clarity, where did that
13 water drain to?

14 A. Pond 3.

15 Q. How deep was this area?

16 A. Six, seven feet. Eight feet, maybe.

17 Q. And did the depth extend to the bottom of
18 the landfill?

19 A. Nope.

20 Q. And you said it didn't extend to the bottom
21 of the landfill. Did it extend into the area that was
22 formerly the initial fly ash holding area?

23 A. Not to my knowledge.

24 MS. LODE: That's all I have.

1 CROSS EXAMINATION

2 BY MR. NEIBERGALL:

3 Q. Good morning, sir. How you doing?

4 A. Doing well.

5 Q. All right. I'm going to jump quickly to
6 something you just said about the former landfill.

7 A. Okay.

8 Q. You said that with regard to the narrow
9 strips on top that the water did not saturate --

10 A. Correct.

11 Q. -- down into the landfill? How do you know
12 that?

13 A. I mean, we would remove the water fairly
14 instantaneously, within a day or two.

15 Q. So in the emergency events when you would
16 sluice out to those strips, then you would remove it
17 within a day or two?

18 A. A few days, yes.

19 Q. And this is in the winter when you need to
20 do it for an emergency because you can't use the other --

21 A. No, we would use it -- the emergency was due
22 to pipes we had in our scrubbing process. I could drain
23 water when it was cold.

24 Q. I didn't hear that. Say that one more time.

1 A. The emergencies was due to our scrubbing
2 process at the plant, while it -- it was outside, and
3 that's what predicated the emergency. Draining water
4 from the ponds was not -- I mean, freezing did not stop
5 me from doing that.

6 Q. Okay. And so did you do any testing to
7 confirm that it didn't saturate underneath?

8 A. I have no test results to show that, no.

9 Q. Okay. I want to go back and talk about
10 cleanouts historically. You were here yesterday and you
11 heard Mr. Gallenbach explain the 2003 cleanouts of the
12 allegedly de minimis units?

13 A. Okay.

14 Q. Is that correct?

15 A. I mean, I wasn't there in 2003, so I
16 can't --

17 Q. Were you here yesterday when he was
18 testifying to that?

19 A. Yes, I was here yesterday.

20 Q. So in 2003 we've got -- let's see -- pond 3
21 and 3A, pond 4, B-3, south fly ash and pond 6 that were
22 all cleaned; is that accurate?

23 A. Based on his testimony, yes.

24 Q. Okay. So I want to go to the -- the next

1 chronologically would have been in 2006 for pond 3? Are
2 you aware of that cleaning?

3 A. Not to my knowledge, no.

4 Q. When did you start again?

5 A. July of 2007.

6 Q. So are you aware that pond 3 was cleaned to
7 remove pond sediment and debris, including vegetation, in
8 2006?

9 A. No.

10 Q. You're not aware of that? Okay. Who would
11 be aware of that?

12 A. That one I can't answer for you right now.
13 I mean --

14 Q. Okay. How about 2010? You were working
15 then, right?

16 A. Correct.

17 Q. Okay. We talked about pond 4 a little bit
18 and the two categories of materials, one of which was
19 dark and dry and one of which was muddy, right?

20 A. Correct.

21 Q. You said the dark and dry material was
22 burned; is that correct?

23 A. That's correct.

24 Q. And was it mixed with anything before it was

1 burned?

2 A. No.

3 Q. Okay. How much volume of that dark and dry
4 material was burned?

5 A. It was almost three-quarters of the material
6 in that pond, so 60, 70 percent.

7 Q. And the muddy materials, how much of that
8 was returned to the sludge storage area?

9 A. It was removed -- It was returned to the
10 scrubber sludge on the landfill, so about 20, 30 percent
11 of it, rest -- the remaining of it.

12 Q. It was completely full. 60 to 70 --

13 A. No. Was the pond full? No. The pond was
14 only maybe a fifth full, if that.

15 Q. Okay. And so I'm asking the volume of the
16 muddy materials.

17 A. The volume of muddy materials? All the
18 muddy materials we removed from that pond was put on the
19 landfill, the muddy, not the coal fines.

20 Q. How much material would you estimate that
21 was?

22 A. Of the material? About 20 or 30 percent of
23 it.

24 Q. 20 to 30 percent of the fifth of the pond?

1 A. Roughly, yeah. I mean, the pond was cleaned
2 down to clay.

3 Q. Got it. Thank you. 2011, pond 3, you were
4 there.

5 A. Correct.

6 Q. Okay. How much was cleaned out of pond 3
7 then?

8 A. That's really hard to quantify a -- I mean,
9 I'd say the pond was maybe a quarter, if -- somewhere in
10 that range. It wasn't that really full.

11 Q. Was that pond 3 and 3A or just pond 3?

12 A. Just pond 3.

13 Q. So a quarter of the amount of the capacity
14 of the pond was cleaned out?

15 A. Roughly, maybe.

16 Q. And what was done with that material?

17 A. It was placed on the former landfill.

18 Q. So was this one done by excavator because it
19 was adjacent to the landfill?

20 A. Yeah. We decanted the pond and backhoe,
21 excavator. Also got a dozer down there too.

22 Q. So no trucks were involved in this one.

23 A. We had -- We trucked material back up to the
24 landfill.

1 Q. How many trucks would you estimate?

2 A. Oh, it's hard to say. 20, 30, maybe.

3 Q. What capacity of trucks?

4 A. 10-ton.

5 Q. Now, Mr. Gallenbach testified that you hired
6 a trucking company in 2003 to do the cleanouts. Did you
7 also hire a trucking company here?

8 A. Yes.

9 Q. Okay. Where are the records for that?

10 A. We don't -- I mean, we pay them by the hour
11 for the most part and --

12 Q. There's no paper records of that?

13 A. No.

14 Q. No computer records?

15 A. No.

16 Q. Let's move to 2014. Pond 3A was cleaned
17 out?

18 A. Okay.

19 Q. Does that sound accurate?

20 A. Sounds accurate.

21 Q. Okay. How much was cleaned?

22 A. That pond, there was only a few trucks of
23 sedimentation removed.

24 Q. Three trucks?

1 A. Maybe five, if that. That pond only
2 receives decant water from pond 3. There's no other
3 inlet to it other than when we started pumping coal yard
4 into it, so there's no direct anything from landfill into
5 it.

6 Q. Same type of trucks, 10-ton?

7 A. Yeah.

8 Q. Any records of those?

9 A. Nope.

10 Q. And where was that taken?

11 A. Landfill.

12 Q. We talked on direct a little bit about the
13 2017 cleanout of B-3. You said -- I think I heard you
14 say that the non-burnable material was taken to a
15 sanitary landfill?

16 A. Portion of it, correct.

17 Q. A portion of the non-burnable?

18 A. Correct.

19 Q. And which sanitary landfill was that taken
20 to?

21 A. Perry Ridge in Pinckneyville.

22 Q. And how much?

23 A. I could -- trying to recall the records. It
24 was, I don't know, maybe 10, 15 trucks.

1 Q. Same 10-ton trucks?

2 A. No, those would have been 25-ton. It's been
3 a long time, so --

4 Q. Okay. And the records for that, do you guys
5 have them?

6 A. I believe we provided those to the Agency,
7 actually.

8 Q. Okay. Great. And then how much of the
9 burnable material was removed from B-3?

10 A. I want to say that was probably about 40, 50
11 trucks, and those were the 10-ton variety.

12 Q. Was that material burnt at the 123?

13 A. 123 and 4.

14 Q. Okay. And 4? And was it mixed before it
15 was burned?

16 A. Yes, sir.

17 Q. Okay. And it was mixed with the coal you
18 normally burn?

19 A. Yeah.

20 Q. Is that a yes?

21 A. Yes.

22 Q. Okay. So for all the cleanouts, just
23 generally -- we talked about a bunch of them there -- you
24 said that a lot of times it -- they were completely

1 dewatered?

2 A. That's correct.

3 Q. And so the ponds were drained completely of
4 water, the whole area of the pond?

5 A. Yes.

6 Q. There wasn't any ponding or pools of water
7 when you were doing the cleanout?

8 A. No.

9 Q. How long did the dewatering process take?

10 A. It usually took -- I mean, it'd take a
11 handful of days. We'd always try to pick a time period
12 during the late summer or early fall when it was dry
13 periods to do this type of work. We needed to get as dry
14 as we can to get the material -- get the dozer in, move
15 the material.

16 Q. Was it still kind of wet when you were
17 operating out there at all?

18 A. Tacky.

19 Q. Tacky? You talked about the south fly ash
20 pad. That was in 2007?

21 A. Correct.

22 Q. Dewatering for that, how'd you do it?

23 A. I would assume they did it with an electric
24 pump.

1 Q. Okay. I see. You had started after that
2 started?

3 A. They had started that process by the time I
4 came to the SIPC.

5 Q. So you're not familiar with the whole
6 dewatering process?

7 A. Not all of it, no.

8 Q. For all those cleanouts, did you ever
9 characterize the material beyond just the visual
10 observation that you described today?

11 A. For the most part, it's visual.

12 Q. So you guys didn't send any of that material
13 off to a lab or anything to have it tested?

14 A. No.

15 Q. Okay.

16 A. No.

17 Q. All right. I want to ask you about the pond
18 investigation report that was completed on behalf of SIPC
19 in 2021. Are you familiar with that report?

20 A. Yes.

21 Q. How are you familiar with it?

22 A. I mean, I've read through it.

23 Q. Just one moment. Sir, you're aware in that
24 report that the water levels for both pond 4 and the

1 south fly ash pond were lowered right before the
2 bathymetric survey was done?

3 A. That's correct.

4 Q. And that about 60 percent of pond 4 was
5 surveyed and 73 percent of the south fly ash pond was
6 surveyed?

7 A. Are we -- I mean, like, volume of water
8 you're getting to?

9 Q. So I'm asking, because the volume of water
10 was lowered, it only allowed the boat to reach 60 percent
11 of pond 4 and 73 percent of south fly ash.

12 A. Okay.

13 Q. Does that sound fair?

14 A. Sounds fair.

15 Q. Okay. So the bathymetric surveys don't
16 survey all of the ponds and all the materials that are in
17 the ponds; is that correct?

18 A. I mean, I -- hard to assume.

19 Q. Well, I mean, is that your understanding of
20 what happened because --

21 A. Yeah. The ponds are lowered --

22 MS. LODE: I'm going to object. This is
23 outside the scope of his direct. He didn't do the
24 bathymetric survey, didn't write the pond investigation

1 report. This is certainly outside the scope of his
2 knowledge.

3 MR. NEIBERGALL: So the response is that
4 none of the other witnesses have yet admitted to reading
5 the report, and SIPC had some --

6 MS. LODE: Hearing Officer -- sorry. I
7 thought you were done.

8 MR. NEIBERGALL: No. It had -- They made
9 decisions about whether or not to conduct additional
10 investigation that they failed to do, so he's the only
11 witness left that can testify to SIPC's intent on this
12 report.

13 MS. LODE: Hearing Officer Webb, the
14 procedures used for the bathymetric survey may or may not
15 have been within the scope of Jason's knowledge, but we
16 certainly have the person who wrote the pond
17 investigation report here and who may be able to answer
18 questions about why the bathymetric survey was conducted
19 the way it was.

20 HEARING OFFICER WEBB: Okay. Well, why
21 don't we allow him to just answer what he knows --

22 MR. NEIBERGALL: Sure.

23 HEARING OFFICER WEBB: -- and -- with the
24 understanding there may be another witness.

1 MR. NEIBERGALL: Thank you, Your Honor.

2 A. To answer your question on the pond levels,
3 the pond levels are down because we no longer have a unit
4 that produces 95 percent of our effluent water, so the
5 amount of water flowing in these ponds has greatly been
6 reduced, so, yes, the water levels are going to actually
7 fall.

8 Q. (By Mr. Neibergall) So you're saying the
9 water levels are down in 2021 as compared to when?

10 A. 2020. We shut unit 4 down in the fall
11 of 2020. From that point on, our effluent water has been
12 drastically reduced, so now the only thing it really
13 produces is precipitation.

14 Q. Okay. So that makes sense for a lot of the
15 ponds, but specifically for pond 4 and south fly ash,
16 their water levels were lowered just before the surveys
17 were performed. Pond 4 water level was lowered to assist
18 the closure of the adjacent pond 1 and 2? Does that
19 sound fair?

20 A. Yes.

21 Q. Okay. And the south fly ash pond was
22 dropped because stormwater basin construction, which is
23 what you were just indicating.

24 A. Yes.

1 Q. Okay. So my question is, after this was
2 completed not to the full extent of these ponds, why
3 didn't SIPC redo the bathymetric survey?

4 A. I mean, those ponds are still at the same
5 levels they are the last five years, so -- I mean, I hope
6 Mr. Hagen can speak on the bathymetric.

7 Q. So as far as SIPC's decision to not conduct
8 another investigation of the full ponds, that wouldn't be
9 him, though. That'd be SIPC, right?

10 A. Sure.

11 Q. And you're aware that it's SIPC's burden to
12 prove that these ponds are not surface impoundments and
13 that they contain de minimis amounts; is that correct?

14 A. Sure.

15 Q. Last question I have is about SIPC's
16 Exhibit 3. It's a picture. I can show you what it is.
17 The question is just are ponds 1 and 2 part of this
18 adjusted standard petition?

19 A. No.

20 Q. So their inclusion in that picture as far as
21 a highlighted color is in error probably? I'll show you
22 the picture. Let's see here.

23 Unless counsel wants to stipulate that ponds 1
24 and 2 are not part.

1 MS. JOSHI: Pardon me?

2 MR. NEIBERGALL: Do you want to stipulate
3 that ponds 1 and 2 are not part of the petition?

4 MS. JOSHI: Ponds 1 and 2 are not --

5 MS. LODE: Yeah, we will stipulate that
6 ponds 1 and 2 are not part of the adjusted standard
7 petition. The person who prepared SIPC's Exhibit 3 will
8 testify, if you'd like to clarify.

9 MR. NEIBERGALL: Thank you.

10 Q. (By Mr. Neibergall) A couple questions.
11 Pond B-3, it receives coal pile runoff?

12 A. It did.

13 Q. It did? It does not anymore?

14 A. No, ma'am. No. I'm sorry.

15 Q. When did that stop?

16 A. I believe it was around -- don't quote me to
17 the date -- sometime in the 2010s.

18 Q. So I must have missed this. In the
19 discussion on your direct testimony on pond B-3, you said
20 there were three piles. There was the burn pile, there
21 was the organic pile --

22 A. There was a couple -- I mean, I don't know
23 three -- there was two, three, four. I don't remember
24 exactly, but we had -- we scraped up the sides and made

1 distinct piles out of them. We tested those piles to see
2 which ones would pass the Class 1 groundwater standard.

3 Q. And my question is about the pile that was
4 determined to be CCB, I believe you testified.

5 A. I wouldn't say CCB. We just tested it for
6 Class 1 groundwater standards.

7 MR. NEIBERGALL: No further questions at
8 this time.

9 MS. LODE: Just a brief redirect.

10 REDIRECT EXAMINATION

11 BY MS. LODE:

12 Q. Mr. McLaurin, the cleanings that IEPA asked
13 you about for the 3/3A, pond 4, why were those cleanings
14 conducted, the ones in 2010?

15 A. Part of the NPDES's routine pond
16 maintenance.

17 Q. And would it be common to have records for
18 these routine pond maintenance cleanings?

19 A. Day-to-day activities, not necessarily.

20 Q. And is there a reason why not?

21 A. We just looked at it as being stewards and
22 doing the part of our job from day to day and not
23 something necessarily we had to document from day to day.

24 Q. And I'd like to clarify a little bit about

1 the cleaning in pond 4 in 2010.

2 A. Gotcha.

3 Q. What percent of the unit was sediment,
4 roughly?

5 A. It was a lower quarter, lower fifth of it.

6 Q. And if you could, can you clarify in
7 truckloads how much muddy material was removed from
8 pond 4?

9 A. Are we talking coals fines or are we talking
10 muddy material?

11 Q. The muddy material.

12 A. I mean, that may have been 20, 30 trucks.
13 We didn't actually do it by trucks, but if I'm just
14 trying to quantify in trucks, something along that route.
15 It wasn't --

16 Q. And if you were quantifying in trucks,
17 what's the type of truck?

18 A. 10-ton.

19 Q. And to clarify for the record, you said that
20 no material was tested during the cleaning the IEPA
21 talked to you about. Was the material tested from former
22 pond B-3?

23 A. The testing -- We did two types of testing
24 per se. We test, like, to the piles that I explained to

1 him, and then the coal fines, we did internal BTU content
2 testing to ensure that it was actually coal fines.

3 Q. And this is for former pond B-3?

4 A. That's correct.

5 Q. So when you indicated on cross examination
6 that none of the materials were tested, were you
7 referring to the routine maintenance cleanings?

8 A. That's correct.

9 Q. And visually, during those cleanings, in
10 your experience, can you distinguish between coal, CCR,
11 scrubber sludge and organic?

12 A. Absolutely, yes.

13 Q. And is that based on what?

14 A. Just knowledge. I mean, you can -- coal
15 fines, they're granular, small, fine particles. They're
16 not hard to -- It's not hard to distinguish between that
17 and sludge.

18 MS. LODGE: Okay. I don't have any further
19 questions.

20 MR. NEIBERGALL: No recross.

21 HEARING OFFICER WEBB: Does the Board have
22 any questions?

23 MS. BROWN: Just one.

24 EXAMINATION

1 BY MS. BROWN:

2 Q. You said there was sampling for the bottom
3 of former pond B-3. Is that sampling currently in the
4 record? If so, where?

5 A. I don't know if they have it here, but I
6 know we have submitted that stuff to the Agency, and I
7 believe that was done in 2017 in the packet, but we
8 could --

9 MS. JOSHI: And I can clarify. I think our
10 next witness is going to talk about that, and it is
11 attached as an appendix to SIPC Exhibit 29.

12 MS. BROWN: Thank you.

13 HEARING OFFICER WEBB: Thank you.

14 THE WITNESS: Thank you, ma'am.

15 HEARING OFFICER WEBB: Okay. We're ready
16 for your next witness. Would you like to take a break?

17 MS. JOSHI: Can we take a five-minute break?

18 HEARING OFFICER WEBB: Sure. Yes. Let's --
19 We'll take five.

20 (Brief recess taken.)

21 HEARING OFFICER WEBB: We are back on
22 record, and the Petitioner may call their next witness.

23 MS. JOSHI: Thank you. We call Dave Hagen.

24 HEARING OFFICER WEBB: Would the court

1 reporter please swear in the witness?

2 (Witness sworn.)

3 DAVID HAGEN, produced, sworn and examined on
4 behalf of the Petitioner, testified as follows:

5 DIRECT EXAMINATION

6 BY MS. JOSHI:

7 Q. Can you please state and spell your name?

8 A. I'm David Hagen, D-A-V-I-D, H-A-G-E-N.

9 Q. Mr. Hagen, please describe your educational
10 background.

11 A. I have a bachelor's degree in biology from
12 Baldwin-Wallace University and a master's degree in
13 geology with an emphasis on hydrogeology from Oklahoma
14 State University.

15 Q. Where are you currently employed?

16 A. Haley & Aldrich, Inc.

17 Q. What's your current position?

18 A. Well, I wear many hats, but the one that's
19 most pertinent here is principal consultant.

20 Q. What are your duties as a principal
21 consultant?

22 A. I assemble teams of professionals, engineers
23 and scientists, to solve issues or matters related to our
24 clients' needs.

1 Q. Do you specialize in any specific subject
2 matter areas?

3 A. Yeah, and when you say specific, it's going
4 to sound broad, but it is specific. It's really the
5 characterization, like, preliminary assessments, all the
6 way through remedy construction for environmental
7 remediation, waste management, environmental permitting,
8 all those things.

9 Q. What was your work experience prior to your
10 current position?

11 A. Well, I started as a field hydrogeologist,
12 so I was out in the field. This was in 1986. Started in
13 the field collecting information that we rely upon for
14 decision-making, water levels, soils, soil samples, all
15 sorts of things like that, and that's where I started,
16 and then I just progressively moved up in my career.

17 Q. And when you use the word decision-making,
18 what kind of decision-making are you referring to?

19 A. Well, anything -- a great example --
20 anything related to, again, solving environmental issues
21 related to our clients' needs. A great example would be
22 specifying a remedy for a particular site or making
23 decisions on how to close a waste management unit, those
24 sorts of things.

1 Q. Did you prepare any materials in support of
2 SIPC's petition in this matter?

3 A. I did.

4 Q. We're going to hand you a document that's --
5 was attached to SIPC's petition as Exhibit 29. Are you
6 familiar with this document?

7 A. Yes, I am.

8 Q. What is this document?

9 A. It's a summary of work that was conducted at
10 the Marion Station related to the characterization of
11 certain ponds, primarily related to some of the work
12 that's being discussed here, the de minimis issues that
13 are associated with the ponds.

14 Q. Are you the principal author of this
15 document?

16 A. I am.

17 Q. Did anyone assist you?

18 A. Yes.

19 Q. How so?

20 A. Well, it was primarily Jacob Chu. You'll
21 see his name on the documents. Primarily data
22 evaluation, some drafting of the reports, those sorts of
23 things.

24 Q. And was any work that Mr. Chu conducted done

1 under your direction?

2 A. Yes, all of the work that he did was under
3 my direction.

4 Q. And was all the work Mr. Chu did on this
5 report done with your review?

6 A. Yes.

7 Q. We're going to hand you another document
8 that was attached to SIPC's response in this matter, and
9 it's marked as Exhibit 40 and was attached to the
10 response in this proceeding. Are you familiar with this
11 document?

12 A. Yes, I am.

13 Q. And what is it?

14 A. It's an early 2025 dated report that relates
15 to evaluation of work that was done by Illinois EPA and
16 just our thoughts and comments on their work.

17 Q. Are you the primary author of this document?

18 A. Yes.

19 Q. Again, did anyone assist you?

20 A. Yes.

21 Q. Was it the same person as --

22 A. Jacob Chu, yes.

23 Q. Okay. Did any work Mr. Chu did on this
24 report or -- let me repeat that. Was any work that

1 Mr. Chu did on this report done under your review and
2 direction?

3 A. Yes.

4 Q. Mr. Hagen, can you please describe your work
5 experience related to CCR surface impoundments?

6 A. Well, I've probably worked on -- I haven't
7 counted -- probably somewhere between 30 and 50 different
8 CCR surface impoundments for various clients across the
9 United States.

10 Q. And what work have you done related to CCR
11 surface impoundments?

12 A. Any -- Would be anything related to closure,
13 post-closure, post-closure care, the groundwater
14 monitoring requirements and then groundwater -- or
15 corrective measures associated with any exceedances of
16 groundwater standards part of the rule.

17 Q. And you worked on anywhere between 30 and 50
18 CCR surface impoundments?

19 A. That's a guess, but that's probably about
20 right.

21 Q. I'd like to provide you with a copy of a
22 document that has been marked as SIPC Exhibit 51.

23 A. Thank you.

24 Q. Mr. Hagen, do you recognize this document?

1 A. I do.

2 Q. And what is it?

3 A. It's a copy of my resumé or CV.

4 Q. And is this a true and correct copy of your
5 current CV?

6 A. Yes.

7 Q. Does the CV contain additional details
8 regarding your professional background and experience?

9 A. It does.

10 MS. JOSHI: Hearing Officer, I move to admit
11 Mr. Hagen as an expert in CCR surface impoundment
12 operations, waste characterization and hydrogeology.

13 HEARING OFFICER WEBB: Certainly seems like
14 an expert to me. Do you have any objection?

15 MR. NEIBERGALL: Yeah, no objection.

16 MS. JOSHI: Okay.

17 Q. (By Ms. Joshi) Mr. Hagen, I understand you
18 have a Powerpoint to accompany your testimony today. Is
19 that correct?

20 A. I do.

21 Q. We're going to hand you a copy of a
22 Powerpoint that's been marked as SIPC Exhibit 52 --

23 A. Thank you.

24 Q. -- where the title slide reads "Testimony of

1 David Hagen," which is also displayed as slide 1 on the
2 screen in the room. Do you recognize this document?

3 A. I do.

4 Q. Is this a true and correct copy of the
5 Powerpoint prepared to accompany your testimony today?

6 A. Yes.

7 Q. Did you assist in drafting or otherwise
8 review and approve the contents of this Powerpoint?

9 A. Yes.

10 Q. And just generally, what does the Powerpoint
11 contain?

12 A. It really relates to information that's
13 provided in far more detail in both my 2021 and 2025
14 reports.

15 Q. Mr. Hagen, let's talk a little bit more
16 about CCR surface impoundments. What is a CCR surface
17 impoundment?

18 A. Well, it has a specific definition. We
19 heard about that yesterday, and essentially what that
20 is -- what they are is either a natural depression or a
21 man-made structure that's designed to hold an
22 accumulation of CCR, coal combustion residuals, for
23 purposes of storage, treatment or disposal.

24 Q. How do CCR surface impoundments typically

1 operate?

2 A. It's actually pretty simple. The -- A
3 facility like a coal-burning power plant will have a
4 system of delivering sluiced ash or sluiced CCR to the
5 pond wet, so that's why they're a pond. Everything comes
6 in -- that comes into the surface impoundment, it comes
7 in wet.

8 Q. And you used the term accumulation --

9 A. Yes.

10 Q. -- when talking about what a CCR surface
11 impoundment is. What does the term accumulation mean in
12 your -- based on your professional experience and
13 judgment?

14 A. Oh, gosh. Probably the best -- For CCR
15 surface impoundments, probably the best way to describe
16 that would be looking at -- I don't know which slide it
17 is. I have a slide in my report that talks about how CCR
18 surface impoundments operate, what they look like, the
19 accumulation of significant amounts of ash.

20 Q. And we'll talk about each of the ponds you
21 looked at in more detail, but do you consider the amount
22 of sediment contained within these ponds to be an
23 accumulation of CCR?

24 A. Well, I think the more important word here

1 is whether or not it's a significant accumulation,
2 because you can accumulate even just sediment from
3 stormwater runoff, so probably the real term to look at
4 is significant accumulation, and these ponds in my
5 opinion don't have a -- what I call a significant
6 accumulation.

7 Q. In your experience, what volume of CCR do
8 you typically see in a CCR surface impoundment?

9 A. Gosh. It can vary. However, it's not
10 uncommon to have tens of feet of CCR in a CCR surface
11 impoundment.

12 Q. Mr. Hagen, are you familiar with the concept
13 of a reasonable degree of scientific certainty?

14 A. I am.

15 Q. What does that mean?

16 A. What it means for me is utilizing accepted
17 methods, coming to conclusions that have a basis that's
18 more likely than not or what I call a preponderance of
19 the evidence. Those multiple things that we do are
20 called multiple lines of evidence, so different methods
21 we may use to make -- to arrive at conclusions are
22 usually done through multiple lines of evidence.

23 Q. And are you offering your opinion today to a
24 reasonable degree of scientific certainty?

1 A. I am.

2 Q. And you just mentioned looking at multiple
3 lines of evidence. What is a -- and you've also used the
4 term lines of evidence in your report. What is a lines
5 of evidence analysis?

6 A. Well, essentially taking information from
7 different things that we -- different activities that we
8 perform, collecting data during those activities, and
9 then putting all of that together to come up with
10 reasonable scientific certainty, which is more likely
11 than not.

12 Q. And how do you choose the lines of evidence
13 to analyze?

14 A. Well, that's based on professional judgment.
15 You know, we have processes and procedures for all sorts
16 of different things that generate data that we utilize to
17 come up with our decisions and conclusions.

18 Q. In the case of the ponds that you evaluated
19 for your pond investigation report, SIPC 29, was there
20 available some sort of definitive test to determine the
21 precise amount of CCR that may be present in the units?

22 A. I would not call any of the testing that we
23 did definitive, but again, it was lines of evidence that
24 we would use.

1 Q. Yeah. So my question is, to your knowledge,
2 based on your professional background, is there a
3 definitive test that could have been done?

4 A. Not to my knowledge.

5 Q. And so did you use sort of the best
6 available information that was available?

7 A. We used the best -- in my opinion, the best
8 available information based on the testing that's
9 accepted.

10 Q. So in the absence of the availability of a
11 definitive test, is it appropriate in your opinion to
12 rely upon lines of evidence -- or a lines of evidence
13 approach to determine the makeup of a unit?

14 A. Yes, absolutely.

15 Q. And is that what you did in your pond
16 investigation report?

17 A. Yes.

18 Q. So turning to that report -- and again,
19 we'll talk about the units in more detail later -- but
20 based on the lines of evidence that you evaluated, what
21 is your overall opinion to a reasonable degree of
22 scientific certainty regarding the amount of CCR located
23 in the ponds that you investigated?

24 A. I would characterize that as -- that the CCR

1 in the ponds at the Marion Station plant are
2 insignificant. They're not a significant amount of CCR.

3 Q. All right. So let's talk a little bit more
4 about your pond investigation report and turn to slide 2
5 of your -- the Powerpoint that you've got here. What
6 methodologies did you use to conduct your investigation?

7 A. Well, as shown on slide 2, these -- yeah,
8 these would be the processes that we would use for our
9 multiple lines of evidence. We looked at pond usage and
10 design information. We conducted -- A bathymetric survey
11 was conducted. Carbon/nitrogen/hydrogen analysis was
12 conducted. A polarized light microscopy was also
13 conducted. There was a characterization of major cation
14 and anion concentrations using a shake test method, and
15 then there were groundwater monitoring results from the
16 facility over time.

17 Q. And what units specifically did you look at
18 when conducting your investigation?

19 A. Well, we looked at the south fly ash pond,
20 pond 3A/3, pond 6, pond 4, and we had information on
21 pond B-3.

22 Q. And are these ponds depicted on slide 3 of
23 your Powerpoint?

24 A. They are.

1 Q. Are they the ponds depicted in yellow font?

2 A. Actually, they are the ponds -- there's not
3 a depiction of the ponds. It's not in a font. It's
4 not -- I can just name them.

5 Q. Okay. Sure.

6 A. They're not in a font and there are other
7 ponds that are circled in purple. I was thinking they
8 might be circled in purple, but there are other ponds
9 that are --

10 Q. I believe they might be in yellow font. I
11 know you have a small version there. But no worries.

12 A. Oh, yeah, it is a yellow font. You're
13 right. I'll correct that. Thank you.

14 Q. All right. Let's talk about the
15 methodologies you looked at one by one and with the
16 slide 4 of your presentation. Mr. Hagen, what is a
17 bathymetric survey?

18 A. Well, it's a technique that we use to
19 determine sediment thickness in rivers, lakes, whatever
20 surface water we're studying.

21 Q. Is a bathymetric survey by itself indicative
22 of the CCR volume in a unit?

23 A. It's not. It's really just a measure of the
24 sediment that's in a surface water, in a structure.

1 Q. On what units at the Marion Station was a
2 bathymetric survey conducted?

3 A. Ponds 3/3A, 4, pond 6, and the south fly ash
4 pond.

5 Q. Were there any limitations to conducting a
6 bathymetric survey of 100 percent of the areas of any of
7 these ponds?

8 A. Yes. Pond 4 and the south fly ash pond were
9 unable to be surveyed over the entire pond, because you
10 need a boat in order to do the bathymetric survey and
11 there were inaccessible areas of the pond because the
12 water levels were lower in those ponds.

13 Q. Even though they could not reach the full
14 extent of the pond when conducting the bathymetric survey
15 for those two ponds, were the results for the remainder
16 of the pond able to be extrapolated?

17 A. Yes, they were.

18 Q. So did the bathymetric survey results
19 include estimates for the entirety of the ponds, even
20 those portions for pond 4 and the south fly ash pond that
21 couldn't necessarily be accessed by the boat?

22 A. Yes.

23 Q. And in your expert opinion, would there be
24 any reason to redo the bathymetric survey for pond 4 and

1 the south fly ash pond?

2 A. I don't believe so. I think that the
3 information that was provided by the bathymetric survey
4 and the extrapolation was adequate for the purposes of
5 the investigation.

6 Q. Was a bathymetric survey performed on former
7 pond B-3?

8 A. It was not.

9 Q. Why not?

10 A. Because there was no water in pond B-3. By
11 the time the bathymetric survey was conducted, the pond
12 had been closed.

13 Q. So for the units where a bathymetric survey
14 was conducted, what were the results of the bathymetric
15 survey?

16 A. Well, probably -- I mean, we have a table
17 that gives all of the different numbers, but probably the
18 thing that's -- I think is most important is the mean
19 sediment thickness, because that really tells you
20 something about the accumulation of sediments in the
21 ponds, and our results were that there was nothing over
22 2 feet of sediment thickness, and the highest value was
23 1.67 feet of sediment thickness.

24 Q. And you heard Mr. Gallenbach and

1 Mr. McLaurin's testimony regarding the presence of
2 organic materials from around the station making up a
3 portion of the pond contents; is that right?

4 A. Yes.

5 Q. Would those organic materials be included in
6 the sediment values derived through the bathymetric
7 survey?

8 A. Yes.

9 Q. If there were -- was coal pile runoff that
10 entered into these ponds, would that make up -- would
11 that be included in the sediment thickness included in
12 the bathymetric survey?

13 A. Yes.

14 Q. If there were other types of runoff or
15 naturally-occurring minerals from around the plant that
16 made their way into these ponds, would those be included
17 in the sediment thickness included in the bathymetric
18 survey?

19 A. Anything that was in the sediment, so that
20 would be inclusive of those materials also.

21 Q. All right. I'd like to move to slide 5 and
22 direct you to figure 4 of your pond investigation report,
23 which was reproduced here on the slide. What does this
24 figure show?

1 A. It just shows what I call three typical CCR
2 impoundment configurations and the sediment thickness
3 associated with them.

4 Q. How does this figure compare to the sediment
5 thickness you see at the units for which a bathymetric
6 survey was conducted?

7 A. Well, if you look at the scale on these, the
8 ash is tens of feet -- the CCR is tens of feet thick
9 instead of the less than two feet of sediment
10 accumulation at the Marion Station.

11 Q. Is there also any distinction between the
12 volume of the pond the sediment makes up, the overall
13 volume of the pond?

14 A. Well, it depends on where it is in the life
15 of the pond. The ponds that have been around for a long
16 time oftentimes are significant -- have significant
17 thickness of CCR.

18 Q. So you also mentioned that you conducted a
19 carbon, hydrogen and nitrogen content analysis; is that
20 correct?

21 A. Yes.

22 Q. What is a carbon, hydrogen and nitrogen
23 content analysis?

24 A. It's an ASTM test method, so there's a

1 standard method, and it measures the percent of carbon,
2 nitrogen and hydrogen in a solid sample.

3 Q. How is this type of analysis done?

4 A. Well, like I said, it's -- you obtain a
5 sample and you subject it to the requirements of the ASTM
6 process for carbon, nitrogen and hydrogen analysis and
7 you get your results. I'm not sure that I'm answering
8 your question, though.

9 Q. Thank you.

10 A. Okay. Sure.

11 Q. All right. What can such an analysis tell
12 you about the contents of the sediments found in ponds
13 that were analyzed?

14 A. Ponds with a high organic -- or high carbon
15 content would be indicative of a high carbon source,
16 which would include coal and would also include organics.

17 Q. To your knowledge, what is the typical
18 unburned carbon content in fly ash?

19 A. Well, it's pretty low. For our report we
20 used a threshold -- a conservative threshold of
21 20 percent.

22 Q. And would you typically expect the carbon
23 content of fly ash to be below 20 percent?

24 A. Yes.

1 Q. I'm sorry. So would you consider that
2 20 percent threshold to be a conservative --

3 A. Yes, it's a conservative estimate.

4 Q. And what is the typical unburned carbon
5 content of bottom ash?

6 A. It's actually, I think, a little bit lower
7 than fly ash, but it would get -- be, again, in the low
8 percent range, certainly less than 20 percent, so a
9 conservative estimate for -- of 20 percent would be fine
10 for bottom ash also.

11 Q. In your experience, can fly ash be burned as
12 fuel?

13 A. No.

14 Q. In your experience, can bottom ash be burned
15 as fuel?

16 A. No.

17 Q. All right. I think you explained a little
18 bit about what a carbon analysis can tell you about the
19 contents of the pond sediments. You also looked at
20 nitrogen and hydrogen content?

21 A. Yes.

22 Q. What does looking at nitrogen and hydrogen
23 content of the materials tell one about sediment source?

24 A. One of the things we can do with nitrogen

1 and hydrogen is look at the ratio of the two compared to
2 one another and take a look and see how that falls on a
3 trend analysis, falls on the line, and if those results
4 fall along the line, that oftentimes tells us that we
5 have a single source for our sample.

6 Q. So starting with the carbon analysis that
7 you did and looking at slide 6 of your presentation, what
8 were the results of the carbon analysis that you
9 conducted on the pond sediments?

10 A. So utilizing the 20 percent conservative
11 threshold for carbon, there were two ponds that had
12 carbon content of the sediment solid samples that were
13 greater than 20 percent, and that was pond 3A and pond 4,
14 and that's shown on the table on the left. There were
15 other ponds where the carbon content fell below
16 20 percent by and large, with the exception of one sample
17 on the south fly ash pond that was over 20 percent.

18 Q. And what does the fact that these samples
19 from -- on 4 and 3A have a carbon content greater than
20 20 percent tell you about the likely source of the
21 sediments in those ponds?

22 A. It's unlikely to have any significant ash
23 source, like a CCR source. It's more likely -- high --
24 more -- far more likely that it would be coal or organic

1 materials or a little bit of both.

2 Q. And if the carbon content in materials is
3 less than 20 percent, does that necessarily indicate that
4 the materials in the sediment are CCR?

5 A. No.

6 Q. So you also mentioned the hydrogen and
7 nitrogen content analysis that you did.

8 A. Yes.

9 Q. I believe we have a reproduction of a chart
10 from your report on slide 7.

11 A. 7.

12 Q. What were the results of the hydrogen and
13 nitrogen content analysis that you conducted?

14 A. That's shown -- Essentially what we do is
15 plot out the ratio of hydrogen and nitrogen, and that's
16 shown on the right-hand chart, and we look at that as a
17 trend line to help us determine the potential source.
18 When it all falls along a trend line, as it has in this
19 case, it's indicative of a single source and in this case
20 would be indicative of a -- coal or organic matter or
21 something like that.

22 Q. Now, on this slide you've got a statement
23 that says, "The carbon versus hydrogen and hydrogen
24 versus nitrogen correlation are inconsistent with

1 unburned coal"; is that right?

2 A. That's correct.

3 Q. All right. And can you just provide a
4 little more detail regarding the basis for that
5 conclusion? Does that relate to the -- these correlation
6 lines?

7 A. It does. It relates to -- actually to both
8 correlation lines, and I'm just going to -- and that's
9 essentially the basis for that statement. I'll also note
10 that this is just, again, one line of evidence, so we
11 look at other lines of evidence at the same time to help
12 us make determinations.

13 Q. Oh, sorry. And I think I need to correct
14 myself. I think I said that your statement read that it
15 was inconsistent with unburned coal, but it actually
16 reads "inconsistent with burned coal"; is that right?

17 A. That's right.

18 Q. Okay.

19 A. It's what's on the slide.

20 Q. Assuming that's what I said, does your
21 previous statement still hold true?

22 A. Well, it's -- I'll read it. "The carbon
23 versus hydrogen and hydrogen versus nitrogen correlation
24 are inconsistent with burned coal."

1 Q. Okay. If it was consistent with burned
2 coal, would you expect to see deviations from those lines
3 that you have depicted on this chart?

4 A. Yes.

5 Q. And is this correlation also inconsistent
6 with scrubber sludge?

7 A. Yes. Scrubber sludge just simply doesn't
8 have a high carbon content, so I don't even know if it's
9 necessarily applicable here, but, yes, you would not see
10 scrubber sludge that would have -- it would fall off the
11 line also, in my opinion.

12 Q. Okay. By fall off the line, meaning you
13 would expect to see a deviation from the line?

14 A. From the trend, yes.

15 Q. And that would be if there was scrubber
16 sludge present in these samples?

17 A. Yes.

18 Q. Okay. Thanks, Mr. Hagen. So now I want to
19 move on to talking about PLM, polarized light microscopy.

20 A. Yes.

21 Q. So just first off, what is PLM?

22 A. Well, it's subjecting a sample,
23 microscopic-level sample, of solid materials to what's
24 called polarized light, and then what happens is when you

1 do that, the different materials that make up that sample
2 will have different optical properties, and then based on
3 those optical properties, you can determine what they
4 are, assuming you have reference samples of those
5 materials.

6 Q. What ponds were analyzed using PLM?

7 A. Pond 3/3A, pond 6, pond 4 and the south fly
8 ash pond.

9 Q. I understand that as part of the PLM
10 analysis, control samples were taken of unit 4 fly ash;
11 is that correct?

12 A. That's correct.

13 Q. I'd like to address some topics related to
14 Board questions 4 and 5.

15 A. Okay.

16 Q. What was the purpose of using a fly ash
17 sample from unit 4 as a control sample?

18 A. Well, my understanding is unit 4 was a unit
19 that actually had materials that were placed in the
20 landfill. I think it was scrubber sludge that was placed
21 in the landfill. I don't believe -- well, we didn't have
22 a sample of the older furnace.

23 Q. And why -- And can you just explain why you
24 didn't have a sample from the older boiler?

1 A. I believe that was -- yeah, in the boiler.
2 I believe that was boiler 1, comma, 2, comma, 3. Is that
3 correct?

4 Q. I think it might have been three separate
5 boilers, 1 -- historic units 1, 2 and 3, yes, but --

6 A. 1, 2 and 3. Okay. Got it. Well, they were
7 taken offline years and years ago and there were just no
8 samples to be obtained.

9 Q. And why didn't you use a sample from the
10 current unit 123 or 123 --

11 A. We'll get it right.

12 Q. -- as a control sample?

13 A. Well, because my understanding is -- or our
14 understanding is that none of that material was placed
15 out in the -- on the land, so that material was all sent
16 off and none of that was placed on the land. Unit 4 had
17 materials that was placed on the land.

18 Q. Got it. And unit 123, as the -- I think you
19 may have heard the company witnesses testify and I think
20 you said, it was sent off, meaning it was never disposed
21 of at the station? Is that what you meant by that?

22 A. That's my understanding. That's correct.

23 Q. Okay. Thank you. What is the purpose of
24 using a fly ash sample from unit 4 as a control?

1 A. Well, it's really the best way for us to
2 identify the optical properties of fly ash obtained from
3 unit 4, so it's really about getting the best sample we
4 could of -- for optical purposes to identify in the PLM.

5 Q. Would the control sample be the only way for
6 RJ Lee, who conducted the PLM analysis, to determine what
7 portion of the sediments they were analyzing from the
8 de minimis ponds consisted of fly ash?

9 A. The answer is no. They have other reference
10 fly ash samples. RJ Lee does this kind of work routinely
11 and they're really experts at doing it, so they have
12 reference samples. However, it's really -- if you can
13 get samples from the facility, that's way better, so
14 that's why we did it.

15 Q. Got it. And does that assist them with
16 further determining whether a particle may be fly ash or
17 not?

18 A. Yes.

19 Q. But without the control sample, could they
20 still conduct this analysis?

21 A. They could have, certainly.

22 Q. All right. On page 13 of your report that
23 is marked as SIPC Exhibit 29, you state that the fly ash
24 sample for unit 4, quote, "was obtained during the last

1 few days of operation of unit 4. At this time, the
2 combustion efficiency of the boiler might not have been
3 at its best." Your report also notes that the sample may
4 not have contained, quote, "pure unit 4 fly ash." How do
5 you believe the fly ash content of this sample would
6 compare to the fly ash content of the sample collected
7 during normal operation of unit 4?

8 A. Well, the fly ash -- actually, the optical
9 properties of the fly ash should not be significantly
10 different, and that's what we were really after, were the
11 optical properties of the fly ash.

12 Q. So does the fact that the sample may not
13 have contained pure unit 4 fly ash because it was
14 collected through the end of the life of unit 4 have an
15 impact on its usefulness for the PLM analysis?

16 A. No, not in my opinion. It's really about
17 getting a reference from the facility for the optical
18 properties of the sample.

19 Q. On page 8 of your Powerpoint, or slide 8, we
20 have a copy of table 7 from your pond investigation
21 report; is that right?

22 A. Yes.

23 Q. What does it depict?

24 A. Well, it depicts the results of the PLM

1 analysis and the identification of particles from the
2 samples that were obtained from the different ponds and
3 the different constituents that were found by the
4 analyst, and that includes fly ash, bottom ash, something
5 I call slag, coal, other, and then a column that has the
6 total amounts.

7 Q. All right. And let's go through the
8 categories just briefly. What is fly ash?

9 A. Well, fly ash is a coal combustion residual
10 that is a lighter fraction that during the combustion
11 process actually flows up and is picked up in air
12 pollution control equipment at the facility.

13 Q. What is bottom ash?

14 A. Bottom ash is a heavier fraction that is at
15 the bottom, that sinks to the bottom of the boiler.

16 Q. What's -- What is slag?

17 A. Slag's very similar to bottom ash. It's
18 just that usually slag is subjected to, like, some sort
19 of process where the molten material is cooled rapidly,
20 and it's really glassier and -- more of a molten material
21 that's glassy.

22 Q. And what about -- what does the slag plus
23 fly ash plus bottom ash category represent here?

24 A. Well, that would represent the addition of

1 all three of those columns that preceded and would be
2 what would be regulated as a CCR.

3 Q. What is the coal category?

4 A. Coal is coal. It's unburned coal, and it'd
5 be things like the coal fines that have been described by
6 some of the facility people.

7 Q. And what is the "other" category?

8 A. "Other" is just that. It's those materials
9 that are part of the sample that would be related to
10 potentially naturally-occurring things like quartz and
11 carbonate and things like that. It would also include
12 organics, maybe some clay minerals, things like that,
13 just -- but they're really -- oftentimes they're just
14 part of the sample and oftentimes they're naturally
15 occurring.

16 Q. And referring to the "other" category, what
17 is your opinion to a reasonable degree of scientific
18 certainty regarding the amount of scrubber sludge that
19 may be present in the "other" category numbers presented
20 in your chart?

21 A. Well, actually, I don't think that
22 there's -- would be a significant amount of scrubber
23 sludge in the samples in that scrubber sludge really was
24 only disposed in the landfill, so it wasn't disposed in

1 the pond systems that are under question here, and it was
2 really disposed of in the landfill.

3 Q. Okay. And to your knowledge, would there be
4 other sources for the "other" category in these units as
5 well?

6 A. Yeah. I described that earlier. That could
7 be all sorts of other materials that are naturally
8 occurring that you would see in any sort of normal runoff
9 at any other site; at any site, for that matter.

10 Q. Put another way, is there a reasonable
11 degree of scientific certainty that the "other" category
12 is made up of a significant amount of scrubber sludge?

13 A. On my view, the answer to that is no,
14 because the scrubber sludge really wasn't -- it was only
15 disposed of in the landfill. It wasn't part of the pond
16 systems.

17 Q. Does Mr. Gallenbach and Mr. McLaurin's
18 testimony regarding the organic matter that may have
19 fallen -- gone into these ponds impact your opinion at
20 all on that issue?

21 A. Yeah, I think it's relevant in the -- and I
22 visited the site and there's a significant amount of
23 phragmites that needs to be managed. I know you've heard
24 some testimony that the facility manages their

1 phragmites. I think that -- I don't think it's
2 insignificant that you have organic matter at the bottom
3 of these ponds.

4 Q. So just to kind of wrap it up, are you
5 familiar with whether there are possible sources for the
6 "other" category outside of scrubber sludge?

7 A. Yeah, the organics, runoff of just normal
8 materials, which would be quartz, clay, those sorts of
9 things.

10 Q. Thank you. All right. Can you just briefly
11 summarize what the conclusions of your PLM -- or the PLM
12 analysis presented in your report were?

13 A. Yes. The PLM had I guess what I would
14 call -- the sediment, which was what was tested, had
15 variable amounts of PLM -- or had variable amounts of
16 CCR, never exceeding in any one sample 70 percent, and
17 oftentimes much lower than that, and certain ponds had
18 very low CCR content and others had a little bit more.

19 Q. Are there any limitations to PLM analysis?

20 A. Yes.

21 Q. Okay. Can you please explain?

22 A. Yeah, the -- probably the -- we conducted
23 PLM here to just get an idea of -- it's really a general
24 idea of the contents of this -- the CCR content of the

1 sediments. It was not meant to be a definitive
2 quantitative analysis. In order to do that, you would
3 have -- we would have had to have taken more samples, and
4 part of the reason why is because you have to remember
5 these ponds are, you know, fairly large compared to the
6 size of the sample that you obtain for PLM, so you would
7 need to collect what I call a statistically
8 representative sample population in order to have more
9 confidence.

10 Q. And is the PLM analysis necessarily
11 determinative of the contents of the whole pond?

12 A. No.

13 Q. So you talked about lines of evidence
14 earlier?

15 A. Yes.

16 Q. Okay. Is this just one line of evidence
17 that you use in your analysis?

18 A. Yes.

19 Q. Another type of analysis you discuss in a
20 couple of places in Exhibit 29 is the shake test
21 analysis; is that right?

22 A. Yes.

23 Q. I'd like to move on to slide 10 of your
24 Powerpoint.

1 A. Thank you.

2 Q. All right. Mr. Hagen, what is the function
3 and purpose of a shake test analysis?

4 A. Shake test analysis is just one of many
5 tests that we have that we can use that relate to the
6 leaching of chemical constituents from a solid to a
7 liquid, so it's a leaching test.

8 Q. How is a shake test conducted?

9 A. It's an ASTM method. It's a sample aliquot
10 that's measured. You put essentially neutral deionized
11 water, you shake the sample, you take the extract, and
12 then you analyze the liquid extract to come up with the
13 leachability of the sample.

14 Q. And what does a shake test assess?

15 A. Leachability. It really is just how much of
16 a chemical or a constituent, whatever it might be, would
17 leach into -- from a solid to a liquid.

18 Q. What samples were analyzed using a shake
19 test at the Marion facility?

20 A. Well, there were samples that were obtained
21 from pond 3 and 3A, samples from pond 6, samples from
22 pond 4 and samples from the south fly ash pond.

23 Q. Did the findings presented in your report
24 include samples from the berms of the units?

1 A. Yeah, we had berm sample results in a
2 separate table, that's correct.

3 Q. To your knowledge, why were the berm samples
4 analyzed?

5 A. I believe they were analyzed at the request
6 of the Illinois EPA.

7 Q. To your knowledge, was there discussion
8 between SIPC and Illinois EPA regarding the scope of
9 the -- some of the analyses presented in your pond
10 investigation report?

11 A. That's my understanding.

12 Q. In your experience, does analyzing a berm
13 sample tell you anything about what's been deposited in a
14 pond?

15 A. The answer to that is no. What's -- The
16 contents of the pond is what's accumulated in the pond.
17 Berms are part of the construction.

18 Q. For what -- So I think you talk about what
19 units shake tests of sediment were conducted. Why was no
20 sediment shake test conducted for former pond B-3?

21 A. Because there was no sediment to sample.
22 The pond was closed.

23 Q. For what units were berm shake tests
24 conducted?

1 A. I'm going to refer to table -- page 11 of my
2 Powerpoint, just so you know. It would have been pond 3,
3 3A, 4, 6 and the south fly ash pond, as well as berm
4 samples from pond B-3.

5 Q. So in your report you discuss analysis of
6 major cation and anion concentrations using shake tests;
7 is that right?

8 A. Yes.

9 Q. What are cations and anions?

10 A. A cation is a positively charged constituent
11 particle and an anion is a negatively charged ion
12 particle constituent, and the major cations and anions
13 make up the vast majority of the chemistry of a sample.

14 Q. What can an analysis of cation and anion
15 concentrations tell one about the makeup of material in
16 sediment?

17 A. Well, we use it -- we oftentimes use it as,
18 again, a line of evidence to help us with the source of a
19 material.

20 Q. And what do the cation and anion
21 concentrations results reveal regarding the makeup of the
22 sediments that were analyzed for your report?

23 A. Well, by and large -- and there were a few
24 exceptions -- I'll tell you about that in a minute -- but

1 by and large, there were very few exceedances of any of
2 the major cations and anions. There were two ponds,
3 pond 3A and pond 4, where there are no exceedances of the
4 major cations, and when I say exceedances, to Illinois
5 groundwater quality criteria. So no exceedances of an
6 Illinois water quality criteria in pond 3A and 4, and
7 most of the -- the sediments in the other ponds, with the
8 exception of sulfate, none of them were over an Illinois
9 groundwater quality criteria.

10 Q. So you mentioned that 4 and -- pond 4 and
11 the 3A portion of pond 3/3A did not have exceedances of
12 any cations or anions analyzed for; is that right?

13 A. That's correct.

14 Q. Okay. And then you mentioned for other
15 ponds there were some samples that included elevated
16 sulfate?

17 A. That's correct.

18 Q. Were there exceedances of any other cations
19 or anions in those units?

20 A. Well, like I said, the major cations and
21 anions, there were no exceedances except for sulfate. We
22 also did more along the line of what I call trace
23 constituents or trace metals. We also did those too.

24 Q. Going back to the -- what you just mentioned

1 about the sulfate, is the presence of sulfate necessarily
2 indicative of CCR?

3 A. Not necessarily. It can be naturally
4 occurring.

5 Q. Okay. So putting aside their usefulness for
6 a moment, what were the results of the cation and anion
7 analysis conducted of the berm samples?

8 A. Well, by and large, with one exception,
9 there were no exceedances of any of the Illinois water
10 quality criteria, groundwater quality criteria, for any
11 of the major cations and anions, with the exception of
12 one sample from pond 3. I believe it's pond 3. Pond 3
13 had one sulfate exceedance.

14 Q. And are the results of that analysis shown
15 here on slide 11 of your Powerpoint?

16 A. That's correct.

17 Q. All right. Did the shake tests include
18 evaluation of any constituents other than cations and
19 anions?

20 A. Yes. I mentioned that there were some what
21 I call trace elements, trace constituents, trace metals,
22 if you want to call it that, and that included antimony,
23 arsenic, boron, selenium and thallium.

24 Q. Why did you include analysis of those

1 constituents?

2 A. Well, because those constituents can be
3 found in CCR.

4 Q. I'd like to turn to slide 7 of your
5 presentation.

6 A. Slide --

7 Q. Sorry. Slide 12.

8 A. 12. Thank you.

9 Q. 12. What did the results -- or what were
10 the results of the shake tests for those other
11 constituents -- or actually, strike that. Let me take a
12 step back. What did the results of the shake tests for
13 those other constituents that you just mentioned lead you
14 to conclude regarding pond 4?

15 A. Well, again, in pond 4 we did not see an
16 exceedance of any of the Illinois groundwater quality
17 criteria, including those trace metals.

18 Q. And what does that tell you about the makeup
19 of pond 4?

20 A. Well, it can -- the sediments contain
21 materials that would be highly unlikely to impact
22 groundwater quality.

23 Q. All right. Thank you. And what -- all
24 right. And what did the result of the shake test for

1 these other constituents lead you to conclude regarding
2 the 3A portion of pond 3/3A?

3 A. It's the same as pond 4 and it's consistent
4 with the other shake test results, which is that we
5 didn't find the trace metals above Illinois groundwater
6 quality criteria.

7 Q. And what does that tell you about the makeup
8 of 3A?

9 A. That it's unlikely that sediments in pond 3A
10 would adversely impact groundwater quality above Illinois
11 groundwater quality criteria.

12 Q. And again, you said that these constituents
13 you were analyzing for -- are they associated with CCR?

14 A. Yes.

15 Q. And so if there was a significant amount of
16 CCR in these units, would you expect to see some of these
17 constituents at elevated levels?

18 A. It depends. There's one constituent in
19 particular that we see over and over and over, almost all
20 ash ponds, actually, CCR ponds, and that's boron, and we
21 don't see an elevated boron above water quality --
22 Illinois groundwater quality criteria.

23 Q. All right. And what did the results of the
24 shake tests for these other constituents lead you to

1 conclude regarding the other units that were analyzed?

2 A. Well, the other units had some -- as I
3 mentioned before, they had some sulfate concentrations
4 that were above Illinois groundwater quality criteria,
5 and then they also had some TDS that were above Illinois
6 groundwater quality criteria. The TDS in our opinion is
7 less reliable because we had some TDS results that didn't
8 make much sense. There -- TDS is made up of all of those
9 major cations and anions, and if you look at some of the
10 test results, several of the test results, the numbers
11 just don't add up.

12 Q. So for those units that were found to have
13 higher sulfate and TDS concentrations, do you believe
14 that they are causing or contributing to groundwater
15 contamination?

16 A. Well, from the information that we had for
17 this work, the answer for that is no, and that's based on
18 groundwater wells that had been installed at the facility
19 and had been monitored for years and years and years.

20 Q. And can you just explain how you reached a
21 conclusion using those groundwater wells and that data
22 regarding whether or not those ponds where there was some
23 elevated sulfate and TDS were not causing or contributing
24 to the groundwater contamination?

1 A. Well, the couple things that we did, we
2 wanted to see the relationship of sulfate to sulfates on
3 solids. We looked at that through a bivariate analysis.
4 That was a pretty simple conclusion that high solid and
5 sulfate produced high liquid sulfate in water results --
6 that's not terribly surprising, but we did it anyway --
7 and that there was a correlation between sulfate and TDS.
8 So when you had high sulfate, oftentimes you had high
9 TDS, except those five samples that I mentioned before
10 where we have very low sulfate and very high TDS values.
11 It just didn't make any sense. So it's called a
12 bivariate analysis and it's included in my report.

13 Q. For former pond B-3, though no sediment
14 sample could be taken at the time of this investigation,
15 were there historic sediment samples you could analyze?

16 A. Yes.

17 Q. Are a summary of those results presented on
18 slide 14 of your presentation?

19 A. Getting there. Yes.

20 Q. Okay. Thanks. And I apologize. Before
21 moving on to slide 14, if we could go back to slide 13,
22 and again, just sort of putting the usefulness of such
23 results aside, did you also analyze the berm samples for
24 these additional constituents you just mentioned?

1 A. We did.

2 Q. Okay. And what were the results of the
3 shake test for these constituents in the berm samples?

4 A. The constituents that we analyzed included
5 some of those trace metals that I mentioned before and
6 also major cations and anions. We did not see -- With
7 the exception of a couple of outliers, we did not see
8 exceedances of Illinois groundwater quality criteria from
9 berm samples.

10 Q. Would you expect based on the analysis of
11 this berm sample for these berms to be causing or
12 contributing to groundwater exceedances?

13 A. I would not expect that.

14 Q. All right. Now moving on to slide 14, what
15 does slide 14 depict?

16 A. These are the samples of shake tests that
17 were conducted on soil samples from pond B-3.

18 Q. And what did those results lead you to
19 conclude regarding CCR content in former B-3?

20 A. Well, first of all, I look at the chart,
21 look at the table, and there's really -- with the
22 exception of a couple of parameters, one of which is pH,
23 but the fact is that there's really no -- with one
24 exception, there's no exceedances to Illinois groundwater

1 quality criteria. For me, that indicates that this pond
2 or former pond would not be contributing to groundwater
3 contamination.

4 Q. And based on these results, would you expect
5 there to be a significant amount of CCR content in
6 pond B-3 at the time these results were taken?

7 A. The answer to that is no, I don't believe
8 that -- those results are not indicative of significant
9 CCR.

10 Q. Again, would you expect, for example, to
11 potentially see elevated boron if there was CCR?

12 A. You would.

13 Q. I want to focus on pond 4 specifically
14 because SIPC is asking for something a little different
15 with this unit in terms of the adjusted standard that's
16 being requested. Okay. So to confirm, what did your
17 lines of evidence analysis conclude regarding the content
18 of CCR in pond 4?

19 A. If you look at all of the lines of evidence,
20 my conclusion is that CCR present in -- if present, would
21 not be present in significant amounts and would not be
22 contributing to groundwater contamination.

23 Q. All right. Thank you, Mr. Hagen. So now
24 I'd like to turn to the other report that you prepared

1 for this matter that's been marked as SIPC Exhibit 40.
2 It is attached to SIPC's response. Again, I know we
3 talked about this at the beginning of your testimony, but
4 just to refresh everyone's recollection, what was the
5 purpose of this report?

6 A. We reviewed work that had been conducted by
7 Illinois EPA and commented on that work.

8 Q. Let's start by talking about a few general
9 topics discussed in this report and move on to the next
10 slide in your presentation, which I believe is slide 15.

11 A. Okay.

12 Q. We've been using the term de minimis during
13 this hearing, yes?

14 A. Yes.

15 Q. Do you agree with that? Okay.

16 A. Yes.

17 Q. Are you familiar with this term as it's used
18 in the context of CCR surface impoundments?

19 A. I am.

20 Q. What is your understanding of what this term
21 means in connection with CCR surface impoundments?

22 A. Well, it really comes from USEPA and some of
23 the words they've used in their preamble to the CCR rule,
24 and it's really on this slide, and what the EPA said is

1 that the threshold for de minimis has not been set -- so
2 they haven't set a threshold -- recognizes that the
3 de minimis exemption is necessary and has clarified that
4 secondary or tertiary ponds that do not receive
5 significant amounts of CCR from a preceding impoundment
6 would not fall within the definition of a regulated CCR
7 surface impoundment.

8 Q. To your knowledge, would a de minimis CCR
9 surface impoundment contain some amount of CCR?

10 A. I would expect pretty much any sample that
11 would be obtained from a coal-burning power plant that's
12 been in operation for decades, that you would find some
13 level of CCR in almost anything, actually in any sample.

14 Q. And when you say any sample, you mean any
15 sample, like, from the station?

16 A. Yes.

17 Q. Okay.

18 A. Soil, ponds, you know, you would see -- I
19 think you would see CCR after decades of operations.

20 Q. To your knowledge, has USEPA provided a
21 definition of the amount of CCR -- a regulatory
22 definition of the amount of CCR at which a pond would be
23 considered de minimis?

24 A. I'm not aware of a surface impoundment

1 de minimis that has been provided by USEPA.

2 Q. Has USEPA provided any regulatory definition
3 for the term de minimis as used for CCR surface
4 impoundments, to your knowledge?

5 A. Not that I'm aware of.

6 Q. Okay. In your professional experience, what
7 are the characteristics you would look for to determine
8 whether the amount of CCR in a surface impoundment is
9 de minimis?

10 A. I think the important term there is
11 accumulation, and I would expect that you would see what
12 I call significant accumulation of CCR in a CCR regulated
13 surface impoundment. Those are really characterized by
14 primary ponds that are used to sluice ash and to settle
15 ash.

16 Q. And could it occasionally include a
17 secondary pond as well?

18 A. It can. Just depends on the -- how the
19 ponds are utilized and essentially their function, so
20 that was one of the reasons why we looked at the function
21 of the ponds, those sorts of things.

22 Q. So what are some of the things you would
23 look for to determine whether a secondary pond was
24 de minimis or not?

1 A. Well, I would say we want to look for things
2 that we looked at here in this -- you know, that's
3 summarized in our report.

4 Q. And by your report, do you mean your pond
5 investigation report?

6 A. Yes.

7 Q. All right. So again, you just mentioned and
8 as noted on slide 15 you note that USEPA has noted that
9 Part 257 is not meant to regulate secondary or tertiary
10 ponds that do not receive significant amounts of CCR from
11 a preceding impoundment; that is right?

12 A. Yes.

13 Q. Okay. So again, in your experience, what
14 does a pond look like when it receives significant amount
15 of CCR from a previous impoundment?

16 A. Significant accumulation and the pond's been
17 in service for some time. You would see tens of feet of
18 CCR accumulation.

19 Q. Have you reviewed the Agency's January 2023
20 recommendation document?

21 A. I believe I have.

22 Q. In that document, the Agency described the
23 number of truckloads it may take to remove sediment from
24 several of the units in that case. Are you familiar with

1 those numbers from the Agency's recommendation?

2 A. Generally. I couldn't give you the exact
3 numbers, but the general numbers, I am aware.

4 Q. Okay. You said you worked on 30 to 50 CCR
5 surface impoundments during your career; is that correct?

6 A. Yes.

7 Q. In your experience, how many truckloads of
8 CCR would you expect to see removed from a typical CCR
9 surface impoundment?

10 A. Depends on the size of the impoundment, but
11 if you think about what I just said, which is tens of
12 feet of accumulation over tens of acres, if you do that
13 math -- to hundreds of acres -- I mean, I've worked on
14 ash ponds that are 250 acres -- if you think about that
15 quantity of ash that's in those ponds, we're talking
16 about tens of thousands of truckloads or more and can
17 take years to implement. Now, of course that depends on
18 the size of the truck, which I think some people have
19 pointed out here if they were 10-ton trucks, you'd need
20 quite a bit more. If they were -- You know, we can put
21 tandem trucks together and get 20 tons at a time, and
22 that's really what my reference is.

23 Q. And so based on your experience, I think you
24 said that the surface impoundments that you've seen have

1 been tens to hundreds of acres; is that right?

2 A. Oh, yeah, absolutely.

3 Q. Okay. And the CCR surface impoundments that
4 you've seen -- again, just making sure I heard you
5 correctly -- they generally contain tens of feet or more?

6 A. Yes.

7 Q. Okay.

8 A. And depending how long they've been in
9 service, but oftentimes they've been in service for quite
10 some time and you'll see tens of feet of ash
11 accumulation.

12 Q. And how does the truckloads that you just
13 talked about compare to the truckloads the Agency
14 referenced in its January 2023 recommendation?

15 A. It's orders of magnitude greater.

16 Q. IEPA's January 2023 recommendation in this
17 matter also includes some calculations regarding the
18 amount of CCR in each of the ponds, and actually, if we
19 could just move to slide 16 of your presentation. So are
20 you familiar with the calculations -- or generally
21 familiar, right? I'm not asking you to remember the
22 specific numbers here --

23 A. Thank you.

24 Q. -- but just generally familiar --

1 A. Yes.

2 Q. -- with the fact that the Agency included
3 some calculations regarding the amount of CCR in each of
4 the ponds that SIPC refers to as the de minimis units?

5 A. Yes.

6 Q. So first, based on your review, do you agree
7 that the calculations in IEPA's recommendation are in
8 fact reflective of the amount of CCR in these ponds?

9 A. No.

10 Q. Why not?

11 A. Well, there's a few reasons why. One is
12 that their calculations incorrectly assume that all
13 sediment in a pond is CCR, and I think that the work
14 we've done would indicate that that's just not the case,
15 and that would be actually expected here because these
16 ponds are used for a different purpose, which is water
17 management, stormwater management. They also incorrectly
18 included the sediments in the berms, and I would not
19 include the sediments in the berms. They included --
20 well, their recommendation included the existence of
21 sediment buildup based on deltas that they noted in
22 aerial photos, and if you really look at the aerial
23 photos, it's quite possible, if not likely, that there
24 weren't real deltas, it was just a lower water level in

1 the pond, and that you were actually just seeing the pond
2 bottom instead of the existence of deltas.

3 Q. And does -- do assumptions related to water
4 levels have an impact on sediment calculations?

5 A. Absolutely. Your reference elevation is
6 a -- is the pond level, so all of your calculations are
7 going to be referenced off of your pond level.

8 Q. And did that in any way impact the -- based
9 on your review impact IEPA's calculations of the amount
10 of sediment in these units?

11 A. It did. In my opinion, it caused an
12 overcalculation of the amount of sediment in the units.

13 Q. And again, do you believe that it's
14 appropriate to include the berm areas as part of the
15 calculations?

16 A. No.

17 Q. And why not?

18 A. Well, if you really look at the definition
19 of a CCR surface impoundment, it really doesn't include
20 the berms.

21 Q. Are the berm areas of the unit typically
22 managed under any sort of hydraulic head?

23 A. No, usually not.

24 Q. Are you familiar with the aerial photographs

1 that were included with IEPA's recommendation and marked
2 as IEPA Exhibits 1 through 18?

3 A. I am.

4 Q. Did you see areas in these photographs that
5 IEPA appears to be referring to as deltas in its
6 recommendation?

7 A. I did.

8 Q. Do you agree that these were in fact deltas?

9 A. Well, they made inferences on aerial photos
10 several different areas, and one of the ways -- and I've
11 already made this statement on the deltas -- that you
12 would infer that there were deltas is that the sediment
13 that's being deposited in the ponds are actually above
14 the water level. Well, if you lower the water level,
15 then it would be a false positive for a delta. It would
16 just be the bottom of the pond. So that was one item
17 that I noted. The other was where a delta was called
18 out, and it appeared to me that that area was probably
19 not a delta but it was probably some other phenomena that
20 caused the appearance of the delta in that area, like a
21 land or slope movement into a pond.

22 Q. And do you believe that it's appropriate to
23 use visuals from an aerial photograph in order to
24 determine what sediment is made up of?

1 A. I actually prefer not to use color at all in
2 my assessments because the color can be different, and
3 just depends on the reflection of the materials, all
4 sorts of things, so I try and use -- I try and stay away
5 from using color if I can.

6 Q. In your experience -- Just moving on to sort
7 of permits, in your experience, is a permit always
8 indicative of the actual conditions of the unit?

9 A. It is not.

10 Q. Why not?

11 A. Well, a lot of times facilities will seek a
12 permit based on some future need because they don't want
13 to get caught short, so they'll seek a permit for some
14 future need, and then when the time comes for that future
15 need and it is not there, then they would never use the
16 unit for that permitted condition.

17 Q. So for example, in an NPDES permit, in your
18 experience, are all the listed discharges always
19 necessarily present?

20 A. Not necessarily, and another comment that
21 I'll make on NPDES is oftentimes we -- when we prepare
22 permits like that, we actually prepare them for higher
23 flows because we don't want to have an upset or a
24 permit -- you know, blow a permit because of too high of

1 flows.

2 Q. Got it. So you've seen -- at least in your
3 experience, you've seen the conservative use of higher
4 flows?

5 A. We do, that's correct.

6 Q. Okay. Is a permitted use always -- a
7 permitted use, you know, a use that's permitted in a
8 permit, always indicative of actual use?

9 A. No. It's for the reasons that I gave you in
10 the foregoing, which is the plant needs may change, so
11 therefore they wouldn't need to use it for the full --
12 the permit -- the reason for the permit they sought.

13 Q. So in your opinion, is it appropriate to
14 assume that a permitted use is the actual use of a unit?

15 A. The answer to that is no.

16 Q. And do you believe it's appropriate to
17 assume that a permitted volume is necessarily indicative
18 of actual volume?

19 A. Again, the answer to that's no.

20 Q. Do you believe that it was correct for IEPA
21 to assume that all sediment contained within ponds 4,
22 3/3A, the south fly ash pond and pond 6 is attributable
23 to CCR?

24 A. No.

1 Q. And why not?

2 A. Well, again, if you look at the work that we
3 did, the sediments are not 100 percent CCR, so they're
4 made of -- they are composed of other materials, like
5 coal, for instance, so to assume that it's all CCR would
6 be a false assumption.

7 Q. Let's talk a little bit more about pond 4
8 again. On page 4 of your Exhibit 40 you say -- and I'll
9 let you get there if you'd like.

10 A. Thank you. Go ahead.

11 Q. You state, quote, "The role of the pond 4 to
12 receive treated water makes it fit the definition of a
13 secondary finishing pond." Do you see that?

14 A. I don't, but I am familiar with that
15 statement, so I --

16 Q. Okay.

17 A. I believe that that's how it was written.

18 Q. Okay. Mr. Hagen, I just want to ask you,
19 what is a finishing pond?

20 A. A finishing pond is used across several
21 industries, all sorts of industries. Basically it's a
22 pond that's in a series of ponds that are used to finish
23 some sort of treatment.

24 Q. Okay. And in this case, what would make

1 pond 4 a finishing pond?

2 A. Well, it was not a primary pond. It was not
3 the first pond in the series, A, and B, it's part of a
4 process the facility uses to meet their NPDES permit, so
5 that's why it's a finishing pond. It's to help with the
6 water quality before there's a discharge to their NPDES
7 permitted outfall.

8 Q. Got it. And so when you say it's finishing,
9 is it finishing with respect to the water that's moving
10 into the unit?

11 A. That was my interpretation in looking at the
12 definition, that's correct.

13 Q. All right. So now I'd like to switch focus
14 a little bit, turn to slide 17 and talk about the former
15 landfill area a bit more. Based on the evidence you have
16 seen, was the landfill initially built upon a wet or dry
17 area?

18 A. Based on the aerials, 1971 and 1980 aerials
19 that are shown in -- on the slide 17, the area appears to
20 be dry, so it's a dry area.

21 Q. Are you familiar with the fact that a dike
22 was built around this area historically?

23 A. Yes.

24 Q. And what is your understanding of the

1 purpose of this diked area?

2 A. My understanding, it was to -- it was for
3 the purpose of having runoff control, particularly
4 stormwater runoff control from the landfill.

5 Q. Is it common for a landfill to have an area
6 for management of stormwater runoff?

7 A. Yes.

8 Q. And what is the purpose of having an area to
9 help with landfill stormwater runoff?

10 A. Well, and I'm going to take it beyond
11 landfills. You know, we build structures that will
12 retain water in order to minimize significant flows
13 during precipitation events, so you build these
14 structures to hold water and then you can -- once a
15 precipitation event is over, then you can bleed that
16 water off. Any -- If -- Any retention basin -- If you
17 live in a housing development, you probably have a
18 retention basin that functions the same way.

19 Q. If there's a pond or a ditch located next to
20 a landfill, does that mean that the pond or ditch will
21 saturate the neighboring landfill?

22 A. Not necessarily.

23 Q. Have you seen any evidence that would
24 indicate that the former landfill area at issue in this

1 proceeding would have become saturated with water from
2 neighboring pond 6?

3 A. I haven't seen that information.

4 Q. And would you expect the water to flow from
5 pond 6 to the former landfill?

6 A. The answer to that is -- my expectation is
7 it would actually flow downhill and it would flow more
8 towards Saline Creek instead of backwards into the
9 landfill.

10 Q. And can you just explain that so that it's
11 clear when you say it would flow towards Saline Creek?
12 What direction would you expect the flow of water to be?

13 A. I'm directionally challenged. It's upwards
14 in the page, and if someone can help me, is that north?
15 Is north up?

16 Q. I believe it's up, yes.

17 A. Okay. So it would flow north.

18 Q. Okay.

19 A. That would be my expectation. A lot of it
20 has to do with hydraulic head and things like that,
21 but --

22 Q. Yeah. And based on your understanding,
23 does -- So based on your understanding of the site,
24 though, this water -- would water flow in the direction

1 from the landfill towards pond 6?

2 A. I don't believe that's the more likely
3 route. The more likely route would -- go ahead.

4 Q. Sorry. I -- Landfill towards the north.

5 A. Could you repeat the question? Thank you.

6 Q. Yeah, sure, or maybe let me rephrase the
7 question.

8 A. Thank you.

9 Q. Can you just explain again, what is your
10 understanding of sort of the elevation at the property
11 and how that would impact the likely direction of any
12 water flow?

13 A. Yeah, the ground slopes from south to north,
14 from the landfill north to Saline Creek, so I hope I'm
15 explaining what it is you're looking for, but I would
16 expect water flow would be towards the Saline Creek on
17 the outside of the berm. Of course the bermed area would
18 catch the water. That's the stormwater runoff control.

19 Q. All right. Are you familiar with IEPA's
20 statement in its recommendation that at least four feet
21 of saturated CCR -- that there are at least four feet of
22 saturated CCR below the rest of the dry CCR placed in the
23 landfill area?

24 A. Yes, I'm familiar with that statement.

1 Q. Do you agree with that statement?

2 A. No, not necessarily, and I'll tell you, the
3 reason why is because the relationship of the water in
4 the retention basin, pond 6, and the landfill is
5 dependent upon many factors, so just -- you'd have to
6 account for many, many factors to understand flow. The
7 other is what I just said, which is the likely flow is to
8 the north because that's the general slope of the ground
9 surface.

10 Q. Are you familiar with the long, narrow
11 strips that Mr. McLaurin just testified about?

12 A. I am.

13 Q. Did you hear Mr. McLaurin's testimony
14 regarding the characteristics of these areas?

15 A. I did.

16 Q. Do you believe that the existence of these
17 strips would have saturated the dry CCR placed in the
18 landfill area?

19 A. No.

20 Q. Why not?

21 A. Because of the temporal nature of those, and
22 if you listen to his testimony, those features were only
23 present for a short period of time, then they were
24 managed, and it was only during a period where they

1 couldn't manage water in a different way, so the temporal
2 nature is what really gives me the clue that the
3 likelihood that it saturated the entire landfill mass is
4 very, very low.

5 Q. Finally, I would like to address question 7
6 raised by the Board in its filing from Friday. In your
7 report that's identified as SIPC Exhibit 40, you note
8 that IEPA appears to have included sediment volume from
9 the Prairie State coal pile as part of its calculation of
10 the sediment volume in the south fly ash pond; is that
11 right?

12 A. That's my understanding, yes.

13 Q. Okay. Do you have a calculation or
14 estimation of the amount of sediment as calculated by
15 IEPA that's attributed to the Prairie State coal pile
16 area?

17 A. Yes.

18 Q. Okay. And what is that estimate?

19 A. It's approximately 50,000 cubic yards.

20 Q. And lastly, Mr. Hagen, again, you've done a
21 lot of work at coal-fired power plants; is that right?

22 A. Yes.

23 Q. Okay. And I think we talked about this a --
24 or you talked about this a little bit earlier, but based

1 on your experience and knowledge, do you believe it's
2 possible for a pond to exist at a coal-fired power plant
3 without some amount of CCR entering that pond?

4 A. I think I answered something similar to that
5 earlier. I can't imagine that there would be zero CCR
6 found in ponds associated with coal-fired power plants.
7 I just can't imagine that you would have zero CCR in
8 those ponds, particularly if the plant's existed for some
9 period of time.

10 MS. JOSHI: All right. Thank you. No more
11 questions.

12 HEARING OFFICER WEBB: Let's take a
13 ten-minute break.

14 (Brief recess taken.)

15 HEARING OFFICER WEBB: All right. We'll go
16 back on the record and we will pick up with cross
17 examination.

18 MR. NEIBERGALL: Thank you.

19 CROSS EXAMINATION

20 BY MR. NEIBERGALL:

21 Q. Mr. Hagen, thank you for your time.

22 A. Thank you.

23 Q. First I just want to -- at the very end of
24 your testimony you were talking about the long, narrow

1 strips on top of what's called the former landfill, and I
2 think your testimony was that because of the short-time
3 use of those, the water wouldn't be allowed to saturate
4 into the landfill? Was that your testimony?

5 A. Yes.

6 Q. Okay. So those three strips, though, have
7 been there for quite some time? Is that your
8 understanding?

9 A. Actually, listening to the testimony earlier
10 today, my understanding is that they're more temporal in
11 nature.

12 Q. The use of them is temporal or the existence
13 of them?

14 A. The use and the existence, because they're
15 drained -- my understanding is they were drained after
16 they were used.

17 Q. Okay. So, you know, what I'm showing is
18 from April 1998 to May of 2015 at least, we have aerial
19 photos of those strips on top of the landfill. Does that
20 sound right?

21 A. I know that you have aerial photos. I don't
22 know if you have aerial -- I mean, it's -- I know you
23 have aerial photos through time. I just don't know what
24 years they are.

1 Q. Okay. Would it refresh your recollection?
2 You want to take a look?

3 A. Sure.

4 Q. So I just handed you Agency Exhibits 4
5 through 14, and that's from 1998 to 2015, and once you're
6 done looking at those, if you could confirm that those
7 strips are present during that time period.

8 A. I've looked at them. Based on the aerial
9 photos that you've handed me, the strips are present in
10 some of the aerial photos but not all of the aerial
11 photos.

12 Q. Are they present in 1998 and 2015?

13 A. I see them in the 1998 aerial photo and I
14 see them in the 2015 aerial photo.

15 Q. So whether or not they were used, you know,
16 repeatedly during that time period, you're not aware,
17 other than the testimony of others?

18 A. I just have the testimony of others to go
19 on.

20 Q. And then as far as them not being covered
21 sufficiently to stop rainwater or precipitation from
22 getting in, you know, there's no cover on them, right?

23 A. I don't have any knowledge of that.

24 Q. Thank you. I want to go back to the start

1 of your testimony now and just kind of work through
2 chronologically.

3 A. Sure.

4 Q. First question I have is regarding the
5 Exhibit 29 bathymetric survey and sample collection. You
6 didn't personally do any of that; is that correct?

7 A. That's correct.

8 Q. So others were contracted by SIPC to do
9 those two components?

10 A. That's correct.

11 Q. And you wouldn't have had any say in whether
12 or not certain samples were collected or were not
13 collected?

14 A. Yeah. We did not advise on the frequency of
15 samples.

16 Q. So for instance, if the EPA and SIPC agreed
17 on where sample locations would occur and yet some of
18 those samples weren't taken, that's not your fault.

19 A. That's -- That is correct.

20 Q. Okay. I want to talk about the definition
21 of CCR surface impoundment. I believe that your
22 testimony was the best way to think about it is
23 significant accumulation; is that correct?

24 A. Yes.

1 Q. Okay. Is the word "significant" preceding
2 the word "accumulation" in the definition?

3 A. It is not.

4 Q. Is the word "typical" in the definition of
5 CCR surface impoundment?

6 A. I don't recall that being.

7 Q. Would it refresh your recollection to see
8 it?

9 A. Yeah, sure.

10 Q. This is Part 845, Section 120, Definitions,
11 for the state regulations on surface impoundments. Do
12 you guys need a copy? Okay.

13 A. The word "typical" is not in the definition.

14 Q. Thank you. While we're on that same page
15 for CCR, the definition --

16 A. Yes.

17 Q. -- does the definition of CCR include flue
18 gas desulfurization materials?

19 A. Yes, it does.

20 Q. Okay. And is that a different category than
21 fly ash, bottom ash, boiler slag?

22 A. Yes.

23 Q. Okay. I want to move on to your lines of
24 evidence testimony.

1 A. Sure.

2 Q. The first one was, I believe, that in
3 response to Miss Joshi's questions, there was no
4 definitive test to determine CCR is present; is that
5 correct?

6 A. That's correct.

7 Q. Bathymetric survey was one piece of the
8 lines of evidence that you used to make a determination.

9 A. One piece of the -- of lines of evidence
10 related to accumulation.

11 Q. Would a full bathymetric survey be better
12 than a partial?

13 A. I don't understand your question. The
14 answer, you know, any complete survey is better than an
15 incomplete survey.

16 Q. Okay. So for -- specifically here we're
17 talking about south fly ash and pond 4, which were
18 surveyed by someone other than you, correct?

19 A. Yes.

20 Q. And they were not surveyed completely as
21 noted in your report. I believe it's 60 percent and
22 73 percent?

23 A. Yeah, that sounds about right. You can look
24 it up, though.

1 Q. So 60 percent of pond 4 was surveyed;
2 73 percent of south fly ash pond was surveyed; is that
3 correct?

4 A. The answer is correct. We'll clarify that
5 that doesn't make it invalid, the results invalid.

6 Q. Would it be more definitive? I think the
7 word you used was definitive.

8 A. I believe that if a bathymetric survey could
9 have been completed across, then we -- they would have
10 done that, and it would have been preferred, although not
11 prohibitive.

12 Q. And the water levels being lowered right
13 before those bathymetric surveys were conducted, you
14 didn't have anything to do with that, right?

15 A. I did not.

16 Q. Okay. And when those water levels were
17 subsequently raised, redoing those surveys to do the full
18 pond would probably be more definitive.

19 A. I don't know if it was necessary. So when
20 you use the word definitive, I am -- I would tell you I
21 don't believe that it would be necessary.

22 Q. I think you said the input you had was
23 adequate?

24 A. That's correct.

1 Q. Okay. Is it SIPC's burden in this
2 proceeding to show that the amounts were de minimis in
3 these ponds?

4 MS. JOSHI: Objection. Calls for a legal
5 conclusion. He's asking a witness about a legal
6 conclusion.

7 HEARING OFFICER WEBB: That one does kind of
8 call for a legal conclusion.

9 A. That was going to be my answer anyway, so --

10 Q. (By Mr. Neibergall) Thank you. Okay. So
11 it would be more definitive, but you felt what you had
12 was adequate.

13 A. That's correct.

14 Q. Correct? I want to talk about the mean
15 sediment thickness, I believe was the most important
16 factor in your table regarding the bathymetric survey?

17 A. Yes.

18 Q. Would this mean sediment thickness -- that
19 occurred in 2021; is that correct --

20 A. Yes.

21 Q. -- be affected in the years of operation of
22 these surface impoundments by the various cleanouts
23 you've heard about throughout the other testimony?

24 A. I'd have to think about that. It is

1 possible that the cleanouts would affect the hydraulics
2 and therefore the settling properties, etc.

3 Q. Well, I mean, you heard testimony probably
4 yesterday that in 2003 all of these units that were the
5 subject of your report were cleaned out; is that correct?

6 A. I heard that there were cleanout activities,
7 correct.

8 Q. And so if they're cleaning out sediment
9 material in 2003 that would have been accumulating to
10 when you've done your report in 2021, you don't have that
11 sediment to compare to these volumes.

12 A. The sediment before 2003?

13 Q. The sediment in 2003 that was taken out is
14 not in this report in 2021.

15 A. Yeah, the sediment accumulated before 2003
16 came out in 2003, at least some portion of it --

17 Q. Sure.

18 A. -- and would not be reflected, but, you
19 know, that's really common, because facilities need to
20 maintain their water systems and their ponds to be able
21 to function appropriately, so that's not uncommon, to see
22 people who have cleaned out their ponds for maintenance
23 purposes.

24 Q. Is it uncommon for -- well, let me strike

1 that. In 2006, we heard about another cleanout in pond 3
2 of a certain amount? Would that have affected the
3 amounts in the sediment thickness?

4 A. Again, it's -- it is possible, but I don't
5 believe -- I actually don't believe it would have been
6 significant, and I'll tell you the reason why, is because
7 there's not a lot of sediment accumulation over time.
8 We're just not seeing a lot of sediment come through this
9 system.

10 Q. So do you know how much sediment was removed
11 in 2003? Do you have records of that?

12 A. I -- All I have are the testimony that you
13 and I both heard.

14 Q. Okay. And what I heard was in 2006 pond 3
15 was cleaned out, and there's no records for how much,
16 okay? Is that correct? Is that what you heard?

17 A. That's my understanding.

18 Q. In 2010 pond 4 was cleaned out; is that
19 correct?

20 A. I think that sounds right.

21 Q. In 2011 pond 3 was cleaned out again?

22 A. Again, that sounds correct.

23 Q. 2014, pond 3A was cleaned out?

24 A. 3A? Is that what you said?

1 Q. Yes, sir.

2 A. I'd have to go back and look at the records.
3 It doesn't sound unreasonable.

4 Q. Okay. 2017, B-3 was cleaned out.

5 A. Yes.

6 Q. So all these instances of cleanouts and any
7 that preceded them were amounts of sediment that are no
8 longer shown in a 2021 table; is that correct?

9 A. Well, the amount that's shown in the table
10 could include sediments from before those cleanouts. It
11 depends on the thoroughness of the cleanouts. Depends on
12 the -- in other words, how much sediment did they get,
13 how much did they leave behind.

14 Q. I see. Well, if they said they dewatered it
15 and cleaned it to the clay, would you expect that they
16 left a lot of sediment behind?

17 A. Likely -- Not likely that they left a lot of
18 sediment behind. I'll also note, though, that they
19 didn't take that many truckloads, you know, that -- you
20 know, on the order of tens of trucks, which is what they
21 mentioned, and cleaning to the clay, if you do the math,
22 that's not a lot of sediment that's built up in those
23 ponds.

24 Q. But again, you don't have any records of how

1 many cleanouts occurred?

2 A. I don't.

3 Q. And before 2003 there could have been -- I
4 mean, not -- one of these ponds operated in the '60s,
5 right?

6 A. I know the plant started operations in 19 --
7 I'm pretty sure it was 1963, is what I read.

8 Q. I want to talk about your various tests that
9 you went through. There was the carbon/hydrogen/nitrogen
10 type testing, correct?

11 A. Yes.

12 Q. There was the shake testing?

13 A. Yes.

14 Q. There was the PLM testing?

15 A. Yes.

16 Q. Okay. None of those tests in your report
17 would have analyzed the materials that were cleaned out
18 prior to 2021 when the bathymetric survey and these
19 samples were collected.

20 A. Well, again, it may have tested some of the
21 materials. Depends on the thoroughness of the cleanouts
22 that occurred.

23 Q. You testified that fly ash and bottom ash
24 cannot be burned as fuel.

1 A. Yes. The carbon content is low. It doesn't
2 have a lot of fuel value.

3 Q. What if they're mixed with coal? Can they
4 be burned?

5 A. The coal would be burned, and I'm not sure
6 why a facility would mix fly ash with coal.

7 Q. Okay. Let's talk about table 7. I think
8 it's your -- page 7 of your report, which is depicted at
9 one of the slides. I'm not sure which.

10 A. You said table 7?

11 Q. Well, it's table 7 -- I'm sorry. That's my
12 bad. It's table 1.

13 A. On page 7?

14 Q. Table 1 on page 7. That's what it is.

15 A. Found it.

16 Q. Thank you. Sediment volume for pond 3 was
17 83,987 cubic feet?

18 A. Yes.

19 Q. Pond 3A was 95,666 cubic feet?

20 A. Yes.

21 Q. Pond 4 was 91,076 cubic feet roughly?

22 A. Yes.

23 Q. 6 was 103,452 cubic feet?

24 A. Yes.

1 Q. South fly ash was 563,054 cubic feet.

2 A. Yes.

3 Q. And then the PLM results, which is table 7,
4 which is on your page 14 of your report -- and I'm not
5 sure what page I'm on of Exhibit 52 -- but it looks like
6 it's in there, in your Powerpoint?

7 A. Yes.

8 Q. Oh, yeah, you have page numbers. Look at
9 you.

10 A. I do.

11 Q. 8. Thank you. So you've got a category for
12 slag plus fly ash plus bottom ash.

13 A. Yes.

14 Q. And then the category for "other."

15 A. Yes.

16 Q. Okay. And I think I heard your testimony
17 for the "other" category was that you didn't believe the
18 sludge was the "other" category?

19 A. I don't believe the sludge was a significant
20 component in the sediments, and therefore, the "others"
21 in these samples would more likely be indicative of other
22 materials.

23 Q. And I think that your basis for that was the
24 nature of the process at this facility by your

1 understanding.

2 A. That is correct.

3 Q. Okay. So because you think that the sludge
4 was disposed of on the landfill, you don't think it falls
5 into this "other" category.

6 A. I just don't believe it's a significant
7 portion.

8 Q. If you could go back to your page 3 of the
9 Powerpoint, which is the flow design of the facility.

10 A. Yes. I'll get there. Got it.

11 Q. So the flow goes from south fly ash, across
12 the street to 3A, to pond 3; is that correct?

13 A. Yes.

14 Q. To pond 6?

15 A. Yes.

16 Q. And then back around that former landfill
17 area to pond 4?

18 A. Correct.

19 Q. Your understanding generally is the sludge
20 is disposed of at the former landfill area?

21 A. My understanding is scrubber sludge was
22 disposed at the landfill area, that's correct.

23 Q. So looking at this water circuit and the
24 proximity to the landfill of pond 3, 6 and 4 --

1 A. Yes.

2 Q. -- is it possible that sludge got into
3 ponds 3/3A, pond 6 and pond 4 from the landfill area?

4 A. Possible?

5 Q. Yes, sir.

6 A. I would characterize that as not likely in
7 any significant amounts, and I think that my testimony is
8 consistent with that.

9 Q. And this is based on your understanding of
10 the site and your report; is that right?

11 A. That's correct.

12 Q. You haven't been working at SIPC for a
13 number of years and know the actual process for how that
14 sludge is handled every day?

15 A. I am only relying on information that's
16 provided to me.

17 Q. I want to talk about that shake test, the
18 berm samples. You said it wasn't really relevant because
19 the berms are structural and they're on the outside of
20 the impoundment?

21 A. Yes.

22 Q. What about an internal berm inside the
23 surface impoundment?

24 A. Well, that depends. It depends on how the

1 ponds are defined. So, like, an internal berm can
2 separate two ponds, which would make it structural in
3 nature.

4 Q. What if it's built out of CCR? Would it be
5 relevant to sample it and see if it is?

6 A. Only if the ponds are somehow potentially
7 connected, but if they're two separate ponds, then my
8 answer is no.

9 Q. And again, you didn't collect any of the
10 samples or make any decisions about which samples were or
11 were not taken.

12 A. I did not.

13 Q. Okay. There's a lot of tables in here.
14 Just one moment. All right. I want to go to your
15 page 15 of the slides. It's the USEPA de minimis
16 exception language from Federal Register.

17 A. Yes.

18 Q. This one talks about significant amounts of
19 CCR; is that correct?

20 A. Yes.

21 Q. But we've already established "significant"
22 does not precede "accumulation" in the definition of
23 either the federal rule or the state rule; is that right?

24 A. Not that I'm aware of.

1 Q. Okay. And have you reviewed Exhibit 34 for
2 SIPC? It's the USEPA -- let's see what it is -- FAQ on
3 the implementation of disposal of CCR. Looks like it's
4 from April of 2024.

5 A. I'm aware of the document.

6 Q. I'm going to hand you page 9 of that
7 document. So this is, again, SIPC Exhibit 34, page 9.

8 A. Thank you.

9 Q. Very top paragraph is the one I'm interested
10 in.

11 MS. JOSHI: Is that the one that's cut off
12 on page --

13 MR. NEIBERGALL: Yes. Yeah, it is, and we
14 can go to the full exhibit if you want.

15 Q. (By Mr. Neibergall) So I'm looking at the
16 line at the top, "EPA provided examples in the preamble
17 to the final rule of units that in EPA's experience
18 typically would be expected to fall outside of that
19 definition." Do you see that there?

20 A. Yes.

21 Q. Okay. If you go down to the bottom three
22 lines, it says, "Ultimately, the critical determinant of
23 whether a unit is subject to the rule is whether it meets
24 the criteria and the regulatory definition, rather than

1 whether it was included as an example in the final rule
2 preamble." Is that what that says?

3 A. Yes, you read that correctly.

4 Q. So my understanding of that is regardless of
5 what type of pond it is, whether you call it a polishing
6 pond, a finishing pond or something else, if it meets the
7 definition, it's a CCR surface impoundment.

8 A. Okay. That's your view of that sentence.

9 Q. Do you disagree with that sentence?

10 A. I haven't had a chance to look at it and I
11 haven't really thought about it, so --

12 Q. You talked about the typical amounts for
13 typical surface impoundments of the truckloads and the
14 size of the pond, that stuff, right?

15 A. Yes.

16 Q. And obviously, different surface
17 impoundments are different sizes; is that correct?

18 A. Yes.

19 Q. Some are much bigger and some are much
20 smaller?

21 A. Yes.

22 Q. And obviously, the size of the truck matters
23 as well in the calculations, right?

24 A. Yes.

1 Q. Okay. You took issue with the Illinois
2 Environmental Protection Agency's assumption that the
3 sediment was CCR; is that correct?

4 A. Yes.

5 Q. But it's SIPC's burden to show that it is or
6 isn't CCR.

7 MS. JOSHI: Again, this is calling for a
8 legal conclusion. Asking about what burden applies in
9 the matter of this case, that's a legal question.

10 HEARING OFFICER WEBB: Can you rephrase
11 that?

12 Q. (By Mr. Neibergall) SIPC hired you to
13 conduct an investigation that would show that its ponds
14 were de minimis, correct?

15 A. They hired me to evaluate and characterize
16 the sediments in those ponds and provide information and
17 data associated with that.

18 Q. Thank you. Last question is about permits.
19 They are indicative of the construction and design of the
20 impoundments. Whether or not they use it is something
21 different.

22 A. Yeah, there's usually -- at least on --
23 there's usually some level of design that's required to
24 obtain a permit, so there are design details that would

1 need -- would be required for many permits.

2 Q. So whether something is man-made or diked or
3 natural topographic depression, that could be in the
4 permit, the construction permit?

5 A. That would be -- Yes, it could be in the
6 permit. Its use is different than that, but it could be
7 in a permit.

8 Q. And then the design of the facility and the
9 volume and stuff, that would be in the permit as well,
10 correct?

11 A. That's -- In order to get a permit, you have
12 to have that sort of information.

13 Q. Just one more. So regarding your shake test
14 and the trace metals analysis --

15 A. Yes.

16 Q. -- did that testing include all of the
17 metals relevant for Part 845's groundwater protection
18 standards?

19 A. I'm not certain. I'd have to go back and
20 compare the two lists. I don't believe it was inclusive
21 of all the metals, though.

22 MR. NEIBERGALL: No further questions at
23 this time.

24 HEARING OFFICER WEBB: Would you like to

1 redirect now or did -- I don't know how much you have.
2 Did you want to -- If you don't have much, we can do it
3 now. If you have a lot, we can break for lunch.
4 Whatever you would prefer to do.

5 MS. JOSHI: I don't think we'll be longer
6 than 10 or 15 minutes.

7 HEARING OFFICER WEBB: Oh. Well, then let's
8 do it now.

9 MS. JOSHI: If I could just have 30 seconds.

10 HEARING OFFICER WEBB: Sure.

11 REDIRECT EXAMINATION

12 BY MS. JOSHI:

13 Q. All right. Thanks, Mr. Hagen. Just a few
14 questions on redirect. All right. To confirm, when you
15 were looking at those aerials that IEPA handed to you
16 earlier and you were asked to look for the long, narrow
17 strips, were they present on all the aerials that --

18 A. They were not.

19 Q. You were asked regarding whether there were
20 sample locations from which samples were not taken for
21 analysis, I believe for purposes of the shake test and
22 perhaps the PLM analysis that was conducted; is that
23 right?

24 A. Sounds correct.

1 Q. Okay. I mean, you reviewed all this
2 information to put it together in your pond investigation
3 report; is that right?

4 A. Yes.

5 Q. Okay. To your knowledge, were there reasons
6 for why certain samples may not have been included as
7 part of the analysis that was provided?

8 A. Yes, and I believe that information is
9 provided in my report.

10 Q. Okay.

11 A. So steep slopes is an example. The sample
12 location would have been unsafe to get to is an example
13 of one of the sample locations.

14 Q. All right.

15 A. Another one might have been unstable ground
16 or, you know, something like that that couldn't support a
17 drill rig, whatever it might be.

18 Q. And just from a practical perspective, are
19 you familiar with, like, sampling of ponds and areas
20 around ponds?

21 A. Sure.

22 Q. And would it be -- is it common for a sample
23 to not be collected if there are unsafe conditions for
24 collecting that sample?

1 A. Yeah. We would never collect a sample that
2 would be in an area that would be unsafe.

3 Q. Okay. And is it common for a sample to not
4 be collected if it's inaccessible for a particular
5 reason, for any reason?

6 A. Again, we would -- we do our best, but if it
7 was inaccessible, we would not be able to take a sample.

8 Q. And again, are those -- is there safety
9 concerns with that?

10 A. There can be, that's correct.

11 Q. Okay. And I think we covered this a little
12 bit, but I just want to follow up, because you were asked
13 about, you know, sort of the benefit of conducting a
14 bathymetric survey on 100 percent of a pond. Now, going
15 back to pond 4 and the south fly ash pond, again, was a
16 bathymetric survey conducted for, like, large portions of
17 those ponds?

18 A. Yeah, all accessible areas by boat were
19 surveyed for bathymetry, that's correct.

20 Q. And you have percentages that were actually
21 able to be surveyed in your report, right?

22 A. Yeah. I think -- Yes, and I think those
23 were noted in my cross examination.

24 Q. Okay. And again, do you believe that the

1 extrapolation that was conducted for purposes of
2 determining the values for the entire area of those ponds
3 is reliable?

4 A. We would not have used that information if
5 we didn't believe that it was reliable.

6 Q. And do you believe that extrapolation was
7 conducted conservatively in terms of determining sediment
8 volume in those areas?

9 A. We do, and appropriately, so the methods
10 they used, etc., were appropriate. We reviewed all of
11 that.

12 Q. You were asked a little bit about sediment
13 accumulation over time, including accumulation that might
14 have occurred before some of these ponds were cleaned
15 out. Do you recall that?

16 A. I do.

17 Q. Okay. So some of these units haven't been
18 cleaned since 2003; is that right?

19 A. Yes.

20 Q. Okay. And others maybe since 2006; is that
21 right?

22 A. Yes. Yeah.

23 Q. Okay. And then I believe in the instance of
24 pond 4, Mr. McLaurin -- were you here when he testified

1 about cleaning it in 2010?

2 A. Sounds right.

3 Q. Okay. So starting with the 2010 cleaning of
4 pond 4, I mean, seeing the amount of sediment you see in
5 pond 4, assuming the last cleaning was around 2010, do
6 you consider the accumulation of CCR in that pond to be,
7 like, a significant -- or putting aside CCR, but do you
8 believe the accumulation of sediment in the pond to be a
9 significant amount?

10 A. The answer to that is no. So if you think
11 about it in terms of time, it was -- 2010 was the last
12 time it was cleaned, then 2021 was when the bathymetric
13 survey was conducted, I believe, was in 2021, somewhere
14 in that range, and using pond 4 as an example, that's
15 1.67 feet of sediment accumulation that happened over
16 about a -- what, a little over a 10-year period, and
17 that's essentially inches -- less than 5 -- certainly
18 less than 5 inches per year, probably a couple of
19 inches -- not even a couple of inches per year. You do
20 the math. That's not a lot of sediment accumulation.

21 Q. Is that less than a sediment accumulation
22 you see in CCR surface impoundments?

23 A. Oh, absolutely, far less.

24 Q. And then moving to all the other ponds, the

1 ones that haven't been cleaned since -- that were last
2 cleaned in 2006 or 2003 or the other ponds that are
3 listed there, I mean, how would you characterize the
4 accumulation of sediment that's occurred since that time?

5 A. Again, on the order of inches per year, low
6 inches per year, which is really, you know, more of what
7 you might expect from things like erosion control and
8 retention of stormwater.

9 Q. And again, like, how did those -- the
10 numbers over the time that has passed since those
11 cleanings to now, how does that compare to the
12 accumulation of -- you would expect to see in a CCR
13 surface impoundment?

14 A. That I think is certainly in my report.
15 It's indexed in figure 4 in my report, which is tens of
16 feet of accumulation.

17 Q. And so we talked about the fact that some
18 sediment has been removed, you know, as part of the sort
19 of routine maintenance that's occurred historically as
20 Mr. McLaurin testified, which, you know, I don't know if
21 you heard Mr. Gallenbach's testimony. He said that the
22 cleaning occurred during an outage in 2003 over the
23 course of a couple of weeks. Do you believe that a
24 significant amount of sediment could have been removed

1 from these ponds within a matter of a couple of weeks?

2 A. Probably not, and the number of truckloads
3 that he described is -- are -- is not a significant
4 volume compared to the volume that we -- the sediment
5 volume that we see -- that we have seen in the more
6 recent bathymetric survey. I don't believe that all the
7 sediment came out.

8 Q. And again, how do those volumes that you
9 describe compare to what you would expect to see from a
10 CCR surface impoundment?

11 A. Far, far less. Orders of magnitude less. I
12 mean, these are low levels of accumulation.

13 Q. Okay. And I think you also touched on sort
14 of your basis for determining that the "other" category
15 likely does not include significant scrubber sludge
16 amounts.

17 A. Yes.

18 Q. Other than the fact that material was
19 deposited upon -- scrubber sludge material was
20 historically deposited upon a landfill and then later
21 sent off site, are there any other elements that impact
22 or inform the basis of that conclusion that you've made?

23 A. If you look at the RJ Lee clarification on
24 the word "other," they included several different

1 particles, and there are certain particles in the
2 inclusion of their description of the "other" category
3 that I would not expect to see in scrubber sludge, and
4 really, probably most importantly, are the organics, and
5 in light of the testimony that we had yesterday and today
6 related to the maintenance of the ponds and the
7 phragmites, I think that organics could be a significant
8 portion of the sediments.

9 Q. Could some of the "other" category also come
10 from runoff from other areas?

11 A. Absolutely. Quartz is an example. I mean,
12 that's a common constituent. Carbonate's another
13 example. That's a common constituent that you can see
14 in -- just in runoff.

15 Q. And then just going to the metals that you
16 analyzed for the shake test results, are there any
17 particular metals that tend to be more indicative of the
18 presence of CCR?

19 A. Well, given my experience at a lot of ash
20 ponds, which I've talked about earlier today, I think
21 probably the one constituent that I would look at and I
22 see over and over and over at ponds is boron.

23 Q. And was boron part of your analysis?

24 A. Yes.

1 MS. JOSHI: No further questions.

2 RECROSS EXAMINATION

3 BY MR. NEIBERGALL:

4 Q. One question regarding your testimony about
5 the RJ Lee follow-up letter from April 4th, 2025. Is
6 carbonate used to capture flue gas sulfur?

7 A. Yes, carbonate can be used for that purpose,
8 yes.

9 MR. NEIBERGALL: No further questions.

10 MS. JOSHI: Well, one follow-up.

11 HEARING OFFICER WEBB: Okay.

12 FURTHER REDIRECT EXAMINATION

13 BY MS. JOSHI:

14 Q. Can the source of carbonate at a plant pond
15 also come from other sources?

16 A. Absolutely, and I should have added that.
17 Thank you.

18 Q. Okay. And what kind of sources might that
19 be, if you can just --

20 A. Limestone bedrock is a great example, but it
21 can also be part of landscaping. All sorts of different
22 uses for carbonate.

23 MS. JOSHI: Thank you.

24 MR. NEIBERGALL: That's it. Thank you.

1 HEARING OFFICER WEBB: Okay. Does the Board
2 have any questions?

3 MS. BROWN: No.

4 HEARING OFFICER WEBB: No questions. Okay.
5 You're done. Thank you.

6 THE WITNESS: Thank you.

7 HEARING OFFICER WEBB: All right. It's
8 11:56. I would suggest we take lunch, if that sounds
9 good to people, go on a break, take about an hour. Be
10 back around 1.

11 (A recess was taken from 11:56 a.m. to 1:02 p.m.)

12 HEARING OFFICER WEBB: We are back on the
13 record. It is one o'clock and we are ready for
14 Petitioner's next witness.

15 MS. JOSHI: Thank you. Petitioner calls
16 Kenneth Liss.

17 HEARING OFFICER WEBB: And would the court
18 reporter please swear in the witness?

19 (Witness sworn.)

20 KENNETH LISS, produced, sworn and examined on
21 behalf of the Petitioner, testified as follows:

22 DIRECT EXAMINATION

23 BY MS. JOSHI:

24 Q. Can you please state and spell your name for

1 the record?

2 A. Okay. Kenneth Liss, K-E-N-N-E-T-H, L-I-S-S,
3 two S's.

4 Q. Thank you, Mr. Liss. Would you please
5 describe your educational background?

6 A. I have a bachelor's degree in geology from
7 Illinois State.

8 Q. Where are you currently employed?

9 A. Andrews Engineering.

10 Q. And what is your current position?

11 A. Current position is I'm president of the
12 company.

13 Q. And do you also work as a principal
14 consultant at the company?

15 A. As principal.

16 Q. Talking a little bit more about that -- your
17 duties as a principal, can you describe, like, what your
18 duties are in that role?

19 A. The principals of our firm are working
20 principals, so they do project -- major project
21 management for the contracts and mentoring staff. We
22 review -- Including myself, we review most of the reports
23 that go out that are of major significance, permitting
24 and things.

1 Q. And can you describe the type of work you
2 both currently and previously have done as part of your
3 work at Andrews Engineering?

4 A. Okay. Before I came to Andrews?

5 Q. No, while at Andrews.

6 A. While at Andrews?

7 Q. Just describe in detail the type of work
8 that you've done.

9 A. Okay. I do a lot of landfill work, which
10 would be landfill permitting, focusing on new landfills,
11 operating landfills, leaking landfills, focusing more on
12 groundwater, groundwater work, and work under various
13 regulations, site remediation programs, RCRA Part B
14 facilities, RCRA closure facilities.

15 Q. Thank you. Would you say you specialize in
16 any specific subject matter areas?

17 A. Regulatory. The regulations. Some of them
18 I testified or was developed, actually, and then
19 hydrogeology.

20 Q. And where did you work prior to starting
21 at -- well, first of all, how long have you been at
22 Andrews Engineering?

23 A. Since 1999.

24 Q. And where did you work prior to starting at

1 Andrews Engineering?

2 A. The Illinois EPA.

3 Q. And what did you do at the Illinois EPA?

4 A. I started out in I think it was 1984 as a --
5 no -- yeah, '83 or '84 as a permit reviewer.

6 Q. And can you just describe your duties while
7 you were at IEPA?

8 A. Since I was right out of college, I -- my
9 focus as a geologist was on the groundwater portions of
10 permits, which is developing hydrogeo systems,
11 monitoring, groundwater monitoring, waste
12 characterization, things like that.

13 Q. And throughout your time at IEPA, did you
14 have any other types of duties or matters that you worked
15 on?

16 A. Yeah. A few years later I was working in
17 enforcement matters, testifying for the State and the
18 House, senate, and promulgated rulemakings in Illinois.

19 Q. Were there any subject matters that you
20 focused on while you were at the Illinois EPA?

21 A. Implementation of regulations, groundwater
22 cleanup, landfill issues related to groundwater, just
23 anything related to groundwater.

24 Q. Mr. Liss, did you prepare any materials in

1 support of SIPC's petition in this case?

2 A. Yes, I did.

3 Q. So we're going to hand you two documents
4 that were provided with SIPC's petition and that have
5 been identified as SIPC Exhibits 9 and 30. Mr. Liss, are
6 you familiar with these documents?

7 A. Yes, ma'am.

8 Q. Okay. What are they?

9 A. Exhibit 9 is a declaration that I provided,
10 some of the work I did specific to closure, closure of
11 the land -- SIPC facility.

12 Q. Was that declaration provided in support of
13 SIPC's petition in this matter?

14 A. Yes.

15 Q. Okay. And what is Exhibit 30?

16 A. Exhibit 30 is another declaration I prepared
17 in support of this matter here, and it refers to closure
18 of the estimated cost that I prepared.

19 Q. Does Exhibit 30 include some updates or
20 changes to your Exhibit 9?

21 A. Yes, I see that in the end of that. Yes, it
22 is, revisions.

23 Q. And do the statements you make in SIPC
24 Exhibit 9 as amended in SIPC Exhibit 30 -- do they remain

1 true and correct?

2 A. Yes.

3 Q. All right. So now we're going to hand you a
4 document that's been identified as SIPC Exhibit 47 in
5 this proceeding and that was attached to SIPC's response
6 in this matter. Are you familiar with this document?

7 A. Yes. It's another declaration that I
8 prepared in support of this hearing as far as SIPC.

9 Q. Do the statements that you make in this
10 declaration, Exhibit 47, remain true and correct?

11 A. Yes.

12 Q. Mr. Liss, can you please describe your work
13 experience related to CCR surface impoundments?

14 A. Under my current position or at the EPA?

15 Q. Both.

16 A. Both? Under the EPA, we were -- we felt
17 that the surface impoundments were being operated some as
18 landfills, and when they ceased operating as a surface
19 impoundment, they should be regulated under the Bureau of
20 Land under the landfill rules, and I was working on that
21 under docket R 88-7. We tried to include them as
22 regulatory entities. That didn't go through, and they
23 became regulated later under 815. That's 35 Illinois
24 Administrative Code Part 815. So I worked on that. That

1 went over water pollution at the time except for the
2 reporting of landfills under 815. Later, when I left and
3 went to Andrews Engineering, I worked on various CCR type
4 facilities, a little bit in our local one, CWL&P, but
5 my -- one of my partners is the primary for that one. I
6 worked for Midwest Generation, and now I continue to work
7 on a few others, including this one.

8 Q. And what is the nature of the consulting
9 work you've done related to CCR surface impoundments?

10 A. For mine, it's regulatory work and
11 groundwater and closure.

12 Q. And when you say groundwater, do you mean
13 the establishment of groundwater monitoring systems,
14 groundwater evaluations?

15 A. Yeah, some of them require potential
16 modeling to evaluate -- and it's upfront -- for closure
17 purposes, developing a groundwater monitoring program. I
18 call the monitoring system the network of wells. The
19 program includes the frequency of the types of
20 monitoring.

21 Q. Okay. Thank you. Can you please just
22 generally describe your work experience related to
23 landfills?

24 A. It was my primary job whenever I became the

1 manager of the groundwater unit largely for landfills at
2 the Bureau of Land, and that's -- I continue to do that
3 kind of work.

4 Q. What work have you done -- So what kind of
5 consulting work do you -- have you done related to
6 landfills?

7 A. For landfills? When you say consulting,
8 that would be implied at Andrews Engineering. I attend
9 hearings, prepare applications for expansions to
10 landfills, closures, defend now for my clients under a VN
11 the responsive actions that we feel are necessary or
12 appropriate and the regulations, including whether
13 cleanup needs to be done.

14 Q. How many landfills -- About how many
15 landfills would you say you've worked on as part of your
16 consulting career?

17 A. Quite a few. When you say landfill, they
18 expand, so you might have landfills under three or four
19 different sets of regulations now, so one large 300-acre
20 landfill may have four distinct areas of regulation.

21 Q. Sure.

22 A. I would call those as four different
23 landfills, so well over fifty easy.

24 Q. And to confirm, have you worked on closing

1 landfills?

2 A. Oh, yes.

3 Q. Have you worked on monitoring landfills as
4 part of your career?

5 A. Yes. I continue to do that.

6 Q. Does your work experience include work with
7 CCR landfills?

8 A. Yes.

9 Q. And did you do any work related to landfills
10 when you were at the Illinois EPA?

11 A. Yes.

12 Q. Okay. Can you just at a high level describe
13 the kind of work you did related to landfills while at
14 the Illinois EPA?

15 A. As I said earlier in my testimony here,
16 enforcement, reviewing groundwater monitoring results,
17 making sure groundwater monitoring programs were
18 appropriate and fit the various regulations that some of
19 these landfills fell under.

20 Q. And what experience do you have with
21 groundwater monitoring systems?

22 A. Like I just said, basically going through
23 the hydrogeo investigations down to determining the
24 appropriate spacing and placement of wells for the

1 monitoring and statistical evaluation of data.

2 Q. And so we'd like to provide you with a copy
3 of a document. It's been labeled as SIPC's Exhibit
4 No. 53. All right. Mr. Liss, do you recognize this
5 document?

6 A. Yes, I do.

7 Q. What is it?

8 A. It's information I provided of my basically
9 qualifications for this proceeding.

10 Q. Okay. Would you describe it as, like, a
11 copy of your resumé or CV?

12 A. It's kind of a combination of both.

13 Q. Okay. Does this document provide further
14 information regarding your professional background and
15 experience?

16 A. Yes, largely the narrative at the top.

17 MS. JOSHI: Okay. Hearing Officer, I move
18 to admit Mr. Liss as an expert in landfill, surface
19 impoundment closure, management, operation and
20 groundwater characterization.

21 HEARING OFFICER WEBB: Is there any
22 objection?

23 MR. NEIBERGALL: No objection.

24 HEARING OFFICER WEBB: You're certified as

1 an expert.

2 Q. (By Ms. Joshi) Mr. Liss, I understand you
3 have a Powerpoint to accompany your testimony here today;
4 is that correct?

5 A. Yes, it is.

6 Q. All right. So we're going to hand you a
7 copy of a Powerpoint that's been marked as SIPC
8 Exhibit 54 where the title slide reads "Testimony of
9 Kenneth Liss," which is also displayed in slide 1 on the
10 screen in the room. Do you recognize this document?

11 A. Yes. This one here? Yes, I recognize it.

12 Q. All right. Is this a true and correct copy
13 of the Powerpoint prepared to accompany your testimony
14 today?

15 A. Yes.

16 Q. Did you assist in drafting or otherwise
17 review and approve the contents of this Powerpoint?

18 A. Yes, I did.

19 Q. And what does the Powerpoint contain?

20 A. This particular drawing is part of a closure
21 plan of where we feel the closure plan -- we did a
22 closure plan to close the area that's encircled with the
23 heavy black line.

24 Q. Okay. So there's a figure located within

1 the Powerpoint? Is that what you're referring to?

2 A. Yeah, the figure.

3 Q. Okay. And that's on page 2 of the
4 Powerpoint?

5 A. Yeah, it's up there, yeah.

6 Q. Okay. Great. Thank you. Mr. Liss, are you
7 familiar with the area at Marion Station that SIPC has
8 identified in its petition as the former landfill area?

9 A. Yes.

10 Q. Okay. What is your familiarity with this
11 area?

12 A. I was familiar with it probably around 1988
13 through 1990 initially through the review of several of
14 these type of power stations around the state of Illinois
15 in the Bureau of Land, and then since I came into
16 consulting in 2020, I was contacted to help respond to a
17 VN, and that's specifically for the Southern Illinois
18 Power facility.

19 Q. Mr. Liss, have you visited the former
20 landfill area?

21 A. Yes, I have, several times.

22 Q. Have you inspected the area?

23 A. Yes. I've been on the -- around the
24 perimeter and I've been on top of it.

1 Q. Have you prepared a closure plan for this
2 area?

3 A. Yes.

4 Q. I'm going to go to slide 2 of your
5 Powerpoint, which includes a copy of that map or figure
6 that you were just talking about, and this diagram was
7 taken from Exhibit 3 of SIPC's petition. Mr. Liss, did
8 you prepare this figure or diagram?

9 A. It was prepared under my supervision. We
10 have quite a few staff that do various parts that fall
11 into the preparation of this.

12 Q. Okay. So is this -- was this figure
13 prepared under your review and direction?

14 A. Review and direction, yes.

15 Q. How was this figure prepared?

16 A. We used information provided from Southern
17 Illinois Power, we used information by various FOIA
18 requests from the Illinois EPA, historical review of a
19 number of aerial photographs, and we visited the site and
20 we did some site surveying.

21 Q. Okay. How does this figure depict or
22 identify the area of the former CCR landfill?

23 A. How does it depict -- to me, the former
24 landfill is the green area and the white area, all

1 inscribed with a heavy black line.

2 Q. How did you determine the area of the former
3 CCR landfill?

4 A. At first we were there, it was treated as a
5 landfill at least since 1990 formally by the EPA, and
6 it's filled with ash, quite a thickness, elevation.

7 Q. And in order to determine the boundaries of
8 the landfill, did you also consult the information that
9 you just discussed a while ago, or I guess can you
10 provide what information you looked at to determine the
11 boundaries of the landfill?

12 A. Yeah, we used the historical information
13 that I had mentioned and we did the physical walk, the
14 engineer and myself, Doug Mauntel, and you can tell by
15 the type of material there, you can identify. In some
16 areas you could still see berms or dikes.

17 Q. Mr. Liss, what does the area in green
18 reflect on this figure?

19 A. We put this area in green because it's some
20 of the area that I think we're talking about here
21 disputed as to whether they were previously operated as
22 surface impoundments.

23 Q. How do you determine the area of the portion
24 of the figure that's in green?

1 A. By the old drawings.

2 Q. And by old drawings, what are you referring
3 to?

4 A. The ones that we got through FOIA from the
5 Illinois EPA and some from the plant, which were
6 basically the same things.

7 Q. I'd like to address issues raised in
8 question 9 from the Board from their filing last Friday.
9 Referring to the green areas on this map that you just
10 said were at one point surface impoundments, to your
11 knowledge, would there have been any closure requirements
12 under the landfill regulations for these surface
13 impoundments at the time they stopped operating?

14 A. No. They are permit exempt.

15 Q. Okay. And what is your basis for saying
16 that?

17 A. Because it is an on-site disposal facility
18 that didn't fall under any other regulations, 21(d) in
19 the Environmental Protection Act exempts them from the
20 permit requirements, which was -- included landfill
21 permit requirement.

22 Q. And to your knowledge, were there any
23 landfill regulations in effect that would have
24 governed -- just any landfill regulations in effect in

1 Illinois generally prior to the early '90s?

2 A. Yes, but nothing applied to this facility.

3 Q. Okay. To your knowledge, when those -- the
4 green areas stopped operating, would there have been any
5 regulatory requirements in place regarding how those
6 units be dewatered?

7 A. Not that I'm aware.

8 Q. Do you consider the green area, which SIPC
9 has referred to as the former fly ash holding unit, to be
10 part of the landfill area?

11 A. Correct. What I describe as the landfill
12 area depicted on this exhibit, that's part of a landfill.

13 Q. Based on your regulatory experience and
14 years of experience consulting on landfills, at what
15 point do these areas which were formerly surface
16 impoundments become landfill?

17 A. When they quit operating as a surface
18 impoundment.

19 Q. Okay. And what circumstances would result
20 in them quitting operating as a surface impoundment?

21 A. Early, when we were trying to get them
22 regulated under the new landfill rules, it was our
23 position, the Bureau of Land, that if you terminated your
24 NPDES permit and you quit using them as a surface

1 impoundment and the area was not cleaned out -- because
2 this was a storage area. That's what -- Surface
3 impoundments are detention. It's for settling. It's for
4 storage and pass water through, polish your water. That
5 became at best a waste pile. If it wasn't managed as a
6 waste pile, like a waste pile, it became a regulated
7 landfill.

8 Q. Okay. As previous witnesses have testified,
9 the former CCR landfill began operating in the late
10 1970s. Again, based on your regulatory and other
11 professional knowledge and experience, what regulatory
12 regime, if any, would this landfill have been regulated
13 under when it first started operating in the late 1970s?

14 A. None. None.

15 Q. Would the former landfill area eventually
16 have been regulated under Illinois landfill regulations?

17 A. I don't -- Can you clarify that?

18 Q. Yeah. So would the landfill area eventually
19 have become subject to Illinois landfill regulations?

20 A. The first is -- the contention that we had
21 going forth in that rulemaking was that doesn't matter
22 how it got there; if it was left, it could be a landfill,
23 and we wanted something done with it, we meaning Illinois
24 EPA, Bureau of Land. After that, it was a -- it wasn't

1 that simple because it was 21(d) permit exempt. The 815
2 rules came out, said now you must -- it is regulated as a
3 landfill and you must report by I think it was September
4 or October 1992 what you're going to do with it, volumes,
5 and under 815 there was some things about groundwater
6 monitoring programs and things that were in place. So it
7 was the first regulations of landfill applied to this
8 facility.

9 Q. All right. And so when did those Part 815
10 regulations -- when were those promulgated, to your
11 knowledge?

12 A. I think it was -- I think they became
13 effective 1990, two-year compliance.

14 Q. Okay. So again, if you could clarify, then,
15 you know, starting in 1990, under what regulations would
16 the landfill area have been regulated or subject to or
17 regulated under?

18 A. 815.

19 Q. Okay.

20 A. This specific one, Part 815.

21 Q. This specific -- okay. Thank you. And what
22 characteristics of the unit make it subject to Part 815?

23 A. It wasn't operated and no longer is a
24 surface impoundment, and even if there's a claim that it

1 was, the waste in there had accumulated well above
2 whatever the structure was, the berms or dikes, for a
3 surface impoundment, and it wasn't used to hold water or,
4 you know, liquid waste or anything.

5 Q. And to your knowledge, do the green areas
6 that make up a portion -- that make up the former surface
7 impoundments, are -- do those underlie just a portion of
8 the landfill or the entirety of the landfill?

9 A. Did you mean the surface impoundments?

10 Q. Yes.

11 A. A portion of the landfill, largely depicted
12 in the green.

13 Q. And would the area of the landfill both
14 contained outside of and -- I guess let me rephrase that.
15 Would the -- Would you consider the entirety of the
16 landfill area within that dark black line that you talked
17 about earlier to be a Part 815 landfill?

18 A. Yes.

19 Q. Mr. Liss, earlier you stated that you
20 prepared a closure plan for the landfill area; is that
21 correct?

22 A. Correct.

23 Q. I'm going to hand you a document that was
24 attached to SIPC's petition in this matter as SIPC

1 Exhibit 10. Is this a copy of the closure plan you
2 prepared for the former landfill area?

3 A. Yes, it is, but it had to be signed by an
4 engineer, so it would have been signed by Doug Mauntel.

5 Q. Can you briefly describe how this plan
6 proposed to close the former landfill area?

7 A. Based on the VN notice, the VN notice cited
8 Part 811 standards for closure of landfills, and some of
9 that's cross-referenced, certain parts of it, in 815, so
10 we tried to cover both.

11 Q. Okay. So taking a step back, you just
12 mentioned a VN notice. What VN notice are you talking
13 about?

14 A. March of 2020, the field office, a
15 violation -- VN, violation notice.

16 Q. Okay. And was that a violation notice to
17 SIPPC?

18 A. Correct.

19 Q. Okay. And what is your familiarity with the
20 contents of that violation notice or what it was alleging
21 a violation of?

22 A. They alleged that the groundwater monitoring
23 maybe wasn't complete -- the monitoring program, the
24 tests were not appropriate for determining the

1 concentrations in groundwater. The information provided
2 in the 815 was either incomplete or not acceptable. That
3 was what was filed in 1992, though, so now in 2020
4 there's allegations that even the closure information
5 wasn't meeting the regs, but it was all 811 violations.

6 Q. Okay. You said -- So violations of 811 to
7 mean of 35 Illinois --

8 A. 35 Illinois Administrative Code Part 811.

9 Q. Okay. And what type of units do the
10 Part 811 regulations --

11 A. Landfill. Primarily landfill.

12 Q. Okay. And when you say on -- so the
13 Part 811s regulate landfill?

14 A. Correct.

15 Q. So is -- the closure plan that you helped
16 prepare that you've got in front of you here as
17 Exhibit -- SIPC Exhibit 10, is it meant to close the
18 former landfill area in accordance with any Illinois
19 regulatory requirements?

20 A. Yes, specifically the ones we've been
21 discussing, 35 Illinois AC Part 811.

22 Q. And just again, why did the closure plan you
23 prepared propose to close this area in accordance with
24 the Illinois -- with Illinois landfill regulations?

1 A. Because the VN issued by the Illinois EPA
2 said that it needs to be closed as a landfill. But read
3 that. Actually didn't say it.

4 Q. So further discussing the closure plan, did
5 the cover system proposed for that closure plan include
6 covering the area of the landfill that includes the green
7 areas that are depicted here on this figure --

8 A. Yes, that --

9 Q. -- on page 2 of your presentation?

10 A. Yeah, this plan was not implemented, but it
11 includes applying a regulatory cover system to the entire
12 area inscribed by that black line.

13 Q. Did the closure plan or does the closure
14 plan reference a groundwater monitoring plan?

15 A. Yeah. I think it's in the second page or
16 early third page, fourth page. It -- Under closure or
17 post-closure care, it mentions here number 5, monitoring
18 devices on page 5.

19 Q. And what was the purpose of the groundwater
20 monitoring plan?

21 A. It's to, let's say, hit the appropriate
22 parts of the closure requirements, so it's just that
23 there's an existing one there, and if we determined that
24 additional groundwater monitoring wells are required,

1 they will be installed in the closure process.

2 Q. And would the groundwater monitoring that
3 occurred under this groundwater monitoring plan capture
4 impacts from the entirety of the former landfill area,
5 including the underlying green portions?

6 A. I don't -- Not the current one, but there
7 was additional work that needed to be done.

8 Q. Sorry. Can you clarify?

9 A. Yeah. Under the landfill rules under 811,
10 it's more stringent, really, for -- than what the 815
11 had.

12 Q. Okay. So would the closure plan -- would
13 the groundwater monitoring system installed as part of
14 the 811 closure -- would there be a requirement, like,
15 for it to capture any impacts --

16 A. Yes.

17 Q. -- that may be occurring from the green
18 areas that are located --

19 A. From the entire facility that I call
20 landfill, yes.

21 Q. And that includes the underlying -- any
22 material that might be in the former underlying surface
23 impoundments; is that right?

24 A. Yes.

1 Q. And it would include any material that would
2 be on top of those areas as well.

3 A. Sure, yes.

4 Q. Was this closure plan, SIPC Exhibit 10, ever
5 submitted to IEPA?

6 A. Yes.

7 Q. Did you ever discuss this closure plan with
8 IEPA?

9 A. Yes. I discussed it with the field people
10 and Lynn Dunaway briefly.

11 Q. Okay. And can you just briefly describe,
12 you know, the subject of the discussion you had with IEPA
13 regarding the closure plan, starting with did -- during
14 your conversations, did IEPA indicate whether it was or
15 wasn't compliant with Part 811 requirements?

16 A. They had some questions about whether it
17 fully met -- in their interpretation fully met all of the
18 811 requirements, but that's not uncommon in a permit
19 type review, and this was the field office.

20 Q. Okay. So did you have discussions then with
21 the field office about the -- making sure the closure
22 plan complied with Part 811 --

23 A. Yes.

24 Q. -- closure requirements?

1 A. Yes.

2 Q. And during those discussions, what was your
3 impression regarding whether IEPA considered this area to
4 be a landfill or a surface impoundment at that time?

5 A. It was 100 percent a landfill.

6 Q. And what is your basis for that
7 understanding?

8 A. First of all, I agreed with them based on my
9 experience and the knowledge of the regulations. In the
10 VN, that's the position they took, that it is a landfill.

11 Q. And based on your professional experience,
12 or so what I'm hearing -- and please let me know -- so
13 based on your professional experience, did you consider
14 the area that was subject to this closure plan to be a
15 landfill?

16 A. Correct.

17 Q. Why did you consider it to be a landfill?

18 A. Because it was operated as a landfill.

19 Q. And what are the characteristics that you
20 saw that made it appear that it was operated as a
21 landfill?

22 A. Had a containment, which is the berms around
23 it. It was filled with waste, in this case CCR waste,
24 and they kept filling it up.

1 Q. And to your knowledge, was the CCR waste
2 just conveyed over there dry?

3 A. It's my understanding most of it was
4 conveyed dry.

5 Q. What information did you look at to prepare
6 the closure plan?

7 A. Again, the historical information. Some of
8 the information that was provided by the -- in the VN,
9 got some clarification from the people who wrote it to
10 make sure that we addressed it.

11 Q. Okay. So did it include a review of
12 documents?

13 A. Yeah, quite a few.

14 Q. And did it include physical inspection?

15 A. Yes.

16 Q. When did you first become aware of the
17 presence of the former fly ash holding areas underneath a
18 portion of the landfill?

19 A. Truthfully, I may have been aware that they
20 existed in 1988 when I reviewed these facilities, but
21 then again, our position was that if it's filled up and
22 it's acting like a landfill, Bureau of Land's going to
23 regulate it as a landfill. So when I did -- when I came
24 back -- when I came into the picture here as a consultant

1 in 2020, was looking through the documents, but it wasn't
2 an issue, talked about that with the field, and at one
3 point when the attorneys were replying -- I provide
4 technical information -- to respond to the VN, everything
5 was kind of stopped, put on hold, and that was by Bureau
6 of Water.

7 Q. So going back to the presence of these
8 former fly ash holding areas underneath -- you know,
9 underneath the landfill, does the fact that these former
10 fly ash holding areas exist underneath the landfill area
11 change your view as to whether the entirety of this area
12 is a landfill --

13 A. No.

14 Q. -- in this exhibit?

15 A. No.

16 Q. And why not?

17 A. Because the -- well, it was called the
18 surface impoundments area, the storage area. They were
19 used for a purpose. They had a certain -- I'll tell you
20 a certain configuration, includes certain height, and
21 they were there for purposes of treating water before
22 their NPDES discharge, and when that stopped, the
23 material remained in there. Now it's a landfill.

24 Q. Does the presence of pond 6 or S-6 to the

1 north of the landfill -- does the presence of that pond
2 or did the presence of that pond give you any reason to
3 believe that the landfill area was in fact a surface
4 impoundment?

5 A. No, not at all.

6 Q. Based on your knowledge and experience, is
7 it common for ditches or ponds to be located adjacent to
8 a landfill for stormwater management purposes?

9 A. It's generally necessary.

10 Q. Based on your experience, would it make
11 sense to close the former fly ash holding unit separately
12 from the remainder of the landfill?

13 A. No.

14 Q. And why not?

15 A. You're talking about the green areas,
16 correct?

17 Q. Yes, the green areas.

18 A. Okay. No.

19 Q. Okay. And why not?

20 A. Initially you're going to have to monitor
21 them separately, under a different set of regulations
22 potentially, and you're going to have to put in different
23 caps, and when you put in -- I'm assuming if this was
24 closed as a surface impoundment and the other area's

1 closed as a landfill, you're going to have -- your cap
2 construction isn't going to be one continuous cap. It's
3 going to have valleys in it. It's going to make it a lot
4 more expensive. Now you're going to have areas where
5 water diverts and runs in between these valleys of the
6 landfill, and that kind of defeats the purpose of an
7 impermeable cap, you know, a largely impermeable cap, to
8 have possibly standing water, and then it causes
9 maintenance issues too.

10 Q. Do you think there would be any benefits to
11 closing them separately versus closing the whole area as
12 one unit?

13 A. No. It's actually silly because of what I
14 just said. If the goal is to close them and to prevent
15 infiltration and then to monitor them, looking at it as a
16 whole is a far better way of doing it.

17 Q. All right. Mr. Liss, are you familiar with
18 the fact that the adjusted standard that SIPC is
19 proposing in this matter as an alternative relief
20 includes agreeing to close the former landfill area in
21 accordance with Part 845 standards?

22 A. I think so, yeah, some of -- yeah.

23 Q. Okay. So based on your knowledge and
24 experience, how would the cost to close under Part 845

1 compare to the cost to close under Part 811?

2 A. So if we're going to do the entire area and
3 you want to compare the closure of this area -- I'm
4 calling it a landfill -- under 845 and 811, it's -- the
5 cap -- the standards for the cap are very similar, and
6 that's a good thing, but as for -- I think under 845, the
7 other cost in there with -- there's a lot of
8 administrative, potentially extended monitoring. There's
9 uncertainty. Now it's going back to the Bureau of Land
10 to do 845, and the program is not approved by the USEPA,
11 so now you still have maybe potentially 257 issues that
12 might be applied to the future in this case.

13 Q. And then just -- can you just high level
14 describe any key distinctions between closing this area
15 under Part 845 versus Part 811?

16 A. In my experience, when you have regulations
17 that are a little more detailed and there's some history
18 to meet especially performance standards versus a
19 technical standard, it's more efficient, because if you
20 have different reviewers applying different opinions
21 because there's no guidance on how to close things under
22 845, you can be constantly getting permit denials and
23 reworking things, maybe changing your groundwater program
24 because you get a second reviewer.

1 Q. And is closure under one program more
2 onerous than the other, 845 versus --

3 A. Yeah, 845 is more onerous.

4 Q. And is that for the reasons you just
5 mentioned, just the additional administrative steps?

6 A. Yes, part of it is what I just said, but
7 also the administrative parts of -- you get caught in
8 these loops of -- one area is a lot of public
9 notification and participation, which that's always good,
10 but not the way it's written in 845.

11 Q. Okay. And then are there some slightly
12 different groundwater monitoring requirements under both
13 programs?

14 A. I think they allow you under -- yeah,
15 they're not completely different. I wouldn't use the
16 word completely, I wouldn't say, but they can be
17 different.

18 Q. Is the sort of ultimate intention of
19 groundwater monitoring somewhat similar between the
20 programs?

21 A. Yes.

22 Q. All right. Mr. Liss, I want to point your
23 direction to an exhibit IEPA actually brought up
24 yesterday. It's IEPA's Exhibit CC. To your knowledge,

1 or to the best of your knowledge, what does this document
2 appear to be? Feel free to take your time to look at the
3 document.

4 A. Okay. When you get past the first two
5 pages, which is some microfilm and filing information --
6 and I'll refer to the rest of them first and second page
7 after that, all right, because they're not numbered. The
8 first one looks like a profile, profile meaning it's a
9 vertical cut through -- depicting slopes and some of the
10 area landfill. There's an aerial view -- not an aerial
11 view -- a map view and then some more details with
12 similar information.

13 Q. Okay. Can I direct your attention to the
14 last page of the document?

15 A. Yes.

16 Q. All right. Do you see a diagram towards the
17 bottom of the last page?

18 A. Yes. Is that Section A, paren, dash, A,
19 paren, paren?

20 Q. Yes, right. And the diagram right above
21 there, do you see that diagram with the language that
22 says, quote, "Strip topsoil, open paren, approx
23 0.50 feet, closed paren"?

24 A. Yes, I see that.

1 Q. To your knowledge, what is this language
2 referring to?

3 A. Well, it looks like they were stripping the
4 topsoil to grade -- if you'll look at the far left, right
5 when you get past the vertical number, 3.0 feet, there's
6 a line there. You see how that kind of dips down in the
7 middle? It looks like it was just being graded, probably
8 for purposes of drainage.

9 Q. Okay. Is it typical to grade a landfill
10 area?

11 A. Oh, yes.

12 Q. And again, like, what would be the purpose
13 for grading a landfill area?

14 A. Managing any liquids that get into the
15 landfill.

16 Q. Do you mean, like, liquids from stormwater?

17 A. Stormwater. Precipitation.

18 Q. Okay.

19 A. Could be snow melt water.

20 Q. So when you're referring to liquids there,
21 what are you referring to? When you use the word liquids
22 there, were you referring to precipitation?

23 A. Yeah. Also, you know, when you're a regular
24 landfill, it's -- there's a liquids ban, but things get

1 in there from household waste being thrown in there. It
2 makes its way to the bottom and you remove the liquid.

3 Q. But are you aware of any household waste
4 going into this landfill?

5 A. No.

6 Q. Okay.

7 A. That was a general --

8 Q. General talk --

9 A. We were talking about landfills in general.

10 Q. So for purposes of this landfill, do you
11 believe the grading was related to precipitation?

12 A. Likely.

13 Q. Okay. Do you believe the activity described
14 on this page is consistent with activities that would be
15 conducted at a surface impoundment?

16 A. Yes.

17 Q. No, do you believe that the activities
18 described on this page --

19 A. On this page.

20 Q. -- yeah, stripping of the topsoil for --

21 A. Oh, I thought you meant activities of their
22 surface impoundment permit, because they did it for that.
23 Sorry. Let me correct myself.

24 Q. Okay.

1 A. No, no, if you have a landfill, you
2 construct the liner -- you don't overexcavate it -- in
3 order to have things like depicted here, going different
4 grades to move fluid and liquids and collect it in case
5 there's any that gets in there.

6 Q. Okay. And is it consistent to do things
7 like grading to help with the runoff from landfill areas?

8 A. Sure, yes.

9 Q. Okay. And again, talking about the activity
10 that we just talked about, the stripping of topsoil for
11 grading, do you believe that that activity would be
12 consistent with the construction of a surface
13 impoundment?

14 A. It can be. It doesn't have to be.
15 Generally you strip off soil for grading to get the
16 proper grade as an elevation change. We understand that,
17 grading, and so --

18 Q. Right. So can you -- So again, would you --
19 would that be the equivalent of, say, excavating for a
20 surface impoundment?

21 A. I don't -- We don't call that excavating.

22 Q. No?

23 A. No.

24 Q. Okay.

1 A. Grading is different.

2 Q. Okay. Is grading done for the purpose to,
3 like, build a hole so that materials --

4 A. No, grading is done to make things either
5 dip one way or become level, that's all.

6 Q. Okay. Moving on, in your declaration in
7 support of SIPC's response in this matter, SIPC
8 Exhibit 47, I'd like to ask you a few questions about
9 that, if you don't mind.

10 A. Okay. I have it.

11 Q. Okay. So this is SIPC Exhibit 47. In this
12 exhibit you discuss the Part 845 groundwater monitoring
13 program's requirement for a minimum of eight independent
14 groundwater samples from background and downgradient
15 wells; is that right?

16 A. Yes.

17 Q. To your knowledge, is an owner or operator
18 supposed to include a proposed groundwater monitoring
19 program with their operating permit application to IEPA
20 under Part 845?

21 A. Yes.

22 Q. And what is your understanding of the
23 purpose of the requirement to collect a minimum of eight
24 independent samples from each of these types of

1 groundwater monitoring wells?

2 A. Let's assume first that the groundwater
3 monitoring system is installed correctly. Once that's
4 done, then you collect samples to characterize and get a
5 good, let's say, fingerprint of the groundwater quality
6 around the site for statistical purposes.

7 Q. And that statistical analysis is then
8 included with the groundwater monitoring program
9 submitted with an operating permit application?

10 A. Correct.

11 Q. For the units at issue in this matter, do
12 you believe that 180 days is an appropriate time frame in
13 which to characterize groundwater or conduct a
14 hydrogeological assessment for purposes of an 845
15 operating permit application?

16 A. No, it's not enough to complete those tasks.

17 Q. And why not?

18 A. I would say first of all, for the -- within
19 180 days, that's half a year. You're missing the other
20 half of the year, let's say temporal variations in what
21 could affect groundwater quality, so your statistical
22 database for the purposes of conducting a groundwater
23 monitoring program to evaluate whether the landfill is
24 changing groundwater quality is no good.

1 Q. To your knowledge, do these units currently
2 have groundwater monitoring systems installed under the
3 federal CCR rule, Part 257?

4 A. No.

5 Q. How does that impact the amount of time that
6 may be needed to characterize groundwater and conduct a
7 hydrogeologic assessment for an operating permit
8 application?

9 A. This goes back a few. You need to do the
10 groundwater site investigation to determine where to put
11 wells, not only horizontally spacing, but vertically.
12 Some facilities have two sets of wells, deep and shallow.

13 Q. So I guess what I'm asking is, if there were
14 a Part 257 groundwater monitoring system here, would it
15 be possible that perhaps less time would be needed for
16 the --

17 A. Yeah, that's correct.

18 Q. Okay. And does the lack of a Part 257
19 system indicate that additional time might be needed?

20 A. Necessary. It's necessary to get additional
21 time.

22 Q. And why is that?

23 A. Because you don't have the information that
24 you would have collected under 257.

1 Q. Okay. Are there any other site-specific
2 conditions that you've seen here at the Marion Station
3 that support the collection of these eight rounds of
4 samples that we just talked about over a longer period of
5 time for the units that are at issue in this proceeding?

6 A. If you look at the area -- if this map is
7 still up on the -- is it?

8 Q. Yes, it is.

9 A. So once you look at the north of the storage
10 area -- that's the large white area -- then you have
11 pond 6 going around there and then there's a creek, then
12 also, if you look at the contours, the heavy lines,
13 there's areas of drainage up there that exceed, like,
14 570 feet. They all drain down to that area. That has
15 nothing to do with the landfill. If you have wells in
16 that area, or even for background, it might have nothing
17 to do with the groundwater that's around the south side
18 of the creek in the landfill, so it would be very
19 confusing if you try and make any comparisons.

20 Q. Okay. So going back to the issue of the
21 amount of time that might be needed to collect samples,
22 are there any other site-specific conditions that you're
23 aware of that might indicate this particular site
24 requiring a bit more time to collect --

1 A. Yes, just with the -- oh, sorry.

2 Q. -- to collect groundwater samples?

3 A. Okay. Yeah.

4 Q. To collect representative groundwater
5 samples.

6 A. Representative. Yeah, just the rate of
7 movement of the groundwater.

8 Q. And --

9 A. If the sediments in certain areas, you know,
10 have a low hydraulic conductivity, that means water
11 doesn't pass through them quickly, and what are you
12 actually measuring in six months?

13 Q. And --

14 A. The same water?

15 Q. And to your knowledge -- and I think you may
16 have mentioned this in your report -- is there an
17 indication that the rate of groundwater flow at this
18 particular site may be a bit slow?

19 A. Yeah, I would say so, from the limited
20 hydrogeo information that's available.

21 Q. Yeah. Okay. So then, Mr. Liss, what do you
22 believe is the correct time frame over which to allow the
23 collection of these groundwater monitoring samples that
24 we've just discussed and preparation of a groundwater

1 monitoring plan for the units at issue in this
2 proceeding?

3 A. A minimum of a year, 12 months, to gather
4 that information. When your groundwater system is
5 accepted by the regulating entity, EPA, then start doing
6 the monitoring and get one full year, four seasons, of
7 monitoring.

8 Q. And ideally, would it be good to have more
9 than a year of data?

10 A. Yes, it would.

11 Q. Okay. And are there certain steps that need
12 to be taken before that monitoring can occur?

13 A. Yeah. Like I said, you have to make sure
14 your groundwater system is installed correctly.

15 Q. And about how long do you believe it would
16 be required to get the groundwater monitoring system
17 installed?

18 A. My familiarity of the site and my
19 experience, 90 days would be very tough, but we can get
20 it done.

21 Q. All right. So a minimum of 90 days?

22 A. Yes, but that's without any EPA review,
23 because if you turn it in, it might take them 6 months,
24 180 days, to give you a review back, and I wouldn't

1 recommend starting monitoring until the EPA approved the
2 monitoring system.

3 Q. And to your knowledge, can there be delays
4 on installation of monitoring systems due to equipment
5 availability --

6 A. Oh, sure.

7 Q. -- contractor availability, things like
8 that?

9 A. Exactly.

10 Q. Okay.

11 A. Weather. I mean, it gets -- if it rains too
12 much off and on, it's very hard to go into that type of
13 soil, drilling.

14 MS. JOSHI: Okay. That's all I have. Thank
15 you, Mr. Liss.

16 THE WITNESS: Okay.

17 HEARING OFFICER WEBB: You want to take a
18 quick five minutes or you want to --

19 MR. NEIBERGALL: We actually have no cross,
20 so --

21 HEARING OFFICER WEBB: No cross.

22 MR. NEIBERGALL: So we would like a break
23 before the next witness, but we have no cross.

24 HEARING OFFICER WEBB: Okay. Let's do that,

1 take a short break. Thank you.

2 MS. BROWN: I don't have anything.

3 HEARING OFFICER WEBB: Thank you.

4 (Brief recess taken.)

5 HEARING OFFICER WEBB: We'll go back on
6 record, and Petitioner may call their next witness.

7 MS. JOSHI: Petitioner calls Ari Lewis.

8 (Witness sworn.)

9 ARI LEWIS, produced, sworn and examined on behalf
10 of the Petitioner, testified as follows:

11 DIRECT EXAMINATION

12 BY MS. JOSHI:

13 Q. Will you please state and spell your name?

14 A. Ari Lewis, A-R-I, L-E-W-I-S.

15 Q. Miss Lewis, will you please describe your
16 educational background?

17 A. Sure. I got my bachelor's degree at the
18 University of Pennsylvania in biology and environmental
19 studies and then went on to get my master's degree in
20 environmental toxicology at Cornell University.

21 Q. Where are you currently employed?

22 A. At Gradient, an environmental consulting
23 company.

24 Q. What is your current position?

1 A. I'm a principal there.

2 Q. Describe your duties as a principal at
3 Gradient.

4 A. As a principal I oversee evaluations,
5 principally related to toxicology and risk assessment.
6 That can cover a whole range of different applications,
7 from product risk assessment to environmental risk
8 assessment, anything sort of risk or toxicology related.

9 Q. So do you specialize in specific subject
10 matter areas?

11 A. Yeah. So it would be toxicology and risk
12 assessment.

13 Q. Okay. And does your experience include
14 serving on any advisory committees or boards?

15 A. I've served on a number of different boards
16 over the years. I've been on the Society for Chemical
17 Hazard Communication board, I've served on a Product
18 Stewardship Society board and I've -- actually, that's
19 just a committee -- but then also recently I served on a
20 few EPA boards related to environmental justice as well,
21 the science advisory boards.

22 Q. Did you prepare any materials in support of
23 SIPC's petition in this case?

24 A. I did. I prepared two reports.

1 Q. Okay. We're going to hand you first a
2 document that's been identified as SIPC's corrected
3 Exhibit 37. Are you familiar with this document?

4 A. Yes. This is --

5 Q. What is it?

6 A. This is an evaluation I worked on to provide
7 support for the petition of an adjusted standard, and
8 it's generally related to the status of the -- some of
9 the ponds at the Marion Generating Station and if they
10 qualified as being de minimis.

11 Q. Sorry, and I should correct. You're looking
12 at Exhibit 36.

13 A. I am. Sorry. Corrected Exhibit 36.

14 Q. No worries. Thank you for that. And so now
15 we're going to hand you a document that's been identified
16 as SIPC -- so that's identified as SIPC corrected
17 Exhibit 36.

18 A. Yes.

19 Q. And now we're going to hand you a document
20 that's been identified as SIPC corrected Exhibit 37.

21 A. Yes.

22 Q. Are you familiar with this document?

23 A. I am familiar with this document as well.

24 Q. Okay. And what is this document?

1 A. This is a human health and ecological risk
2 assessment that I did at the Marion Generating Station.

3 Q. Okay. And did you -- were you the primary
4 author of both corrected Exhibits 36 and 37?

5 A. I am.

6 Q. Did anyone assist you in preparing these
7 reports?

8 A. I did have a team of other scientists that
9 worked with me on the report, but I oversaw the work done
10 there.

11 Q. Okay. So was any work that they did on the
12 reports under your direction?

13 A. It was under my direction and I reviewed all
14 of the work.

15 Q. Okay. Thank you. Miss Lewis, can you
16 please describe your work experience related to CCR
17 surface impoundments, including evaluating impacts or
18 risks from CCR surface impoundments?

19 A. Sure. I've worked on this issue for a while
20 now, really started early on in my career. My first
21 experience was evaluating the 2007 version of the CCR
22 human health and ecological risk assessment that
23 supported -- that would eventually be in support of the
24 2015 CCR rule. I provided evaluation and regulatory

1 comment on that. I reviewed subsequent drafts, and over
2 the years I continued to evaluate risk assessments that
3 would be in support of regulatory actions. That's sort
4 of one bucket. I've also conducted site-specific risk
5 assessments, much like we did on -- did in this case.
6 And then another kind of bucket of work I've done is
7 related to more general risk issues at -- related to CCR
8 and how that may impact surface impoundments as well.

9 Q. Thank you. So I would like to turn to your
10 corrected Exhibit 36 --

11 A. Yes.

12 Q. -- and attachment B to that exhibit.

13 A. I think it's -- is it at the other end, my
14 CV?

15 Q. Towards the end there --

16 A. Sure.

17 Q. -- of Exhibit 36.

18 A. Exhibit 36, yep.

19 Q. Attachment B.

20 A. Attachment B, yes.

21 Q. Okay.

22 A. Got it.

23 Q. Great. Thank you. Is attachment B to SIPC
24 corrected Exhibit 36 a true and correct copy of your CV?

1 A. Yes, it is.

2 Q. Okay. Does your CV further describe your
3 experience and qualifications?

4 A. Yes.

5 MS. JOSHI: Hearing Officer, I'd like to
6 admit Miss Lewis as an expert in the areas of risk
7 assessment and analysis, including the risk evaluation
8 and characterization of CCR surface impoundments.

9 MR. NEIBERGALL: No objection.

10 HEARING OFFICER WEBB: Okay. You're an
11 expert.

12 Q. (By Ms. Joshi) I understand that you have a
13 Powerpoint to accompany your testimony today. Is that
14 correct?

15 A. I do.

16 Q. All right. I'm going to hand you a document
17 that's been marked as SIPC Exhibit 55.

18 A. Great. Thanks.

19 Q. That Powerpoint on the title slide reads
20 "Testimony of Ari S. Lewis" and is also displayed on
21 slide 1 on the screen in the room. Do you recognize this
22 document?

23 A. Yes.

24 Q. Okay. Is this a true and correct copy of

1 the Powerpoint you prepared to accompany your testimony
2 today?

3 A. It is, yes.

4 Q. Did you assist in drafting or otherwise
5 review and approve the contents of this Powerpoint?

6 A. Yes.

7 Q. And just generally, what does the Powerpoint
8 contain?

9 A. It contains a lot of the key points that I
10 made in the individual reports that I prepared in support
11 of this matter.

12 Q. Okay. So now I'd like to talk about your
13 reports actually out of order, so starting with
14 Exhibit 37.

15 A. Okay. Yeah.

16 Q. Or actually corrected Exhibit 37.

17 A. Sure.

18 Q. You noted that this is a human health and
19 ecological risk assessment; is that right?

20 A. That's right.

21 Q. For shorthand, I might refer to that as an
22 HHERA, all right?

23 A. Okay. Yes.

24 Q. So what is a human health and ecological

1 risk assessment?

2 A. Okay. Well, I'll -- I kind of walk through
3 all this with slides, but I -- so I can -- we can go to
4 slide 2, but I can -- I'll briefly describe it on a high
5 level. So a risk assessment is basically the procedure
6 that's used to quantify risks, put a number to them, and
7 what that generally involves at a very high level is sort
8 of quantifying exposure to chemicals and how much of that
9 exposure to chemicals could occur to a population of
10 interest -- that could be human or ecological
11 receptors -- and then we compare those exposures -- we
12 often call them exposure point concentrations -- to a
13 health benchmark that's developed, and again, in sort of
14 simplistic terms, if the exposure is under the health
15 benchmark, we don't have a risk, and if it exceeds it, we
16 have a potential risk that we need to examine.

17 Q. Are there standards by which a human health
18 and ecological risk assessment is typically conducted?

19 A. Yes, they are, and developed by regulatory
20 agencies. USEPA is probably the premier agency that has
21 put forth risk assessment guidance. They've done that as
22 early as the -- their -- kind of the risk assessment
23 bible came out in the late '80s -- that's the Risk
24 Assessment Guidance for Superfund -- but since then the

1 EPA has released subsequent guidance, and we keep abreast
2 of all those developments. States may also release
3 guidance. IEPA also provides some information on the
4 type of exposures you want to look at, how you might
5 calculate health benchmarks, etc., so we would look at
6 those too if we were going to conduct a risk assessment.
7 You would look at the competent agencies, basically, and
8 what risk assessment guidance they provide to go through
9 a risk assessment.

10 Q. Okay. And so I see on slide 3 here of your
11 Powerpoint you have some documents listed. Are these
12 some of the guidance documents you used to help with
13 your -- help guide your risk assessment?

14 A. Yes, these are some of the guidance
15 documents, and also, because there is no specific
16 guidance for CCR sites or CCP sites, we also look to the
17 risk assessment EPA conducted in support of the rule to
18 get some sort of guidance and ideas for approach on how
19 to properly characterize sites.

20 Q. All right. So let's talk specifically about
21 the HHERA conducted for this case and move to slide 4 of
22 your presentation.

23 A. All right.

24 Q. What units did you evaluate for the HHERA

1 you conducted for this case?

2 A. Okay. As it's on the slide, it was pond 4,
3 former pond B-3, pond 3 and 3A, pond 6 and the south fly
4 ash pond.

5 Q. And can you just provide at a high level,
6 what was the conclusion of your HHERA analysis?

7 A. We concluded that there was no risk to human
8 health or the environment from these ponds, and actually
9 we evaluated the whole site in general, so it included
10 sort of all exposures that could occur at the site, but
11 the risk assessment was meant to just address -- make --
12 draw conclusions about these specific ponds.

13 Q. All right. Let's walk through this in a
14 little bit more detail, turning to slide 5. What
15 generally are the steps that you took to complete the
16 HHERA?

17 A. Yeah. Steps are listed here on slide 5, and
18 I'll go through them generally, because I know in
19 subsequent spots you'll go through these steps in a
20 little bit more detail, but the first step is to develop
21 a conceptual exposure model and identify the complete
22 exposure pathways, so that's to understand, you know, who
23 and what could be exposed at the site given the site
24 conditions and the site configuration. And the next step

1 would be to identify the constituents of interest, and
2 these are basically the chemicals -- or the constituents
3 that we want to evaluate further. They would sort of
4 nominate them for further review. Once we get those in
5 place, we perform a sort of a screening-level analysis.
6 This is where we use really conservative assumptions to
7 compare health-based benchmarks to these exposure point
8 concentrations that I discussed. We use really
9 conservative assumptions there, so if there's no risk, we
10 can kind of be reasonably assured there's no risk and
11 move on and conclude that there's no risk. If there are
12 some issues there, we would go on to perform a more
13 refined risk assessment where we better consider, you
14 know, specific receptors at the site, specific exposure
15 pathways, and refine concentrations and other elements
16 that could be at issue. And then finally, if a -- based
17 on the screening assessment or a refined risk assessment,
18 we formulate risk conclusions and identify any
19 uncertainties.

20 Q. Okay. So let's start with sort of the first
21 step that you just discussed, and I think you've got a
22 little bit more related to it on slide 6 of your
23 Powerpoint.

24 A. Yes.

1 Q. You noted that the first step is to identify
2 complete exposure pathways and develop a conceptual
3 exposure matter; is that right?

4 A. Exposure model, yes.

5 Q. Or model, yeah.

6 A. Yeah.

7 Q. Did you do that for this matter?

8 A. Yes. Yes, we did.

9 Q. Can you briefly describe how that model was
10 developed?

11 A. Sure. Well, we focused on the groundwater
12 pathway. That is the key pathway in this -- at the site,
13 and that involves, you know, potential direct exposure to
14 groundwater or groundwater that migrates to surface water
15 and any exposures that could occur there.

16 Q. And so again, now, you looked at both human
17 health and ecological risk as part of your assessment; is
18 that right?

19 A. We did, yes.

20 Q. Okay. So what pathways did you evaluate for
21 human health?

22 A. Well, the pathways that we determined that
23 were complete was a drinking water pathway that could
24 occur via the consumption of the surface water from Lake

1 Egypt, swimming -- recreation exposures, which would be
2 included -- would be swimming and boating in Lake Egypt,
3 and then also fish ingestion, so from anglers catching
4 fish, and we -- the complete pathways there we considered
5 to both be surface water exposure in Lake Egypt and
6 surface water in Little Saline Creek. We -- I can --

7 Q. Sorry. For the human health pathways, was
8 groundwater, drinking water a complete pathway?

9 A. We looked at that and determined it was not
10 a complete ground -- a complete exposure pathway.

11 Q. Okay. And what was your basis for
12 concluding that that was not a complete pathway?

13 A. There were several lines of evidence that we
14 used. Mainly we had done an analysis of possible private
15 wells in the area and determined that they -- all they --
16 that none of them were directly downgradient. Some were
17 upgradient and some were side-gradient. Another reason
18 was -- and probably more important -- is that they
19 were -- the wells where we had measured constituents
20 above groundwater protection standards near the site,
21 they were in a much shallower aquifer than the private
22 wells we identified. They were also at a sufficient
23 distance. So based on the groundwater flow and the depth
24 of the wells, we determined that that was not a complete

1 ground -- a complete drinking water pathway.

2 Q. And what pathways did you evaluate for
3 ecological risk?

4 A. Sure. Those are on the next page.

5 Q. Are you looking at slide 7 now?

6 A. Slide 7. Sorry. Slide -- We looked at,
7 again, the discharge of groundwater to surface water and
8 we looked at the exposures that could occur to aquatic
9 receptors via exposure to that surface water and exposure
10 that could occur via the aquatic receptor exposure to
11 sediment.

12 Q. And so for the ecological pathways, what
13 were the pathways you found were complete?

14 A. We focused on the Little -- the exposures
15 that could occur to Little Saline Creek, because that was
16 the more -- we'd expect the groundwater flow was in that
17 direction and the dilution that would occur from
18 groundwater to surface water was -- would be much more
19 significant than would occur with Lake Egypt, so that --
20 we determined that was a complete exposure pathway. We
21 did not get into looking at the ecological effects in
22 Little Lake Egypt. It could have been -- It's
23 questionable if that was a complete exposure pathway, but
24 we just reasoned that we'd look at Little Saline Creek

1 because it would be more conservative.

2 Q. Okay. And can you just explain again why
3 looking at Little Saline Creek for any ecological risks
4 is the sort of more conservative body of water to look
5 at?

6 A. Because the groundwater flow is more
7 directly in that direction and because of the dilution
8 that would occur from groundwater to surface water would
9 be much more significant than would occur to Lake Egypt,
10 so by that reasoning, the resulting concentrations would
11 be expected to be much higher -- exposure point
12 concentrations would expect to be higher in Little Saline
13 Creek compared to Lake Egypt.

14 Q. And that's because there'd be greater
15 dilution or lesser dilution?

16 A. There'd be less dilution.

17 Q. Okay.

18 A. Yeah. Sorry.

19 Q. All right. And to compare, less dilution
20 going into Little Saline Creek?

21 A. Yes.

22 Q. Okay.

23 A. Resulting in higher exposure point
24 concentrations.

1 Q. Okay. Thank you. So you know the next step
2 is to identify site-related constituents of interest; is
3 that right?

4 A. Yes.

5 Q. Okay. Which I may refer to shorthand as
6 COIs.

7 A. Uh-huh.

8 Q. So moving on to slide 8 of your Powerpoint,
9 first, can you just explain, what are COIs or
10 constituents of interest?

11 A. Yeah, I explained this briefly earlier, but
12 essentially it's any -- the set of constituents that we
13 want to carry forward in the risk assessment and take a
14 second look at, or first look at, really.

15 Q. How do -- How did you determine whether a
16 constituent is a COI?

17 A. So in this case we looked at two
18 different -- or actually three different sets of COIs.
19 Let me start with the human health. So what we did is we
20 looked at all of the groundwater monitoring wells in the
21 area, and for any constituent that exceeded groundwater
22 protection standards, the maximum, whatever the maximum
23 was, regardless if it was a background well, a monitoring
24 well, we included that, so if there was a maximum

1 concentration greater than the groundwater protection
2 standards that were established in 845, we included that.
3 We did separate -- We did identify two sets of COIs for
4 human health. One was related to the S-wells, which were
5 located in the -- which were more closely adjacent to
6 pond 4, pond 3, 3A, 6 and B-3, and the -- I can read them
7 off for the record. The COIs that we identified related
8 to those wells, the S-wells, were arsenic, beryllium,
9 boron, cadmium, cobalt, lead and thallium. We then also
10 identified a second COIs, and these more related to
11 potential contaminants that could occur near the south
12 fly ash pond, and those were boron, cobalt, cadmium and
13 thallium. Do you want me to --

14 Q. Yeah.

15 A. I can go on to the ecological --

16 Q. So then were there -- how did you
17 determine -- were there also ecological COIs that you
18 determined existed?

19 A. We did.

20 Q. Okay. And how did you determine the
21 presence of -- or how did you determine whether a
22 constituent was an ecological COI?

23 A. So we were looking at the S-wells for that,
24 again because we were interested in the impacts to Little

1 Saline Creek and those were the more relevant wells, and
2 we compared the -- again, the maximum concentration of
3 any constituent measured and we compared that to
4 benchmarks -- not the groundwater protection standards,
5 which are meant to protect human health, but we compared
6 them to groundwater -- or surface water quality standards
7 protective of ecological receptors, so if there's any
8 exceedance there, we included those as a COI.

9 Q. So moving on to your slide 9, then, you
10 mentioned that the next step to -- as part of an HHERA is
11 performing a screening-level risk analysis; is that
12 right?

13 A. Yes.

14 Q. Okay. What is a screening-level risk
15 analysis?

16 A. It can mean different things in different
17 applications, but in this case it's where we use very
18 conservative assumptions and develop health-based
19 benchmarks for the specific receptors or activities that
20 were relevant to the site, and we again in this case
21 compared maximum concentrations to the specific health
22 benchmarks that we developed, and those benchmarks were,
23 you know, consistent with guidance provided by IEPA to
24 the extent that they -- that that was relevant.

1 Q. You said that this step involved using
2 conservative assumptions. Can you expand upon what you
3 mean by that?

4 A. For example, in this screening case we again
5 used all of the data at the -- in the -- measured in the
6 groundwater regardless of whether we really think it was
7 a CCR contaminant or if it would actually be, you know,
8 really -- you know, migrate into the surface water body.
9 We -- Again, we used the maximum and assumed sort of
10 default exposure assumptions without really considering
11 exactly what was going on at the site. Those are
12 investigations that you could sort of dig into later if
13 you did -- if something didn't pass the screening
14 assessment.

15 Q. And how did you determine the benchmarks
16 that were used for comparison as part of this step?

17 A. Right. So those were developed in
18 accordance with IEPA guidance. These are called human
19 threshold concentrations, and we used that guidance to
20 develop those for the recreators, which were one of the
21 relevant receptors that we looked at.

22 Q. And what exposures did you evaluate for your
23 screening-level risk analysis?

24 A. Right. So we evaluated the pathways that we

1 considered complete, so that was recreators using Lake
2 Egypt, drinking water consumption from Lake Egypt and
3 fish consumption from Lake Egypt and Little Saline Creek.

4 Q. And what did your screening-level risk
5 analysis conclude regarding risks posed via the complete
6 human health pathways you evaluated?

7 A. Yes, so we did not identify any risks, so in
8 the other language that we use here, so none of these
9 constituents were sort of further elevated to be a
10 potential constituent of concern and needed a more
11 refined assessment.

12 Q. And what did your screening-level risk
13 analysis conclude regarding risks posed via complete
14 ecological pathways, which I think you might have further
15 information on --

16 A. Yeah, I think it's on slide 11.

17 Q. 11? Okay.

18 A. Yeah. There was -- That none of them -- and
19 we didn't have measured concentration, but none of the
20 modeled surface water concentrations that we modeled for
21 Little Saline Creek exceeded relevant ecological
22 benchmarks for both exposure to surface water and
23 sediment.

24 Q. And given the results derived for Little

1 Saline Creek, would you expect any risk in Lake of Egypt,
2 ecological risk in Lake of Egypt?

3 A. I would not. The concentration would be
4 expected to be much lower, the exposure point
5 concentration.

6 Q. Okay. And can you just briefly explain the
7 basis for that statement?

8 A. Because the -- Because of the size of Lake
9 Egypt and the amount of water, the concentrations would
10 become -- any constituents migrating there would become
11 even further diluted than they would in Little Saline
12 Creek, plus again, also again, the groundwater direction
13 was more towards Little Saline Creek too, so it's not
14 even clear to the extent that water -- that groundwater
15 would migrate into Lake Egypt.

16 Q. You state the next step is to perform a
17 refined risk analysis; is that right?

18 A. Yes.

19 Q. Did you have to do a refined risk analysis
20 for this case?

21 A. We did not.

22 Q. Why not?

23 A. Because the screening assessment indicated
24 that there were no risks to human health or ecological

1 receptors.

2 Q. And did that make performing this step
3 unnecessary?

4 A. It made it performing unnecessary. Had
5 there been risks, we would have done more research to
6 determine -- done more specific research into complete --
7 the pathways that were complete, refined exposure
8 concentrations and determined if there was -- if more of
9 that research better informed the risk, but it wasn't
10 necessary using the high-level, high-conservative
11 assumptions that we did in the screening assessment.

12 Q. And again, you just mentioned high-level and
13 high-conservative assumptions. Can you just explain what
14 you mean by that?

15 A. Sure. The -- Again, it's sort of using the
16 maximum concentration, assuming that any concentration
17 present at the site was due to CCR, which is an
18 assumption, and that we even -- for this purpose of this
19 risk assessment, we assumed that it was due to the
20 specific, you know, ponds that we were evaluating here,
21 when they could have been from a number of other sources
22 or even background sources.

23 Q. So moving on to your next slide here,
24 slide 12, what conclusion did you reach as a result of

1 the HHERA that you conducted at the Marion Station?

2 A. Yeah, that the ponds of interest that we
3 were evaluating, pond 4, former pond B-3, 3 and 3A,
4 pond 6 and the south fly ash pond, did not pose a risk to
5 human health or the environment, and the environment
6 meaning ecological receptors.

7 Q. Okay. As you -- In your report and based on
8 your scientific understanding, is sort of the term
9 ecological equivalent to the term environmental
10 receptors?

11 A. They're often used interchangeably.

12 Q. And then can you walk us through sort of
13 some of the more specific results of your risk analysis?

14 A. Sure. I'll walk you through the
15 conclusions, which are highlighted on slide 12. We --
16 Looking at the groundwater pathway, we concluded that
17 there was no complete drinking water pathway via
18 groundwater, so consequently there were no risks from
19 drinking water via groundwater or from the use of
20 other -- the groundwater from other household purposes.
21 There were no unacceptable risks identified for the users
22 of Lake Egypt used -- using Lake Egypt for drinking
23 water, no unacceptable risk from using Lake Egypt for
24 swimming or boating or fishing, no unacceptable risk from

1 Little Saline Creek for fishing, and also no ecological
2 risk to ecological receptors in Little Saline Creek from
3 exposure to surface water or sediment, and by virtue
4 also, there was no bioaccumulative risk as well. We had
5 looked at that as well.

6 Q. And can you just briefly explain what a
7 bioaccumulative risk is?

8 A. If there was a chemical that maybe didn't
9 have an impact on an ecological receptor directly, by
10 virtue of it, you know, being a bioaccumulative chemical,
11 it could accumulate in an organism and then affect
12 another organism that may prey on that organism and
13 move up the -- biomagnify and move up the chain and
14 eventually cause an effect in that -- in the -- sort of
15 the higher-level receptor.

16 Q. All right. Thank you. So let's talk a
17 little bit more about your other report, SIPC corrected
18 Exhibit 36. Can you please start by just providing a
19 summary of the purpose of this report?

20 A. Sure. The purpose of this report was to
21 examine the units at the site that weren't used as -- to
22 directly dispose CCR materials and they were used for
23 ancillary purposes and to determine if the amount of CCR
24 qualified them as de minimis units.

1 Q. Miss Lewis, are you familiar with the
2 federal CCR rules?

3 A. Yes.

4 Q. Can you please just generally describe your
5 familiarity?

6 A. My familiarity with them would be related --
7 its intersection would be evaluating risks related to CCR
8 units and how that affected the CCR rule, so again, I
9 evaluated the risk assessments as a part of the rule
10 along the way, and finally, you know, how they ended up
11 being implemented and informed the 2015 CCR rule.

12 Q. So relatedly, are you familiar with the 2014
13 risk assessment that was conducted in support of the 2015
14 federal CCR rule?

15 A. Yes, and the drafts that preceded it as
16 well.

17 Q. And are you familiar with the term
18 de minimis CCR surface impoundment?

19 A. Yes, from the CCR rule.

20 Q. To the best of your knowledge, is there a
21 regulatory definition for the term de minimis as it
22 refers to CCR surface impoundments?

23 A. I am not aware of any quantitative
24 definition of de minimis.

1 Q. To the best of your knowledge, where does
2 the term de minimis come from when -- in reference to CCR
3 surface impoundments?

4 A. The place that I've seen it is in the
5 preamble to the 2015 CCR rule.

6 Q. And what do you understand this term to
7 mean?

8 A. It's a term that EPA was using to make a
9 distinction between a surface impoundment that's subject
10 to the regulation and one that wasn't, and it comes to me
11 as a unit on a site that does not contain significant
12 levels of CCR.

13 Q. And then what is your basis for that
14 understanding?

15 A. From the definitions, or explanation, I
16 should say, that USEPA provides in the CCR rule.

17 Q. So moving to your slide 13, do you have some
18 of that language that you're referring to here on this
19 slide?

20 A. Yes. So EPA, I guess again by not providing
21 a specific definition, they kind of provide examples, and
22 they describe that de minimis impoundment would be
23 something that didn't contain significant amounts of ash
24 and importantly wouldn't have the amounts of ash that

1 would give rise to the risk that they were trying to
2 regulate, and then they contrast that with something
3 that -- you know, the units that they would consider to
4 be surface impoundments, and those are -- the criteria
5 that -- where they would consider it a surface
6 impoundment, I have some of those listed on the slide
7 here, and they include primary settling ponds that
8 receive sluiced CCR, as well as, you know, secondary
9 ponds that, you know, importantly receive what they call
10 wet CCR or significant amounts of CCR from other
11 impoundments.

12 Q. In your opinion, do any of the units subject
13 to this petition qualify as a de minimis CCR surface
14 impoundment?

15 A. Yes. I concluded based on several lines of
16 evidence that pond 4, former pond B-3, pond 3/3A, pond 6
17 and the south fly ash could qualify as de minimis ponds.

18 Q. And are those the ponds you have listed on
19 slide 14 of your presentation?

20 A. Sorry. Yes. That's on slide 14, yes.

21 Q. Can you briefly describe the process you
22 went through to determine whether the CCR or potential
23 CCR present in what you call the ponds of interest is
24 de minimis?

1 A. Sure. I kind of reviewed how the ponds were
2 reviewed, looked at materials on how much, you know, CCR
3 had potentially accumulated in these ponds and looked at
4 the potential risks posed by these ponds.

5 Q. All right. So walking through each of the
6 units in turn, let's start with the south fly ash pond.

7 A. Sure.

8 Q. I guess before we get there, I see you have
9 a chart here on slide 15 of your presentation.

10 A. Uh-huh.

11 Q. Can you just briefly describe what this
12 chart is?

13 A. Sure. This is information that I relied on
14 from the Haley Aldrich report that was -- we provided
15 testimony on earlier, and it provides estimates of the
16 amount of CCR that was present in each of the ponds of
17 interest.

18 Q. All right. So starting with the south fly
19 ash pond and moving on to slide 16 of your Powerpoint
20 here, what's your basis for determining that the south
21 fly ash pond is a de minimis CCR surface impoundment?

22 A. Sure. We're going to walk through these and
23 there's all going to be a similar theme throughout, but
24 we'll go through each one by itself. But the south fly

1 ash pond never directly received sluiced ash from plant
2 operations, and as a result, it would be just from other
3 plant activities and as a result did not receive
4 significant amounts of ash, and this was confirmed by the
5 estimate of the amount of fly ash that was present in
6 the -- measured in the pond. This -- Which we'll get
7 into later, this small amount of ash made it sort of
8 unlike the profile of surface impoundments that were
9 evaluated in the EPA risk assessment that did give rise
10 to risk and became the subject of the necessitate to
11 regulate coal ash ponds. And the final piece of
12 information I used was just the risk -- the site-specific
13 risk assessment we did that concluded that there was no
14 risk to human health or the environment.

15 Q. Okay. Moving on to pond 3/3A, what were
16 the -- what was the basis for your conclusion that
17 pond 3/3A was a de minimis CCR surface impoundment or
18 contained a de minimis amount of CCR?

19 A. Sure. So following the same lines of
20 evidence, it never directly received sluiced ash from
21 plant operations. As a consequence, there was not a
22 significant amount of ash estimated to be in the ponds.
23 I didn't give the inches before, but I had that on the
24 slide, but in this cases of ponds 3 and 3A was estimated

1 around 4.7 inches, like -- this made it unlike a lot
2 of -- the vast majority of CCR impoundments that were the
3 subject of the CCR rule, and again, there were no
4 site-specific human health or ecological risks
5 identified.

6 Q. On slide 3A, I see you have, like,
7 mentioning of dredging or cleaning that may have been
8 done to 3/3A. Does that materially impact your
9 assessment of whether or not these are de minimis -- or
10 this is a de minimis surface impoundment?

11 A. I don't think it -- it doesn't change my
12 conclusion. Clearly, if there were -- we -- as testified
13 earlier, if there were subsequent -- or preceding
14 cleanings that may have altered the total amount that
15 would have been measured, but these cleanings occurred a
16 long time ago, and so we've had, you know, over a decade
17 still to accumulate CCR, and, you know, the 4.7 inches
18 over that long period of time would still make me call --
19 consider these to qualify as de minimis.

20 Q. And just to confirm, that 4.7 inches is kind
21 of based on the -- an assumption based on the PLM results
22 of the portion of --

23 A. The bathymetric survey and the PLM report
24 that --

1 Q. Okay. And suppose we were to consider all
2 of the sediment to be CCR? Would that change your
3 conclusion?

4 A. No. It would still be a rather small part
5 in comparison to most of the impoundments that were sort
6 of envisioned in the CCR risk assessment and envisioned
7 to be regulated under the rule.

8 Q. Let's move on to pond 6 or S-6. What are
9 the characteristics of pond 6 that resulted you in
10 determining that pond 6 is a de minimis unit or
11 de minimis CCR surface impoundment?

12 A. Sure. It never directly received sluiced
13 ash, so it wasn't a primary impoundment. The amount of
14 ash that was estimated to be in the pond was, again, a
15 very negligible amount, 4.2 inches. It's -- And again,
16 this made it different than the profile of most of the
17 surface impoundments that were evaluated in EPA's RA, and
18 there were no human health and ecological risks up on the
19 site-specific risk assessment.

20 Q. Okay. And again, the EPA RA, are you
21 talking about EPA's risk assessment for CCR surface
22 impoundments?

23 A. In support of the CCR rule, yes.

24 Q. All right. Let's move on to pond 4, then.

1 What are the characteristics or -- of unit 4 that led you
2 to determine that pond 4 was a de minimis CCR surface
3 impoundment?

4 A. Once again, it never directly received
5 sluiced ash directly from plant operations, therefore not
6 operating as a primary impoundment. The amount of
7 estimated ash in that pond was 10.8 inches, still less
8 than a foot, unlike -- it was -- that made it, again,
9 unlike other surface impoundments that were modeled in
10 that risk assessment, and the site-specific human health
11 and ecological risk assessment showed no risk.

12 Q. Okay. And again, does the fact that some of
13 these units were cleaned historically impact your
14 assessment of whether they were de minimis?

15 A. I mean, I considered it, but even
16 considering that, the amount of time that has elapsed
17 since that occurred and the small amount still, you know,
18 leads me to believe that they're considered de minimis
19 ponds.

20 Q. And finally, let's talk about former
21 pond B-3. What is your basis for determining that former
22 pond B-3 is a de minimis or was a de minimis CCR surface
23 impoundment?

24 A. It's a little bit different than the other

1 ponds, this one. There was -- actually was some periods
2 of time where these -- this unit did receive direct ash,
3 but it was very short time frames and not expected to be
4 routine, and I would have expected very small amount of
5 ash to have entered in that -- I think there was -- it
6 was just maybe during three to four outages that were two
7 weeks, and I -- from what I understand from other reports
8 that I've read, that was during a time where the plant
9 wasn't sort of operating at full steam, so the amount of
10 ash generated would have been small, and of course it --
11 because it wasn't -- you know, the times that it did
12 receive ash were extremely small windows of time, that
13 would make it different than the types of ponds that were
14 envisioned in EPA's risk assessment in support of the
15 rule. And then finally, again, the site-specific risk
16 assessments confirmed that there was no human health or
17 ecological risk assessments at the site, including from
18 pond B-3.

19 Q. Miss Lewis, how did these units that you
20 just discussed compare to those units you would consider
21 to be non-de minimis CCR surface impoundments?

22 A. Certainly any pond that would have been a
23 primary pond that would have received sluiced ash on a
24 routine basis would definitely be considered a surface

1 impoundment, you know, fall into the regular tradition of
2 surface impoundment. We would have -- If that were the
3 case, we would have expected much larger amounts of ash
4 to be present there than were now. Even if they had been
5 periodically cleaned out, they would have accumulated
6 much higher levels and much more significant amounts.

7 Q. And what about secondary ponds with
8 significant amounts of CCR? How do these compare to
9 those types of units that may be non-de minimis,
10 according to USEPA?

11 A. For any -- I mean, those ponds were --
12 again, sort of the example given was that they received
13 wet CCR or water with significant amounts of CCR, and
14 again, I think over the periods of time we were looking
15 at, we would have expected more than inches of CCR to
16 have accumulated over that time.

17 Q. Let's discuss USEPA's 2014 risk
18 assessment -- I think we've referenced it before -- and
19 move on to slide 21 from your Powerpoint. What is the
20 goal of USEPA's 2014 risk assessment?

21 A. EPA set out to characterize nationwide risks
22 from surface impoundments and landfills, characterize the
23 risk, and based on that risk analysis to determine if,
24 you know, regulations were warranted.

1 Q. What did USEPA evaluate as part of this risk
2 assessment?

3 A. So they -- the risk assessment was extremely
4 comprehensive, looked at a large number of human health
5 and ecological pathways or ways that human health and
6 ecological receptors could be exposed. I've listed them
7 all here on slide 2. They, like we did, did sort of a
8 screening assessment followed by a more rigorous
9 assessment. Do you want me to --

10 Q. No, I just want -- you said slide 2. I just
11 want to --

12 A. Slide 21.

13 Q. Okay.

14 A. Slide 21.

15 Q. Please continue. Do you have something to
16 add to your response there?

17 A. I just -- I could -- Again, based on the
18 slide, I could go through all the pathways just for the
19 record. Would you like me to go through them?

20 Q. Yeah, could you just briefly describe what
21 the different pathways were that the USEPA looked at?

22 A. Sure. They looked at the ingestion of
23 drinking water from impacted groundwater; they looked at
24 the ingestion of surface water affected by groundwater

1 and actually drinking water as well; they looked at
2 direct contact with surface water; they looked at contact
3 that could occur during showering from groundwater; and
4 then they looked at a whole bunch of pathways that could
5 have related -- more relevant to landfills that related
6 to wind-blown dust and the potential for that wind-blown
7 dust to impact soil and then for that soil to impact
8 plants and other animals that could be affected by the
9 affected soil. They look at a whole number of pathways.
10 All of them were eventually screened out for human health
11 except for the ingestion of drinking water via
12 groundwater and the ingestion of fish from surface water
13 impacted by groundwater.

14 Q. Okay. And what were the ecological pathways
15 that it looked at?

16 A. Also looked like -- at a number, did a
17 screening assessment. The assessment that was carried
18 forward was aquatic receptors exposed to surface water
19 impacted by groundwater, aquatic receptors directly
20 exposed to surface impoundment wastewater, and aquatic --
21 I think that's aquatic receptors exposed to soil impacted
22 by runoff, and then terrestrial receptors exposed to soil
23 were also examined, but they were screened out. Very
24 comprehensive assessment.

1 Q. And what types of CCR surface impoundments
2 did the 2014 risk assessment conclude posed a risk?

3 A. So EPA, they performed what's called a
4 probabilistic risk assessment and they build the profile.
5 They evaluated risks that were indicative of
6 90th percentile risk surface impoundment or landfill and
7 a 50th percent, so in sort of layman's terms, that would
8 mean -- a 90th percentile is sort of had a lot of -- a
9 surface impoundment that would be associated with a
10 profile with a lot of high-end assumptions. This could
11 be size or distance or receptors or the types of surface
12 water bodies that were nearby, but it would be more of
13 a -- I want to -- I don't want to call it worst case, but
14 high-end exposure scenario. That was the
15 90th percentile. And then they also sort of -- they
16 quantify the 50th percentile, which would be more of --
17 characteristic of more typical conditions or kind of, you
18 know, mid-level exposure scenarios.

19 Q. Okay. And then turning to slide 22 of your
20 deck, does that contain a summary of the conclusions from
21 the USEPA's risk assessment for surface impoundments?

22 A. Yeah, this is for the human health risk for
23 surface impoundments. At the 90th percentile -- again,
24 the sort of high-end exposure -- set of exposure

1 scenarios -- they identified cancer risks from inorganic
2 arsenic and non-cancer risks also from inorganic arsenic,
3 lithium and molybdenum, again, only at the
4 90th percentile. The 50th percentile, the more, you
5 know, I guess typical sort of scenario, had no risk.

6 Q. Can you just explain, what does it mean --
7 what does the fact that risk was only found at the
8 90th percentile mean?

9 A. That would indicate that the conditions were
10 such that there had to be a lot of factors that fell into
11 place that would, you know, result in higher exposures
12 coming together, and so there had to be a lot of
13 combination of high-end exposure situations to lead to
14 these high-end risks.

15 Q. Okay.

16 A. It's difficult -- It's a difficult process
17 to explain, but they had this whole distribution of
18 possible exposure scenarios, and so when they selected
19 from this distribution, it would have been selecting from
20 a profile of things that created a new distribution that
21 incorporated more of the high-end scenarios that led to
22 these sort of higher-end risks.

23 Q. Okay. And so, like, what is the difference
24 between the 50th percentile and the 90th percentile?

1 A. I mean, in more -- it's easier to explain it
2 more in layman's terms, but --

3 Q. Yeah, in layman's terms.

4 A. Yeah, it would -- the 50th would be more of
5 a typical average type of situation, whereas
6 90th percentile would be a more -- I don't want to say
7 extreme, but high-end, higher-exposure factors.

8 Q. Based on your understanding of the risk
9 USEPA identified for CCR surface impoundments, are bermed
10 areas of the CCR surface impoundment relevant to the
11 analysis of risk?

12 A. They were not -- EPA did not evaluate that
13 scenario in the 2014 risk assessment.

14 Q. Are the CCR surface impoundments you
15 analyzed as part of your report different than those
16 found to have a modeled risk as part of the 2014 risk
17 assessment?

18 A. I'd say in a number of different ways, yes,
19 and this both relates to, like, the specific data that
20 was put into the assessment as well as just the
21 conceptual site -- the conceptual model that EPA was
22 going after or trying to characterize in the risk
23 assessment. So EPA was -- you know, the model -- the
24 conceptual model they developed to evaluate the surface

1 impoundments, it relied on sluiced ash being continually
2 sent to a facility and that that ash was allowed to
3 accumulate over a long period of time until the capacity
4 was actually reached, and then it could be periodically
5 dredged as well, but it was -- the assumption was that it
6 would keep being -- CCR would continue to accumulate over
7 the life of the impoundment. And a lot of these factors,
8 I should mention they're on slide 23, summarized there.
9 And the other part was just sort of, again, the larger or
10 what we call sort of significant amounts of coal ash that
11 were evaluated in the risk assessment. I have the
12 statistics here, but, you know, the range that they were
13 evaluated, the depth of the impoundments would range
14 from, you know, half a foot to up to 190 feet, with the
15 50th percentile was estimated about 3.6 feet and the 90th
16 at 36 feet of ash.

17 Q. Sorry. What was the 50th percentile
18 estimated at?

19 A. 13.6 feet.

20 Q. Okay. And why is the volume of that
21 important?

22 A. Larger volumes of ash and the way it's
23 situated would be expected to result in higher risks.

24 Q. So is the configuration of the volume

1 important?

2 A. Yes, the configuration, the depth and the
3 area, yes.

4 Q. So putting aside for a moment whether or not
5 these units are de minimis, based on your knowledge and
6 experience, are they different from other typical CCR
7 surface impoundments?

8 A. Yes. By nature of sort of the volume of the
9 ash and the depth of the ash that was present in these
10 impoundments, they would be on the very, very low end,
11 not typical of the normal type of surface impoundment
12 that, you know, is meant to really store and accumulate
13 CCR impoundments on site.

14 Q. So let's just walk through that a little
15 bit. You said they're different in the volume; is that
16 right?

17 A. Yes.

18 Q. Okay.

19 A. The depth.

20 Q. The depth? Okay.

21 A. Uh-huh.

22 Q. Are they different in the way that they
23 receive CCR?

24 A. Yes. Again, I -- they're certainly very

1 different from a primary unit that was directly receiving
2 sluiced ash from plant activities, and, you know, I do
3 understand that secondary and tertiary ponds can also be
4 used to manage the CCR, but, you know, I think the ponds
5 at this site were more for water management and there may
6 have been some incidental CCR in them, but they weren't
7 actually used to manage the CCR storage.

8 Q. How would you summarize the conclusions of
9 your analysis regarding the units SIPC refers to in its
10 petition as the de minimis units, Miss Lewis?

11 A. Sure. I do have them listed out on
12 slide 24. You know, I've concluded that they are
13 de minimis in nature, they didn't directly receive ash
14 from plant operations and therefore contained, you know,
15 especially in context really small, negligible amounts of
16 ash, and this was vital to their operations but was
17 confirmed or -- and further informed by the analysis --
18 the bathymetric analysis that was done and the PLM
19 analysis that confirmed that there was, you know, smaller
20 amounts of -- you know, very insignificant amounts of ash
21 present in the impoundment. This made them also sort of
22 differ significantly in concepts and in practice from the
23 surface impoundments that were evaluated by EPA and were
24 concluded to pose a risk. And then finally that, you

1 know, we did our own site-specific risk assessment which
2 is really more direct and a lot more proximate to the
3 actual risk posed by these units and confirmed that those
4 units as well as, you know, the site in general did not
5 pose a risk to human health or the environment, and, you
6 know, for those reasons, you know, those lines of
7 evidence built the conclusion that they qualified as
8 de minimis ponds.

9 MS. JOSHI: All right. Thank you,
10 Miss Lewis. No more questions on direct.

11 HEARING OFFICER WEBB: Would you like to
12 take five or --

13 MR. NEIBERGALL: I think we can do a very
14 brief cross.

15 HEARING OFFICER WEBB: Okay. Terrific.

16 MR. NEIBERGALL: Can you return to page 13
17 of the slides, please?

18 MS. LODE: Yes.

19 CROSS EXAMINATION

20 BY MR. NEIBERGALL:

21 Q. I wanted to ask you, Miss Lewis, about the
22 top portion, the first bullet. It says "USEPA definition
23 of surface impoundment"?

24 A. Yep.

1 Q. And underneath that it appears to have the
2 definition in the first bullet?

3 A. Yes.

4 Q. And then it appears to have two other
5 bullets that are not part of the definition; is that
6 correct?

7 A. That's right.

8 Q. So the primary settling ponds that receive
9 sluiced CCR is not part of the definition?

10 A. Those -- That was a part -- Those were not
11 the definition, but they were examples that EPA gave to
12 clarify what they meant.

13 Q. In the preamble?

14 A. In the preamble, yes.

15 Q. And the second one there, secondary or
16 tertiary impoundments that receive wet CCR or liquid with
17 significant amounts of CCR from a preceding impoundment,
18 that's also not part of the definition, correct?

19 A. Not in the definition, but provided as
20 clarification in the preamble, yeah.

21 Q. Thank you. And then your -- I believe your
22 testimony was, to me, a unit that does not contain a
23 significant amount of CCR is what you determined to be a
24 de minimis unit? Do you see the word "significant" in

1 the actual definition up there?

2 A. No, it's not in the definition.

3 Q. Okay. So before "accumulation," you don't
4 see the word "significant"?

5 A. No.

6 Q. I'm going to hand you SIPC's Exhibit 34,
7 page 9, which we talked about earlier with another
8 witness. It's the very top paragraph. Just let me know
9 when you're ready.

10 MS. JOSHI: Again, I believe this is just a
11 portion of the exhibit that you handed the witness; is
12 that right?

13 MR. NEIBERGALL: That's correct. Do you
14 want to --

15 A. Yes, that's kind of midway through --

16 Q. (By Mr. Neibergall) Sure. I can pull the
17 whole thing.

18 A. Midway through an answer.

19 Q. This is the whole thing.

20 A. Okay.

21 Q. Take your time to read that number 4, I
22 believe, that starts on page 8, goes into page 9.

23 A. Yep. I'm still not -- Is that the right --
24 This is page 19 and this is page 9. Is that --

1 Q. Say that one more time. I'm sorry.

2 A. Sorry. Was this supposed to precede this
3 page? This is page 19 and this is page 9.

4 Q. Let me see what I gave you. Sorry.

5 A. That's all right. Did you want to -- me to
6 go --

7 Q. Well, you --

8 A. This right here?

9 Q. Yes, that's it.

10 A. Okay. What's the question?

11 Q. So this is from April 25th, 2024; is that
12 correct?

13 A. Uh-huh.

14 Q. From the USEPA?

15 A. Yes.

16 Q. And it's a frequently asked questions, like,
17 answer document?

18 A. Uh-huh.

19 Q. The last sentence says, "Ultimately, the
20 critical determinant of whether a unit is subject to the
21 rule is whether it meets the criteria in the regulatory
22 definition, rather than whether it was included as an
23 example in the final rule preamble"; is that correct?

24 A. That's correct.

1 Q. Just one moment. We're going to go to your
2 Exhibit 37, table 2.3b, which I believe was probably one
3 of the slides.

4 A. You want me to go to my --

5 Q. I'm going to find it, but I think it's -- I
6 think it was one of your slide tables.

7 A. Okay.

8 Q. Just not sure which one. So I think it's on
9 page 9 of your Exhibit 37, 2.3b as in boy. Does that
10 sound right?

11 A. Sorry. On my slides, slide --

12 Q. Oh, let's see. Got it.

13 MS. LODGE: Counsel, I don't believe that's
14 on the slides.

15 Q. Okay. Well, we'll go to the actual
16 document.

17 A. Okay. So which report? Sorry. I'm
18 going --

19 Q. So we're on Exhibit 37.

20 A. Exhibit 37, yeah.

21 Q. And we're on page 9 of your report.

22 A. Yes. The groundwater data summary table for
23 the C-wells and the -- okay. Got it, yes.

24 Q. Molybdeum, lithium and radium are not in

1 that table; is that correct?

2 A. Which -- you're -- 2.3a?

3 Q. B as in boy.

4 A. Oh, boy. That's true, yes.

5 Q. Okay. Were those assessed in your risk
6 assessment for the S-wells?

7 A. We assessed all the data that we had, so I
8 don't believe they were.

9 MR. NEIBERGALL: No further questions.

10 MS. JOSHI: No redirect.

11 HEARING OFFICER WEBB: Does the Board have
12 any questions?

13 MS. BROWN: No.

14 THE WITNESS: Okay. Thank you very much.

15 MR. NEIBERGALL: Thank you.

16 THE WITNESS: Thank you.

17 HEARING OFFICER WEBB: Should we take five
18 before the next witness or --

19 MR. NEIBERGALL: Sounds good.

20 (Brief recess taken.)

21 HEARING OFFICER WEBB: Okay. We're going
22 back on the record. Before we start with our next
23 witness, I just want to mention that the Board did not
24 have any questions for witness Kenneth Liss. I don't

1 think I mentioned that on record. So with that, we are
2 ready to call our next witness.

3 MS. JOSHI: Thank you. Petitioner calls
4 Andrew Bittner.

5 HEARING OFFICER WEBB: And would the court
6 reporter please swear in the witness?

7 (Witness sworn.)

8 ANDREW BITTNER, produced, sworn and examined on
9 behalf of the Petitioner, testified as follows:

10 DIRECT EXAMINATION

11 BY MS. JOSHI:

12 Q. Could you please state and spell your name?

13 A. Sure. It's Andrew Bittner. That's
14 B-I-T-T-N-E-R.

15 Q. Mr. Bittner, can you describe your
16 educational background?

17 A. Sure. I have a master's degree in
18 environmental engineering from the Massachusetts
19 Institute of Technology, I have undergraduate degrees
20 both in physics and in environmental engineering from the
21 University of Michigan, and I'm a licensed professional
22 engineer.

23 Q. Where are you currently employed?

24 A. I'm a principal at Gradient.

1 Q. Can you generally describe your duties as a
2 principal at Gradient?

3 A. Sure. So I'm a principal on what's -- what
4 we call the environmental sciences team, and so what I do
5 primarily is I manage environmental projects located
6 around the world. In particular, I focus on the fate and
7 transport of constituents in the environment, primarily
8 in groundwater and in surface water. I design and review
9 and optimize environmental remediation systems. I've
10 provided regulatory comments on a number of occasions and
11 I've served as an expert witness for environmental
12 litigation projects on a number of times as well.

13 Q. Thank you. Do your duties involve any work
14 related to CCR surface impoundments?

15 A. Sure, they do. Over the last probably 15 to
16 20 years, work with CCR has been a very significant
17 portion of my practice area. I've probably -- I haven't
18 counted, but I've probably been involved in work at
19 probably 75 CCR surface impoundments, and that includes,
20 you know, hydrogeological evaluations and investigations,
21 assessing fate and transport of CCR-related constituents
22 in groundwater and surface water, evaluating closure
23 options and evaluating corrective action options at CCR
24 surface impoundments, and I've also provided comments

1 both at the state and at the federal level related to
2 coal ash regulations.

3 Q. So do you have expertise in the area of the
4 migration of CCR and groundwater and surface water?

5 A. I do.

6 Q. Can you just please briefly describe that
7 experience?

8 A. Yeah. So I've worked on a number of
9 projects evaluating the migration of CCR constituents in
10 groundwater and subsequently in surface water after
11 groundwater discharges into surface water, so that is --
12 different constituents, they migrate at different rates.
13 The rate at which constituents go from a surface
14 impoundment down through the soils into groundwater, I
15 have produced white paper -- white papers on that topic,
16 looking at the migration through liner systems in CCRs,
17 so that's a very kind of integral area of my practice.

18 Q. And do you also have expertise in the area
19 of groundwater and surface water modeling?

20 A. Yes, I do, and that's similar to what I
21 described. For a lot of CCR sites, in addition to
22 analyzing the data and doing calculations to assess the
23 migration of CCR constituents, we also have to model in
24 various ways, either in spreadsheet models or in more

1 commercial software, the migration of CCR constituents in
2 the environment.

3 Q. Did you prepare any materials in support of
4 SIPC's petition in this case?

5 A. I did.

6 Q. All right. We're going to hand you a
7 document that's been identified as SIPC corrected
8 Exhibit 38.

9 A. Thank you.

10 Q. Are you familiar with that document?

11 A. Put on my glasses so I can read. I am
12 familiar.

13 Q. And what is this document?

14 A. This is a document that I prepared. It's
15 called the closure impact assessment for pond 4 at the
16 Marion Generating Station.

17 Q. Are you the primary author of this document?

18 A. I am the primary author, although I did work
19 with a team under my direction to prepare this report.

20 Q. And did you review the work of the team that
21 worked under your direction?

22 A. I did.

23 Q. This document is titled a "Closure
24 Alternative Assessment for pond 4"; is that right?

1 A. It's called a closure impact assessment.

2 Q. Or closure impact assessment. Pardon me.

3 Can you describe your experience conducting closure
4 impact assessments?

5 A. Sure. Let me first describe what a closure
6 impact assessment is, and then I'll describe my
7 experience with them. So a closure impact assessment is
8 essentially a holistic evaluation of, you know, different
9 alternatives. In this case it's either closure or
10 continued operation alternatives at pond 4, so
11 essentially it's looking at the net benefits and the net
12 adverse impacts that may result from -- you know, from
13 each of these alternatives. I have conducted similar
14 assessments at probably 20 to 25 other sites, both for
15 closure alternatives and for corrective action
16 alternatives analyses. It's a similar type of process.
17 I was one of the primary authors on an EPRI -- an
18 Electric Power Research Institute white paper that
19 actually created some of the guidance in how these
20 closure impact assessments should be conducted.

21 Q. Thank you. So I'd like to just turn to
22 attachment A of your Exhibit 38, your report.

23 A. Okay.

24 Q. Is attachment A a true and correct copy of

1 your CV?

2 A. It is.

3 Q. And does that CV further detail your
4 experience and professional background?

5 A. It does.

6 MS. JOSHI: Hearing Officer, at this time I
7 move to qualify Mr. Bittner as an expert in the areas of
8 contaminant fate and transport, migration of CCR in
9 groundwater and surface water, groundwater and surface
10 water modeling and the preparation and evaluation of
11 closure impact assessments.

12 MR. NEIBERGALL: No objection.

13 HEARING OFFICER WEBB: All right. You are
14 an expert witness.

15 Q. (By Ms. Joshi) Mr. Bittner, I understand
16 you have a Powerpoint to accompany your testimony today;
17 is that right?

18 A. It is.

19 Q. Okay. We're going to hand you a document
20 that's been marked as SIPC Exhibit 56 --

21 A. Thank you.

22 Q. -- which is the copy of the Powerpoint where
23 the title slide reads "Testimony of Andy Bittner." Do
24 you recognize this document?

1 A. Yes. These are the slides that I produced.

2 Q. And did you assist in drafting or otherwise
3 review and approve the contents of this Powerpoint?

4 A. Yes. I prepared these slides.

5 Q. Okay. And what does the Powerpoint contain?

6 A. This presents a summary of the process that
7 I used to perform my analysis as well as the conclusions
8 of my assessment.

9 Q. All right. So moving to slide 1 of your
10 Powerpoint -- or -- sorry -- slide 2 of your Powerpoint,
11 we just discussed that you -- your report is titled a
12 "Closure Impact Assessment of pond 4." Can you describe
13 where pond 4 is located at Marion Station?

14 A. Sure. So on the slide, pond 4 is
15 highlighted in blue. It's, you know, north of the actual
16 operating area of the plant, kind of in the north central
17 area of the site.

18 Q. And I think you described a little bit
19 earlier what a closure impact assessment is. So for the
20 closure impact assessment that you conducted for this
21 matter, I guess can you just provide a little bit more
22 detail regarding what you were evaluating for?

23 A. Sure. And as I said before, a closure
24 impact assessment is something of a holistic evaluation

1 of all of the benefits associated with a certain scenario
2 and the adverse effects of each scenario. So the
3 scenarios that I specifically looked at for pond 4 were
4 if the pond were to be closed by closure by removal -- I
5 may sometimes refer to that as CBR -- or if the pond were
6 to remain operational as it currently is, so those were
7 the two scenarios that I evaluated for this assessment.

8 Q. And you said the closure scenario that you
9 considered for the assessment was closure by removal; is
10 that right?

11 A. That's correct.

12 Q. And why did you focus on closure by removal
13 as the closure scenario?

14 A. Sure. I first -- Firstly, that was the
15 closure scenario that I was asked to evaluate, but based
16 on the characteristics of pond 4 -- you know, it's
17 relatively small, there's a relatively small amount of
18 sediment that's there -- closure by removal is probably
19 what I would consider to be the most appropriate closure
20 scenario for this pond.

21 Q. And can you just walk us through, when
22 you're talking about closure by removal, what are the
23 elements to closure by removal?

24 A. Sure. And I list these elements out on

1 slide 4. I realize it's hard to see the page numbers
2 there. But for closure by removal, there are a couple of
3 different elements that we would expect to be involved.
4 The first is the removal of the liquids. That water
5 needs to be managed and essentially, you know, removed,
6 and so it would be managed in -- you know, in accordance
7 with a National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System
8 permit -- that's a NPDES permit -- then once the water is
9 removed, any of the sediments that are there would have
10 to be excavated, and then those sediments would have to
11 be disposed either at an on-site area or an off-site
12 landfill, and then finally, there may be some
13 post-excavation activities, so this may include something
14 like a retrofit of pond 4 with an impermeable bottom
15 liner if the intent was to continue using the pond, or it
16 may include site restoration, which would include
17 possibly some -- bringing in some topsoil and
18 revegetating the area.

19 Q. So let's talk a little bit more about the
20 steps you took to complete the closure impact assessment
21 you conducted for pond 4. Moving on to your next slide,
22 5, what are the factors you took into consideration for
23 the closure impact assessment?

24 A. Sure. So in evaluating the net benefits and

1 adverse impacts associated with closure by removal of
2 pond 4 in comparison to continued operation of pond 4,
3 there are ten factors that I used to evaluate the two
4 scenarios. One was risks to human health and the
5 environment and would the closure of pond 4 result in any
6 reduction of risk relative to the current risk at the
7 site. I evaluated the risks of potential future CCR
8 releases that may occur. I evaluated the impacts to
9 groundwater quality, surface water quality and air
10 quality that may result from the closure of pond 4. I
11 analyzed the -- or I assessed the impacts to climate
12 change and sustainability that may be incurred as a
13 result of closure. I evaluated the risks to worker
14 safety and to the community, of those that are actually
15 implementing the closure, and any effects that may be
16 incurred on the nearby community associated with the
17 closure. I evaluated impacts to potential environmental
18 justice communities that may be incurred as -- associated
19 with the closure. And finally, I evaluated whether there
20 are any impacts to scenic, recreational or historical
21 value sites that, you know, may result from the closure.

22 Q. And what characteristics of pond 4 did you
23 consider to complete your closure impact assessment?

24 A. Sure. There are a couple of unique

1 characteristics associated with pond 4. First of all,
2 it's pretty small. It's about 3.7 acres, which based on
3 my experience is very small for, you know, a CCR unit.
4 It has a very limited amount of sediment in it. It has
5 about 3300 cubic yards of sediment. I should clarify
6 that that's sediment and not CCR. Only a fraction of
7 that is CCR. The -- Some of the other key factors are
8 either leachate tests that were done, shake tests. I
9 think Mr. Hagen talked about these earlier. So this is
10 where the sediment was actually analyzed to determine
11 what the leachate quality was in the bottom of pond 4.
12 All of the analytes that were tested as part of this work
13 were -- had concentrations that were below the Illinois
14 Class 1 groundwater protection standards.

15 Some of the other key factors, like, during
16 operation, pond 4 never received a direct discharge of
17 CCR. It -- I think Mr. Hagen referred to it as a
18 finishing pond. I would potentially call it a secondary
19 pond in that there were -- bottom ash was sent to pond 1
20 and pond 2. That ash settles out, and then the clarified
21 water decants into pond 4. That was up until ponds 1 and
22 ponds 2 were closed. Currently, pond 4 only receives
23 overflow from pond S-6, I believe, which is just used to
24 manage stormwater. So there are some unique -- you know,

1 unique circumstances associated with pond 4.

2 Q. Thank you. Were there any characteristics
3 of site hydrogeology that you considered as part of your
4 assessment?

5 A. Yes. So I reviewed the groundwater
6 elevation data at the site. That's important in order to
7 understand the groundwater flow direction. Groundwater
8 generally flows to the north-northeast at this site. I
9 think there's a slide. Oh, it's up.

10 Q. Is that your slide 6?

11 A. That is slide 6, that's correct. Even with
12 my glasses I can barely see the page numbers. So
13 understanding the groundwater flow direction is
14 important, and then I reviewed certain hydrogeological
15 parameters, such as the hydraulic conductivity and the
16 hydraulic gradient, which is important to understand the
17 rate at which that groundwater may discharge into Little
18 Saline Creek. So I think those -- oh, and then I guess
19 the final, you know, data set that I reviewed was just
20 the chemistry data from the wells that are surrounding,
21 you know, this northern half of the site.

22 Q. And can you explain why it's important to
23 understand the groundwater flow and direction?

24 A. Sure. The groundwater flow direction is

1 really an integral piece of what we call a conceptual
2 site model, and a conceptual site model is a description
3 of how -- you know, how a constituent may get into the
4 environment and then how it may migrate and whether there
5 are any receptors, either human receptors or ecological
6 receptors, that may be exposed to that constituent, so
7 you need to understand the groundwater flow direction in
8 order to determine if there's any potential exposure to a
9 receptor resulting from a certain source.

10 Q. Let's talk through -- so let's talk
11 specifically, rather, about the closure impact assessment
12 that you conducted for pond 4. Now, can you provide just
13 in summary what the conclusion of your closure impact
14 assessment for pond 4 was?

15 A. Sure. In summary, I concluded that there
16 are no -- that closure by removal of pond 4 will not
17 result in greater environmental benefits compared to the
18 current operation of pond 4.

19 Q. And does slide 7 of your presentation
20 include a summary of your conclusions?

21 A. It does.

22 Q. Let's talk through each of the impacts you
23 considered as part of the closure impact assessment for
24 pond 4 in a little bit more detail and move on to slide 8

1 of your presentation. What did your assessment conclude
2 about risk to human health and the environment with the
3 closure of pond 4?

4 A. My evaluation concluded that closure by
5 removal of pond 4 will not result in any reduction in
6 risk compared to the current scenario.

7 Q. And what is the basis of this conclusion?

8 A. So the basis of that confusion -- or
9 conclusion -- excuse me -- is largely based on the human
10 health and ecological risk assessment that my colleague,
11 Miss Ari Lewis, just discussed. In that report she
12 concluded that there are no current risks to human health
13 or the environment associated with any of those northern
14 ponds at the site, and so if there's no current risk, you
15 can't actually have a risk reduction, you know, by
16 closing the pond, so I don't envision that the risks
17 would be any different under a closed scenario.

18 Q. What did your assessment conclude about
19 environmental risk of future CCR releases from pond 4?

20 A. Sure. So I concluded that there is -- under
21 the current operation there's really a minimal risk of
22 future CCR releases at the site. Obviously, if pond 4
23 were closed by removal, all the sediment and any portion
24 of that which is CCR would be removed, so there's no risk

1 of future CCR releases under that scenario.

2 Q. What did your assessment conclude about
3 whether closure of pond 4 would have impact on
4 groundwater quality?

5 A. I concluded that closure by removal I would
6 not expect would have any -- would result in any
7 improvements or any effect on groundwater quality.

8 Q. And what's -- what leads you to conclude
9 that closure of pond 4 will not result in improvements to
10 groundwater quality?

11 A. Sure. The primary reason is based on the
12 shake test data, the leachate data that has been done on
13 the sediments in pond 4. That's -- That data has
14 demonstrated that all of the constituents that were
15 analyzed were below the Illinois Class 1 groundwater
16 protection standards. It's implausible to me to
17 understand how if the sediment as the source area were
18 below the groundwater protection standards that that
19 could cause any sort of exceedance of a groundwater
20 protection standard to the underlying groundwater, so I
21 think that's the primary basis for my conclusion,
22 combined with just the operational history of pond 4.

23 Q. Are there groundwater impacts at the Marion
24 Station generally?

1 A. There are. There are several wells where
2 groundwater protection standard exceedances have been
3 noted. I actually have a table identifying those in my
4 report. So, yeah, those are -- there have been
5 groundwater protection standard exceedances.

6 Q. Okay. Looking at the next page of your
7 Powerpoint, slide 9, what does that page titled
8 "Groundwater Monitoring Well Locations" depict?

9 A. So this depicts the location of groundwater
10 monitoring wells at the site, and these are the locations
11 where samples of groundwater monitoring -- or samples of
12 groundwater have been collected and analyzed over time at
13 the site.

14 Q. Were any of the areas in this map located --
15 or any of the wells in this map located potentially
16 downgradient of pond 4?

17 A. The closest well to pond 4 is well S-6, and
18 that's potentially -- I mean, it's more side-gradient of
19 pond 4 than downgradient. There were several groundwater
20 protection standard exceedances that were noted at
21 well S-6. I believe lead, cadmium and cobalt were noted
22 in excess of the groundwater protection standard there.
23 Lead is generally not a constituent in my history of
24 working at CCR sites that is associated with CCR, and

1 cadmium was a constituent that was detected really
2 throughout the site, even at wells that were upgradient
3 of the pond, so I don't think either of those could
4 possibly be related to pond 4.

5 The other thing which I think is worth pointing
6 out is that in the leachate tests that were done, in the
7 shake tests, constituents that are typically associated
8 with coal ash -- so Mr. Hagen discussed boron earlier.
9 Boron is a very common sentinel constituent for coal ash,
10 probably the most common, but other constituents that are
11 commonly associated with coal ash include sulfate and
12 arsenic, and so arsenic, sulfate and boron were all below
13 the Class 1 groundwater protection standard exceedances
14 in the leachate samples, so that tells me that pond 4
15 really cannot be a source of the impacts that have been
16 observed at well S-6, so I think it's much more likely
17 that what has been detected at S-6 is probably a result
18 of the coal pile which is immediately upgradient of S-6
19 and not related to pond 4.

20 Q. Thank you. And do you believe that pond 4
21 may be contributing to the exceedances of groundwater
22 protection standards found at any other wells?

23 A. I don't.

24 Q. And again, can you just summarize the basis

1 for why you believe -- why you don't?

2 A. Sure, and again, it's the same rationale for
3 what I outlined, that, you know, the leachate quality
4 from pond 4 is already compliant with Class 1 groundwater
5 protection standards, so it's really implausible that if
6 the sediment itself is not above a groundwater protection
7 standard how the underlying groundwater could be above.

8 Q. Thank you. So going back to page 8 of your
9 Powerpoint and the results of your closure impact
10 assessment, what did your closure impact assessment
11 conclude regarding impact on surface water quality?

12 A. Sure. So my assessment concluded that
13 closure by removal of pond 4 is unlikely to have any
14 effect on surface water quality, particularly in Little
15 Saline Creek.

16 Q. And what is the basis of that conclusion?

17 A. Sure. So I modeled the discharge of
18 groundwater throughout that whole northern portion of the
19 site into Little Saline Creek, and this was a very
20 conservative analysis, so I used the maximum
21 concentration that has been detected in groundwater, even
22 though I am not implying at all that that's related to
23 pond 4, but I used the maximum detected concentrations
24 that have been detected in groundwater and modeled that

1 groundwater discharge into surface water and calculated
2 the surface water quality that would be resulting from
3 that groundwater discharge, so this -- it's a very
4 conservative approach. It's actually the same modeling
5 approach that USEPA used in the 2014 risk assessment that
6 was used to support the 2015 federal rule, but the
7 conclusions were that the surface water quality as a
8 result of the groundwater discharge would be below all
9 ecological and human health screening benchmarks.

10 Q. What did your assessment conclude about the
11 impact of closure of pond 4 on air quality?

12 A. Sure. So during closure by removal of
13 pond 4, I would expect that there would be some
14 short-term impacts to air quality that would result from
15 the closure. This could be from the construction
16 equipment located at pond 4 during the excavation work
17 and potentially along haul routes where sediment needs to
18 be -- you know, needs to be hauled to -- you know, to an
19 off-site landfill, so that would include both fugitive
20 dust coming off the trucks as well as diesel emissions.

21 Q. And what did your assessment conclude about
22 the impacts of closure of pond 4 on climate change and
23 sustainability?

24 A. Sure. So I analyzed the impacts to climate

1 change and sustainability using a couple of different
2 metrics. One was potential for greenhouse gas emissions
3 and one was the potential for energy consumption, and so
4 both of these, there is a -- the closure by removal is
5 likely to have a greater impact -- result in greater
6 greenhouse gas emissions and greater energy consumption
7 than continued operation of the pond, and the primary
8 reason for this is the hauling of sediments that would be
9 required to take it from the pond to -- you know, to an
10 off-site landfill. We estimated that that would be about
11 14,000 miles that would be required to -- you know, to
12 take the -- that material, you know, trucks going back
13 and forth from the site to the nearest landfill facility.

14 Q. And what did your assessment conclude about
15 the impact of closure of pond 4 to worker safety?

16 A. Sure. So during any construction event
17 there are always going to be risks to workers, those who
18 are implementing the work. The US Department of
19 Transportation publishes accident rates for large
20 construction equipment, for dump trucks, you know, and
21 they publish statistics on the rate at which injuries
22 occur to those drivers and the rate at which fatalities
23 occur, so the -- there would be increased risks
24 associated with closure by removal relative to continued

1 operation because of the -- you know, the 14,000 miles
2 that, you know, would have to be driven by those truck
3 drivers to transport the sediment.

4 Q. And what did your assessment conclude about
5 the impact of closure of pond 4 on the community
6 surrounding Marion Station?

7 A. Sure. The -- Again, during the construction
8 activity there would likely be some short-term impacts on
9 the community, and those impacts could include air
10 pollution, could include haul truck accidents, nuisance
11 impacts from traffic and noise, and these would be, you
12 know, as a result of the construction, as a result of the
13 hauling that would be done, so there would be some
14 short-term impacts on the community during the
15 construction process.

16 Q. And -- sorry. And what was your conclusion
17 about the impacts to the community?

18 A. Oh, I'm sorry. I may have skipped that.
19 That there would -- That closure by removal would result
20 in more short-term impacts to the community than
21 continued operation of the pond would.

22 Q. Great. Thank you. And then moving on, what
23 did your assessment conclude about the impact of closure
24 of pond 4 on environmental justice issues?

1 A. Yeah, so I -- my assessment concluded that
2 there would be no impacts to environmental justice
3 communities under either scenario, either under the
4 closure by removal scenario or under the continued
5 operation scenario.

6 Q. And what's the basis of your conclusion for
7 that?

8 A. Sure. So the State of Illinois defines
9 environmental justice communities as those that have a
10 minority population either above twice the state average
11 or are less than twice the state poverty rate, and
12 studies have shown that these communities are more
13 susceptible to environmental pollution and at a greater
14 risk associated with environmental pollution, so I
15 evaluated the -- whether there are any -- and the State
16 defines where these environmental justice communities are
17 located, so I reviewed that information and found that
18 there were not any environmental justice communities that
19 were either close enough to the site or along the
20 expected haul routes that would be used such that they
21 would be impacted by -- you know, by construction
22 activity.

23 Q. And what did your assessment conclude about
24 the impact of closure upon scenic, recreational and

1 historic value?

2 A. Sure. So during closure by removal there
3 may be some negative impacts on the scenic and
4 recreational value for users of Lake of Egypt. You know,
5 they may be able to see and potentially hear the
6 construction activity as it's going on. My assessment
7 concluded that there would be no impacts to historical
8 sites. For that I reviewed the State's DNR archeological
9 databases, and there are no historical archeological
10 sites that are located within 1,000 meters of the
11 facility, so I determined there'd be no historical
12 impacts that would be expected under either -- or no
13 impacts to historical sites that would be expected under
14 either scenario.

15 Q. And again, the various impacts that you
16 looked at, did they include both human health and
17 environmental impact?

18 A. That's right, and again, the very first
19 impact is is there a reduction in risk to human health or
20 the environment.

21 Q. And based on your experience, what impact,
22 if any, would it have on the closure impact assessment
23 that you conducted if pond 4 was closed in place?

24 A. Sure. I didn't analyze specifically the

1 closure in place scenario for pond 4. I -- Based on my
2 experience for performing closure impact assessments and
3 evaluating closure alternatives, I don't expect that the
4 conclusions would be any different in that I would expect
5 that closure in place would not result in any
6 environmental benefits compared to continued operation,
7 and the reason for that is I wouldn't expect the first
8 couple of factors to change, the risk to human health and
9 the environment, the CCR releases, groundwater quality,
10 impacts to groundwater quality, surface water quality or
11 air quality. One might think that for a closure in place
12 scenario the air quality impacts would be less and the --
13 you know, the impacts to climate change and
14 sustainability would be less because no hauling would be
15 involved of sediments, you know, from the ponds to an
16 off-site location, but in fact there's an inverse that
17 happens in that to close in place, you need to bring in
18 18 to 24 inches of earthen material to construct a cap,
19 and so you need to identify a borrow site and then that
20 borrow site would involve trucking that material to the
21 facility, so there still could be fugitive dust that's
22 generated, diesel emissions that are generated and a
23 similar amount of worker hours that would be required to
24 do that, so I don't expect the closure in place would

1 change the results of this analysis at all.

2 Q. And during some of the elements that you
3 discussed that you looked at as part of your assessment,
4 you were talking about impacts of potentially hauling the
5 removed materials off for off-site disposal. You also
6 looked at closure by removal of on-site disposal as part
7 of your analysis; is that right?

8 A. Yes, that is an option, that there might
9 be -- instead of sediment being taken to an off-site
10 location, that it may be disposed at an on-site location.
11 There would still be, you know, dust and workers that
12 would be involved and climate change and sustainability
13 issues that would be incurred. It may be less than
14 what's required in order to haul the material off-site.
15 My experience -- and I don't know this -- I'm speculating
16 here -- my experience at many sites is that finding
17 on-site disposal locations at these types of facilities
18 is limited and not always available to -- you know, that
19 they can find an on-site disposal area.

20 Q. And so does the -- do the conclusions of
21 your report hold true -- or the ultimate -- let me
22 rephrase that. Does the ultimate conclusion of your
23 report hold true for both closure by removal with on-site
24 or off-site disposal?

1 A. It does.

2 MS. JOSHI: Okay. I have no further
3 questions.

4 MR. NEIBERGALL: Can we have two minutes to
5 confer?

6 HEARING OFFICER WEBB: Sure.

7 MR. NEIBERGALL: Thank you.

8 (Brief recess taken.)

9 HEARING OFFICER WEBB: We'll go back on the
10 record. EPA has no cross. Does the Board have any
11 questions for this witness?

12 MS. BROWN: No.

13 HEARING OFFICER WEBB: Thank you. You're
14 done.

15 THE WITNESS: Thank you.

16 MR. NEIBERGALL: Thank you, sir.

17 THE WITNESS: Thank you.

18 HEARING OFFICER WEBB: Well, it's 3:45.
19 Would you like to -- well, what would you like -- do you
20 want to proceed -- do you have anything else to present?

21 MS. JOSHI: Give me one moment.

22 HEARING OFFICER WEBB: Okay.

23 (Off the record.)

24 MS. JOSHI: No, we have no more witnesses to

1 present.

2 HEARING OFFICER WEBB: Okay.

3 MS. JOSHI: I defer to the Hearing Officer
4 as to when and how you would like to handle the exhibits.

5 HEARING OFFICER WEBB: Well, let's do that
6 now since I have become aware that you have an index in
7 numerical order that we can look at, and I hope you have
8 one for EPA as well. Okay. Would you like a minute to
9 look at this, Gabe?

10 MR. NEIBERGALL: I don't think I need it.
11 It's all just the Powerpoints that have been added. From
12 48 to 56 are just the Powerpoints; is that correct?

13 MS. JOSHI: That's correct, and given that
14 Lisa Bradley has not been -- has not testified -- she has
15 in fact retired -- SIPC is not proposing to introduce
16 SIPC Exhibit 28 into the record, which was the report of
17 Dr. Lisa Bradley.

18 HEARING OFFICER WEBB: I see.

19 MR. NEIBERGALL: We have no objection. No
20 objection to that removal.

21 HEARING OFFICER WEBB: So are some of these
22 already in the record or no? Okay. Let's go off the
23 record for a minute and try to sort this out.

24 (Discussion held off the record.)

1 HEARING OFFICER WEBB: We're going to go
2 back on the record, and Petitioner will move to admit
3 some exhibits.

4 MS. JOSHI: Thank you. Petitioner moves to
5 admit SIPC Exhibits 48 through 56 offered during the
6 hearing.

7 HEARING OFFICER WEBB: And I understand
8 there's no objection --

9 MR. NEIBERGALL: No objection.

10 HEARING OFFICER WEBB: -- so Exhibits 48
11 through 56 are admitted, and I will just mention that the
12 other exhibits have already been previously filed. They
13 are attached to the second amended petition, did you say,
14 or what are they -- or various --

15 MS. JOSHI: Yes, they're all -- they've all
16 been --

17 HEARING OFFICER WEBB: Filed with the Board.

18 MS. JOSHI: -- filed with the Board, and
19 both the second amended petition and Petitioner's
20 response have full listings of the exhibits to those
21 documents.

22 HEARING OFFICER WEBB: Okay. Thank you. So
23 today we are admitting -- Exhibits 48 through 56 are
24 admitted into the record. Okay. So you have nothing

1 further to present.

2 MS. JOSHI: No.

3 HEARING OFFICER WEBB: Okay.

4 MR. NEIBERGALL: Can we go off the record
5 for a minute?

6 HEARING OFFICER WEBB: Yes, we'll go off the
7 record for a minute.

8 (Discussion held off the record.)

9 HEARING OFFICER WEBB: We're back on the
10 record. We only have one more witness for this
11 proceeding. This is the IEPA's witness. We have decided
12 that we are going to hold off and start tomorrow morning
13 at 9 a.m. Does that sound good to everybody? Anybody
14 else have anything to add?

15 MR. NEIBERGALL: That sounds correct. Thank
16 you.

17 HEARING OFFICER WEBB: Okay. So we are
18 recessed until 9 a.m. tomorrow. Thank you all.

19 (Hearing recessed at 3:52 p.m.)
20
21
22
23
24

1 STATE OF ILLINOIS)
) SS
2 COUNTY OF BOND)

3
4 I, KAREN WAUGH, a Certified Shorthand Reporter
5 in and for the State of Illinois, DO HEREBY CERTIFY that
6 I was present at the Market Street Hall, Marion,
7 Illinois, on June 11, 2025, and did record the aforesaid
8 proceedings; that same was taken down in shorthand by me
9 and afterwards transcribed, and that the above and
10 foregoing is a true and correct transcript of said
11 proceedings.

12 IN WITNESS WHEREOF I have hereunto set my hand
13 this 27th day of June, 2025.

14
15 Karen E. Waugh

16 /s/Karen E. Waugh, CSR, RPR, CRR, RMR

17 Illinois CSR #084--003688
18
19
20
21
22
23
24

[& - 2007]

Page 1

&	378:1 379:17	16 316:19	261:18 262:17
& 224:9 268:16	382:4	428:19	262:24 263:3,4
0	10.8 432:7	17 323:14,19	263:6 279:4,7
0.50 390:23	100 281:6	18 319:2	282:22 292:2,5
003688 478:17	322:3 352:14	472:18	292:6 370:3
084 478:17	383:5	180 395:12,19	371:4 380:9
084-003688	102 226:17	399:24	408:4 435:7,10
221:22	1021 222:18,21	19 243:3 340:6	455:10 459:20
1	103,452 341:23	445:24 446:3	459:22
1 230:5 231:7	11 221:9,11	190 440:14	2.3a 448:2
233:1,2 238:6	225:2 302:1	1963 340:7	2.3b 447:2,9
238:12,12	304:15 420:16	1970s 375:10	20 252:10,22,24
240:8 241:7	420:17 478:7	375:13	254:2 265:12
261:18 262:17	11:56 359:8,11	1971 323:18	285:21,23
262:23 263:3,4	12 305:7,8,9	1980 323:18	286:2,8,9
263:6 264:2,6	399:3 422:24	1984 362:4	287:10,13,16
274:1 292:2,5	423:15	1986 269:12	287:17,20
292:5,6 319:2	120 333:10	1988 370:12	288:3 315:21
341:12,14	123 256:12,13	384:20	450:16 453:14
359:10 369:9	292:10,10,18	1990 370:13	200 236:6
406:21 455:9	13 293:22	372:5 376:13	2000 226:16
459:14,19,21	308:21 426:17	376:15	2003 228:2
463:15 465:13	443:16	1992 376:4	250:11,15,20
466:4	13.6 440:19	379:3	254:6 337:4,9
1,000 471:10	14 308:18,21	1993 236:20	337:12,13,15
1.67 282:23	309:14,15	1998 236:20	337:16 338:11
354:15	331:5 342:4	330:18 331:5	340:3 353:18
10 224:4 254:4	427:19,20	331:12,13	355:2,22
255:6,24 256:1	14,000 468:11	1999 361:23	2006 251:1,8
256:11 265:18	469:1	1:02 359:11	338:1,14
299:23 315:19	15 255:24	2	353:20 355:2
350:6 354:16	311:10 314:8	2 226:18 231:7	2007 228:12
	345:15 350:6	233:8 235:18	241:16 242:7
	428:9 450:15		251:5 257:20

[2007 - 34]

Page 2

404:21	2023 314:19	267 223:9	308:13 309:17
2009 243:2	316:14,16	268 223:10	309:19 310:6
2010 233:14	2024 346:4	271 224:9	338:1,14,21
236:10 243:2	446:11	272 224:11	339:4 341:16
251:14 264:14	2025 221:9,11	273 224:12	343:8,12,24
265:1 338:18	225:2 271:14	27th 478:13	371:7 409:10
354:1,3,5,11	274:13 358:5	28 475:16	410:3,3 417:6
2010s 263:17	478:7,13	29 267:11	417:6 423:3,3
2011 253:3	21 373:18	270:5 277:19	427:16 429:24
338:21	376:1 434:19	293:23 299:20	432:21,22
2014 254:16	435:12,14	332:5	433:18
338:23 425:12	21-6 221:5	2nd 224:10	3.0 391:5
434:17,20	225:4	3	3.6 440:15
437:2 439:13	22 437:19	3 230:20	3.7 459:2
439:16 467:5	221 221:10	231:14 232:22	3/3a 264:13
2015 242:19	225 223:4	233:5 236:19	281:3 291:7
330:18 331:5	227 223:7	238:3,4,9,16,20	303:11 306:2
331:12,14	228 224:6	239:7,15,23	321:22 344:3
404:24 425:11	23 440:8	240:12 241:12	427:16 429:15
425:13 426:5	230 224:11	246:4,5 248:14	429:17 430:8
467:6	233 222:9,12	250:20,21	30 224:5
2017 238:22	24 442:12	251:1,6 253:3	252:10,22,24
241:11 255:13	472:18	253:6,11,11,12	254:2 265:12
267:7 339:4	244 224:10	255:2,13 256:9	272:7,17 315:4
2018 243:3	249 223:8	262:16 263:7	350:9 363:5,15
2020 261:10,11	25 256:2	263:11,19	363:16,19,24
370:16 378:14	453:14	265:22 266:3	300 366:19
379:3 385:1	250 315:14	267:3 279:20	310 221:12
2021 244:18	257 314:9	279:21,22	32 224:6
258:19 261:9	388:11 396:3	282:7,10 292:2	228:23
274:13 336:19	396:14,18,24	292:5,6 300:21	329 223:11
337:10,14	25th 446:11	301:20 302:2,4	3300 459:5
339:8 340:18	264 223:8	304:12,12,12	34 224:7 346:1
354:12,13			346:7 445:6

[34426 - 50]

Page 3

34426 478:15	343:12 410:3	323:1 331:4	311:1 322:8
346 224:7	417:6 423:3	334:17 335:1	328:7
35 221:5	429:24 430:6	338:18 341:21	401 223:15
364:23 379:7,8	4	343:17,24	403 224:7,8
379:21	4 230:20 231:1	344:3 352:15	406 224:13
350 223:11	231:6,12,19	353:24 354:4,5	41 229:14
358 223:12,12	232:2,5,19	354:14 355:15	42 225:15
359 223:14	233:2,9,10,12	409:21 410:2	44 224:10
36 224:7	233:13 235:5,9	417:6 423:3	244:3
403:12,13,17	235:14,23	427:16 431:24	443 223:16
404:4 405:10	236:7,11,14,20	432:1,2 445:21	449 223:17
405:17,18,24	237:3,10,13	452:15,24	452 224:9
424:18 440:16	250:21 251:17	453:10 455:12	454 224:14
363 224:4,6	256:13,14	455:13,14	47 224:10
364 224:10	258:24 259:4	456:3,16 457:1	364:4,10 394:8
368 224:12	259:11 261:10	457:14,21	394:11
369 224:13	261:15,17	458:2,2,5,10,22	476 224:11,11
37 224:8 403:3	264:13 265:1,8	459:1,11,16,21	224:12,12,13
403:20 404:4	279:20 280:16	459:22 460:1	224:13,14
407:14,16	281:3,8,20,24	461:12,14,16	478 221:10
447:2,9,19,20	283:22 287:13	461:18,24	48 475:12
378 224:5	287:19 291:7	462:3,5,19,22	476:5,10,23
38 224:8 452:8	291:10,14,17	463:3,9,13,22	4th 358:5
453:22	291:18 292:16	464:16,17,19	5
3:45 474:18	292:24 293:3	465:4,14,19,20	5 236:13,18
3:52 477:19	293:24 294:1,4	466:4,13,23	283:21 291:14
3a 250:21	294:7,13,14	467:11,13,16	354:17,18
253:11 254:16	300:22 302:3	467:22 468:15	380:17,18
279:20 287:13	303:3,6,10,10	469:5,24	410:14,17
287:19 300:21	305:14,15,19	471:23 472:1	457:22
302:3 303:3,6	306:3 310:13	4.2 431:15	50 224:11
303:11 306:2,8	310:18 321:21	4.7 430:1,17,20	256:10 272:7
306:9 338:23	322:7,8,11	40 224:9	272:17 315:4
338:24 341:19		256:10 271:9	

[above - address]

Page 5

above 306:5,10 306:21 307:4,5 319:13 377:1 390:20 413:20 466:6,7 470:10 478:9 abreast 409:1 absence 278:10 absolutely 266:12 278:14 316:2 318:5 354:23 357:11 358:16 ac 379:21 acceptable 379:2 accepted 276:16 278:9 399:5 accessed 281:21 accessible 352:18 accident 468:19 accidents 469:10 accompany 229:23 230:9 273:18 274:5 369:3,13 406:13 407:1 454:16	accordance 379:18,23 387:21 419:18 457:6 account 327:6 accounts 227:3 accumulate 276:2 424:11 430:17 440:3,6 441:12 accumulated 301:16 337:15 377:1 428:3 434:5,16 accumulating 337:9 accumulation 274:22 275:8 275:11,19,23 276:1,4,6 282:20 284:10 313:11,12 314:16,18 315:12 316:11 332:23 333:2 334:10 338:7 345:22 353:13 353:13 354:6,8 354:15,20,21 355:4,12,16 356:12 445:3 accurate 250:22 254:19 254:20	acre 236:6 366:19 acres 315:12,13 315:14 316:1 459:2 act 373:19 acting 384:22 action 225:20 450:23 453:15 actions 225:23 366:11 405:3 activities 264:19 277:7,8 337:6 392:14 392:17,21 418:19 429:3 442:2 457:13 activity 392:13 393:9,11 469:8 470:22 471:6 actual 320:8 321:8,14,18 344:13 443:3 445:1 447:15 455:15 actually 226:13 226:19 233:4 239:16 256:7 261:6 265:13 266:2 275:2 280:2 286:6 289:7,15 291:19 294:8 295:11 296:21	305:11 306:20 312:13 316:18 317:15 318:1 319:13 320:1 320:22 325:7 330:9 338:5 352:20 361:18 380:3 387:13 389:23 398:12 400:19 402:18 407:13,16 410:8 416:18 419:7 433:1 436:1 440:4 442:7 453:19 458:14 459:10 462:15 464:3 467:4 add 307:11 435:16 477:14 added 358:16 475:11 addition 226:21 295:24 451:21 additional 226:9 260:9 273:7 308:24 380:24 381:7 389:5 396:19 396:20 address 235:17 240:12 291:13 328:5 373:7
---	--	--	---

[address - amounts]

Page 6

410:11 addressed 245:22 384:10 adequate 282:4 335:23 336:12 adjacent 253:19 261:18 386:7 417:5 adjusted 221:5 221:5 262:18 263:6 310:15 387:18 403:7 admin 221:5 administrative 364:24 379:8 388:8 389:5,7 admit 273:10 368:18 406:6 476:2,5 admitted 260:4 476:11,24 admitting 476:23 adverse 453:12 456:2 458:1 adversely 306:10 advise 332:14 advisory 402:14,21 aerial 317:22 317:22 318:24 319:9,23 330:18,21,22	330:23 331:8 331:10,10,13 331:14 371:19 390:10,10 aerials 236:16 236:19 323:18 323:18 350:15 350:17 affect 228:10 337:1 395:21 424:11 affected 336:21 338:2 425:8 435:24 436:8,9 aforesaid 478:7 afternoon 246:9 ag 226:8 agencies 408:20 409:7 agency 222:16 239:16 256:6 267:6 314:22 316:13 317:2 331:4 408:20 agency's 314:19 315:1 348:2 ago 292:7 372:9 430:16 agree 231:3,8 238:6,18 242:15,20 311:15 317:6	319:8 327:1 agreed 332:16 383:8 agreeing 387:20 ahead 233:23 322:10 326:3 air 295:11 458:9 467:11 467:14 469:9 472:11,12 aldrich 224:9 268:16 428:14 alexander 226:13 algae 240:2 aliquot 300:9 allegations 379:4 alleged 236:14 378:22 allegedly 250:12 alleging 378:20 allow 260:21 389:14 398:22 allowed 234:10 259:10 330:3 440:2 allowing 225:13 altered 430:14 alternative 221:6 387:19	452:24 alternatives 453:9,10,13,15 453:16 472:3 amended 228:14 363:24 476:13,19 amount 236:14 253:13 261:5 275:21 277:21 278:22 279:2 296:18,22 297:12,22 306:15 310:5 312:9,21,22 313:8 314:14 316:18 317:3,8 318:9,12 328:14 329:3 338:2 339:9 354:4,9 355:24 396:5 397:21 421:9 424:23 428:16 429:5,7 429:18,22 430:14 431:13 431:15 432:6 432:16,17 433:4,9 444:23 456:17 459:4 472:23 amounts 262:13 275:19 295:6 298:15
--	--	---	--

[amounts - applied]

Page 7

298:15 310:21 312:5 314:10 336:2 338:3 339:7 344:7 345:18 347:12 356:16 426:23 426:24 427:10 429:4 434:3,6 434:8,13 440:10 442:15 442:20,20 444:17 analyses 301:9 453:16 analysis 277:5 279:11 284:19 284:23 285:3,6 285:11 286:18 287:3,6,8 288:7,13 291:10 293:6 293:20 294:15 295:1 298:12 298:19 299:2 299:10,17,19 299:21 300:3,4 302:5,14 304:7 304:14,24 308:3,12 309:10 310:17 349:14 350:21 350:22 351:7 357:23 395:7 406:7 410:6	411:5 413:14 418:11,15 419:23 420:5 420:13 421:17 421:19 423:13 434:23 439:11 442:9,17,18,19 455:7 466:20 473:1,7 analyst 295:4 analytes 459:12 analyze 277:13 300:12 308:15 308:23 471:24 analyzed 285:13 291:6 300:18 301:4,5 302:22 303:12 307:1 309:4 340:17 357:16 439:15 458:11 459:10 463:15 464:12 467:24 analyzing 293:7 301:12 306:13 451:22 ancillary 424:23 andrew 223:17 224:8,14 449:4 449:8,13 andrews 224:4 360:9 361:3,4 361:5,6,22	362:1 365:3 366:8 andy 454:23 anglers 413:3 animals 436:8 anion 279:14 302:6,11,14,20 304:6 anions 302:9 302:12 303:2 303:12,19,21 304:11,19 307:9 309:6 annual 224:10 243:22 244:6 annually 244:10 answer 251:12 260:17,21 261:2 293:9 297:13 301:15 307:17 310:7 321:15,19 325:6 334:14 335:4 336:9 345:8 354:10 445:18 446:17 answered 329:4 answering 285:7 antimony 304:22	anybody 477:13 anymore 263:13 anyway 308:6 336:9 apologies 237:12 apologize 308:20 appear 383:20 390:2 appearance 236:15 319:20 appearances 222:1 appeared 319:18 appears 230:10 319:5 323:19 328:8 444:1,4 appendix 267:11 applicable 290:9 application 240:10 394:19 395:9,15 396:8 applications 366:9 402:6 418:17 applied 374:2 376:7 388:12
---	---	--	---

[applies - ash]

Page 8

applies 348:8	archeological	385:10,11,18	454:7 464:14
applying	471:8,9	385:18 386:3	arentfox 222:8
380:11 388:20	area 225:14,17	387:11,20	ari 223:15
approach	225:21 226:22	388:2,3,14	224:7,8,13
228:20 229:13	237:19,24	389:8 390:10	401:7,9,14
243:24 278:13	241:14,23	391:10,13	406:20 462:11
409:18 467:4,5	242:3,8,11,11	397:6,10,10,14	arrive 276:21
appropriate	243:16,22	397:16 413:15	arrow 231:14
278:11 318:14	244:13,16	416:21 441:3	232:21 233:11
319:22 321:13	247:4,5,8,20	450:17 451:3	arrow's 233:3
321:16 353:10	248:6,15,21,22	451:17,18	arsenic 304:23
366:12 367:18	252:8 257:4	455:16,17	417:8 438:2,2
367:24 378:24	319:18,20	457:11,18	465:12,12
380:21 395:12	323:15,17,19	463:17 473:19	ash 241:16,17
456:19	323:20,22	area's 386:24	242:15 247:5,8
appropriately	324:1,5,8,24	areas 236:19	248:22 250:21
337:21 353:9	326:17,23	244:20 245:16	257:19 259:1,5
approve 230:12	327:18 328:16	246:23 247:3	259:11 261:15
274:8 369:17	343:17,20,22	269:2 281:6,11	261:21 275:4
407:5 455:3	344:3 352:2	318:14,21	275:19 279:19
approved	353:2 369:22	319:4,10	281:3,8,20
388:10 400:1	370:7,8,11,20	327:14 351:19	282:1 284:8
approx 390:22	370:22 371:2	352:18 353:8	285:18,23
approximately	371:22,24,24	357:10 361:16	286:5,7,10,11
231:15 232:22	372:2,17,19,20	366:20 372:16	286:14 287:17
328:19	372:23 374:8	373:9 374:4,15	287:22 291:8
april 236:20	374:10,12	377:5 380:7	291:10,16
330:18 346:4	375:1,2,15,18	381:18 382:2	292:24 293:2,8
358:5 446:11	376:16 377:13	384:17 385:8	293:10,16,23
aquatic 414:8	377:16,20	385:10 386:15	294:4,5,6,8,9
414:10 436:18	378:2,6 379:18	386:17 387:4	294:11,13
436:19,20,21	379:23 380:6	393:7 397:13	295:4,4,8,9,13
aquifer 413:21	380:12 381:4	398:9 402:10	295:14,17,23
	383:3,14	406:6 439:10	295:23 300:22

302:3 306:20	asked 239:19	431:6,19,21	293:15 369:16
313:14,15	264:12 350:16	432:10,11,14	404:6 407:4
315:14,15	350:19 352:12	433:14 434:18	455:2
316:10 321:22	353:12 446:16	434:20 435:2,3	assistant
328:10 333:21	456:15	435:8,9 436:17	222:17,20
333:21 334:17	asking 252:15	436:17,24	associated
335:2 340:23	259:9 310:14	437:2,4,21	270:13 272:15
340:23 341:6	316:21 336:5	439:13,17,20	284:3 306:13
342:1,12,12	348:8 396:13	439:23 440:11	329:6 348:17
343:11 352:15	assemble	443:1 448:6	437:9 456:1
357:19 372:6	268:22	452:15,24	458:1,16,18
374:9 384:17	asserted 244:19	453:1,2,6,7	459:1 460:1
385:8,10	assess 300:14	455:8,12,19,20	462:13 464:24
386:11 410:4	451:22	455:24 456:7,9	465:7,11
417:12 423:4	assessed 448:5	457:20,23	468:24 470:14
426:23,24	448:7 458:11	458:23 460:4	assume 257:23
427:17 428:6	assessing	461:11,14,23	259:18 317:12
428:19,21	450:21	462:1,10,18	321:14,17,21
429:1,1,4,5,7	assessment	463:2 466:10	322:5 395:2
429:11,20,22	224:9 395:14	466:10,12	assumed 419:9
431:13,14	396:7 402:5,7	467:5,10,21	422:19
432:5,7 433:2	402:8,12 404:2	468:14 469:4	assuming
433:5,10,12,23	404:22 406:7	469:23 470:1	289:20 291:4
434:3 440:1,2	407:19 408:1,5	470:23 471:6	354:5 386:23
440:10,16,22	408:18,21,22	471:22 473:3	422:16
441:9,9 442:2	408:24 409:6,8	assessments	assumption
442:13,16,20	409:9,13,17	269:5 320:2	322:6 348:2
451:2 459:19	410:11 411:13	405:2,5 425:9	422:18 430:21
459:20 465:8,9	411:17,17	433:16,17	440:5
465:11	412:17 416:13	453:4,14,20	assumptions
aside 304:5	419:14 420:11	454:11 472:2	318:3 411:6,9
308:23 354:7	421:23 422:11	assist 230:11	418:18 419:2
441:4	422:19 425:13	261:17 270:17	419:10 422:11
	429:9,13 430:9	271:19 274:7	422:13 437:10

[assured - based]

Page 10

assured 411:10 astm 284:24 285:5 300:9 attached 267:11 270:5 271:8,9 311:2 364:5 377:24 476:13 attachment 405:12,19,20 405:23 453:22 453:24 attend 366:8 attention 390:13 attorneys 385:3 attributable 321:22 attributed 328:15 author 270:14 271:17 404:4 452:17,18 authors 453:17 availability 278:10 400:5,7 available 277:20 278:6,6 278:8 398:20 473:18 avenue 222:18 222:21 average 439:5 470:10	aware 235:3,12 236:13 244:19 247:2,16 251:2 251:6,10,11 258:23 262:11 312:24 313:5 315:3 331:16 345:24 346:5 374:7 384:16 384:19 392:3 397:23 425:23 475:6 b b 224:1 225:12 225:13 238:3,4 238:9,16,20 239:7,15,23 241:12 250:21 255:13 256:9 263:11,19 265:22 266:3 267:3 279:21 282:7,10 301:20 302:4 308:13 309:17 309:19 310:6 323:3 339:4 361:13 405:12 405:19,20,23 410:3 417:6 423:3 427:16 432:21,22 433:18 448:3	449:14 bachelor 228:1 bachelor's 268:11 360:6 401:17 back 225:4 234:6,21 239:10,22 250:9 253:23 267:21 303:24 305:12 308:21 329:16 331:24 339:2 343:8,16 349:19 352:15 359:10,12 378:11 384:24 385:7 388:9 396:9 397:20 399:24 401:5 448:22 466:8 468:12 474:9 476:2 477:9 background 227:24 228:15 229:8 268:10 273:8 278:2 360:5 368:14 394:14 397:16 401:16 416:23 422:22 449:16 454:4 backhoe 253:20	backwards 325:8 bad 237:11 341:12 baldwin 268:12 ban 391:24 bank 225:14 banking 225:15 barely 460:12 base 227:5 based 235:2,11 236:3,15,21 240:17 244:23 250:23 266:13 275:12 277:14 278:2,8,20 291:2 307:17 309:10 310:4 315:23 317:6 317:21 318:8 320:12 323:15 323:18 325:22 325:23 328:24 331:8 344:9 374:13 375:10 378:7 383:8,11 383:13 386:6 386:10 387:23 411:7,16 413:23 418:18 423:7 427:15 430:21,21 434:23 435:17 439:8 441:5
--	--	---	---

[based - bioaccumulative]

Page 11

456:15 459:2	340:18 352:14	342:19 343:6	318:21 326:17
462:9 463:11	352:16 354:12	349:20 350:21	344:18,22
471:21 472:1	356:6 430:23	351:8 352:24	345:1
basically	442:18	353:5,6,23	bermed 326:17
234:22 322:21	bathymetry	354:8,13	439:9
367:22 368:8	352:19	355:23 356:6	berms 231:22
373:6 408:5	bedrock 358:20	386:3 392:11	300:24 301:17
409:7 411:2	began 375:9	392:13,17	309:11 317:18
basin 261:22	beginning	393:11 395:12	317:19 318:20
324:16,18	244:16 311:3	398:22 399:15	344:19 372:16
327:4	behalf 222:10	432:18 444:21	377:2 383:22
basis 276:17	222:13,19,22	445:10,22	beryllium
289:4,9 342:23	227:16 258:18	447:2,13 448:8	417:8
356:14,22	268:4 359:21	459:23 464:21	best 229:9
373:15 383:6	401:9 449:9	465:20 466:1	275:14,15
413:11 421:7	believe 238:22	believes 246:12	278:5,7,7
426:13 428:20	239:24 240:13	benchmark	293:1,3 294:3
429:16 432:21	243:3 244:18	408:13,15	332:22 352:6
433:24 462:7,8	256:6 263:16	benchmarks	375:5 390:1
463:21 465:24	264:4 267:7	409:5 411:7	425:20 426:1
466:16 470:6	280:10 282:2	418:4,19,22,22	better 293:13
bathymetric	288:9 291:21	419:15 420:22	334:11,14
259:2,15,24	292:1,2 294:5	467:9	387:16 411:13
260:14,18	301:5 304:12	benefit 226:22	422:9
262:3,6 279:10	307:13 310:7	352:13	beyond 258:9
280:17,21	311:10 314:21	benefits 387:10	324:10
281:2,6,10,14	318:13 319:22	453:11 456:1	bible 408:23
281:18,24	321:16,20	457:24 461:17	bigger 347:19
282:3,6,11,13	322:17 325:16	472:6	bina 222:11
282:14 283:6	326:2 327:16	berm 241:19	228:22 229:13
283:12,17	329:1 332:21	301:1,3,12,23	bina's 230:2
284:5 332:5	334:2,21 335:8	302:3 304:7	244:1
334:7,11 335:8	335:21 336:15	308:23 309:3,9	bioaccumulat...
335:13 336:16	338:5,5 342:17	309:11 318:14	424:4,7,10

biology 268:11 401:18 biomagnify 424:13 bit 231:10 232:9 239:19 240:14 241:5 243:5 251:17 255:12 264:24 274:15 279:3 286:6,18 288:1 298:18 315:20 322:7 323:14 323:15 328:24 352:12 353:12 360:16 365:4 397:24 398:18 410:14,20 411:22 424:17 432:24 441:15 455:18,21 457:19 461:24 bittner 223:17 224:8,14 449:4 449:8,13,15 454:7,15,23 bivariate 308:3 308:12 black 234:4 369:23 372:1 377:16 380:12 bleed 324:15 blow 320:24	blown 436:6,6 blue 455:15 board 221:1 222:3 235:20 240:13 245:22 266:21 291:14 328:6 359:1 373:8 402:17 402:18 448:11 448:23 474:10 476:17,18 boards 402:14 402:15,20,21 boat 259:10 281:10,21 352:18 boating 413:2 423:24 bodies 437:12 body 415:4 419:8 boiler 291:24 292:1,2 294:2 295:15 333:21 boilers 292:5 bond 478:2 boron 304:23 306:20,21 310:11 357:22 357:23 417:9 417:12 465:8,9 465:12 borrow 472:19 472:20	bottom 241:3 245:12 248:17 248:20 267:2 286:5,10,14 295:4,13,14,15 295:15,17,23 298:2 318:2 319:16 333:21 340:23 342:12 346:21 390:17 392:2 457:14 459:11,19 boundaries 372:7,11 boy 447:9 448:3,4 bradley 475:14 475:17 break 267:16 267:17 329:13 350:3 359:9 400:22 401:1 brief 241:15 264:9 267:20 329:14 401:4 443:14 448:20 474:8 briefly 240:24 295:8 298:10 378:5 382:10 382:11 408:4 412:9 416:11 421:6 424:6 427:21 428:11	435:20 451:6 bring 472:17 bringing 457:17 broad 269:4 brought 234:21 235:18 239:10 389:23 brown 222:4 223:9 266:23 267:1,12 359:3 401:2 448:13 474:12 bruce 223:4 225:6,12 btu 266:1 bucket 405:4,6 budget 227:2 build 324:11,13 394:3 437:4 buildup 317:21 built 323:16,22 339:22 345:4 443:7 bullet 443:22 444:2 bullets 444:5 bunch 256:23 436:4 burden 226:22 262:11 336:1 348:5,8 bureau 243:13 243:14 364:19
--	---	--	--

[bureau - cation]

Page 13

366:2 370:15 374:23 375:24 384:22 385:5 388:9 burn 232:16 256:18 263:20 burnable 255:14,17 256:9 burned 234:23 242:5 251:22 252:1,4 256:15 286:11,14 289:16,24 290:1 340:24 341:4,5 burning 275:3 312:11 burnt 256:12 business 226:8 226:22,23 businesses 226:1	calculation 328:9,13 calculations 316:17,20 317:3,7,12 318:4,6,9,15 347:23 451:22 call 227:10 231:21 267:22 267:23 276:5 276:18 277:22 284:1 295:5 298:14 299:7 303:22 304:21 304:22 313:12 336:8 347:5 365:18 366:22 381:19 393:21 401:6 408:12 427:9,23 430:18 437:13 440:10 449:2 450:4 459:18 461:1 called 236:2 242:11 243:11 276:20 290:24 308:11 319:17 330:1 385:17 419:18 437:3 452:15 453:1 calling 348:7 388:4	calls 336:4 359:15 401:7 449:3 cancer 438:1,2 cap 387:1,2,7,7 388:5,5 472:18 capability 247:24 capacity 253:13 254:3 440:3 caps 386:23 capture 358:6 381:3,15 carbon 279:11 284:19,22 285:1,6,14,15 285:18,22 286:4,18 287:6 287:8,11,12,15 287:19 288:2 288:23 289:22 290:8 340:9 341:1 carbonate 296:11 358:6,7 358:14,22 carbonate's 357:12 carbondale 228:2 care 243:9 272:13 380:17	career 269:16 315:5 366:16 367:4 404:20 carol 221:12 carried 436:17 carry 416:13 case 277:18 288:19,19 314:24 317:14 322:24 348:9 363:1 383:23 388:12 393:4 402:23 405:5 409:21 410:1 416:17 418:17 418:20 419:4 421:20 434:3 437:13 452:4 453:9 cases 429:24 catch 326:18 catching 413:3 categories 251:18 295:8 category 295:23 296:3,7 296:16,19 297:4,11 298:6 333:20 342:11 342:14,17,18 343:5 356:14 357:2,9 cation 279:13 302:6,10,14,20
c			
c 225:12 227:22 447:23 cadmium 417:9 417:12 464:21 465:1 calculate 409:5 calculated 328:14 467:1			

[cation - change]

Page 14

304:6	276:10,10	384:1 396:3	ceased 364:18
cations 302:9	277:21 278:22	404:16,18,21	central 455:16
302:12 303:2,4	278:24 279:2	404:24 405:7	certain 235:17
303:12,18,20	280:22 284:1,8	406:8 409:16	270:11 298:17
304:11,18	284:17 287:23	419:7 422:17	332:12 338:2
307:9 309:6	288:4 296:2	424:22,23	349:19 351:6
caught 320:13	298:16,18,24	425:2,7,8,11,14	357:1 378:9
389:7	304:2 305:3	425:18,19,22	385:19,20,20
cause 226:1	306:13,16,20	426:2,5,12,16	398:9 399:11
424:14 463:19	309:19 310:5,9	427:8,10,10,13	456:1 460:14
caused 245:3	310:11,18,20	427:22,23	461:9
247:8 318:11	311:18,21,23	428:2,16,21	certainly 260:1
319:20	312:5,6,8,9,13	429:17,18	260:16 273:13
causes 387:8	312:19,21,22	430:2,3,17	286:8 293:21
causing 307:14	313:3,8,12,12	431:2,6,11,21	354:17 355:14
307:23 309:11	314:10,15,18	431:23 432:2	433:22 441:24
cavity 242:6	315:4,8,8	432:22 433:21	certainty
cbr 456:5	316:3,18 317:3	434:8,13,13,15	276:13,24
cc 389:24	317:8,13	437:1 439:9,10	277:10 278:22
ccb 240:8 264:4	318:19 321:23	439:14 440:6	296:18 297:11
264:5	322:3,5 326:21	441:6,13,23	certified
ccp 409:16	326:22,22	442:4,6,7	368:24 478:4
ccr 234:23	327:17 329:3,5	444:9,16,17,23	certify 478:5
235:3,9 236:10	329:7 332:21	450:14,16,19	chain 424:13
236:15 240:18	333:5,15,17	450:21,23	challenged
241:1,12	334:4 345:4,19	451:4,9,21,23	325:13
242:14 244:17	346:3 347:7	452:1 454:8	chance 347:10
244:21 247:18	348:3,6 354:6	458:7 459:3,6	change 232:13
266:10 272:5,8	354:7,22	459:7,17	321:10 385:11
272:10,18	355:12 356:10	462:19,22,24	393:16 430:11
273:11 274:16	357:18 364:13	463:1 464:24	431:2 458:12
274:16,22,24	365:3,9 367:7	464:24 472:9	467:22 468:1
275:4,10,14,17	371:22 372:3	ccrs 451:16	472:8,13 473:1
275:23 276:7,8	375:9 383:23		473:12

[changes - close]

Page 15

changes 363:20	checked 239:20	clarity 234:18	354:5 355:22
changing	chemical 300:6	239:3 247:7	430:7
388:23 395:24	300:16 402:16	248:12	cleanings
characteristic	424:8,10	class 240:8	264:12,13,18
437:17	chemicals	241:7 264:2,6	266:7,9 355:11
characteristics	408:8,9 411:2	459:14 463:15	430:14,15
231:18 313:7	chemistry	465:13 466:4	cleanout
327:14 376:22	302:13 460:20	clay 240:24	255:13 257:7
383:19 431:9	chicago 222:10	253:2 296:12	337:6 338:1
432:1 456:16	222:12	298:8 339:15	cleanouts
458:22 459:1	choose 277:12	339:21	250:10,11
460:2	chronologica...	clean 231:20	254:6 256:22
characterizati...	251:1 332:2	232:6,16	258:8 336:22
238:6 269:5	chu 270:20,24	238:17	337:1 339:6,10
270:10 273:12	271:4,22,23	cleaned 233:13	339:11 340:1
279:13 362:12	272:1	235:6 238:21	340:21
368:20 406:8	circled 280:7,8	239:2 250:22	cleanup 362:22
characterize	circuit 343:23	251:6 253:1,6	366:13
239:23 258:9	circumstances	253:14 254:16	clear 231:21
278:24 344:6	374:19 460:1	254:21 337:5	325:11 421:14
348:15 355:3	cited 378:7	337:22 338:15	clearly 430:12
395:4,13 396:6	claim 376:24	338:18,21,23	clients 268:24
409:19 434:21	clarification	339:4,15	269:21 272:8
434:22 439:22	356:23 384:9	340:17 353:14	366:10
characterized	444:20	353:18 354:12	climate 458:11
313:13	clarified 312:3	355:1,2 375:1	467:22,24
charged 302:10	459:20	432:13 434:5	472:13 473:12
302:11	clarify 247:15	cleanest 231:24	close 269:23
chart 288:9,16	263:8 264:24	cleaning	369:22 378:6
290:3 296:20	265:6,19 267:9	233:15,18	379:17,23
309:20 428:9	335:4 375:17	236:10 240:23	386:11 387:14
428:12	376:14 381:8	241:11 251:2	387:20,24
check 243:7	444:12 459:5	265:1,20 337:8	388:1,21
		339:21 354:1,3	470:19 472:17

[closed - come]

Page 16

closed 247:9 282:12 301:22 380:2 386:24 387:1 390:23 456:4 459:22 462:17,23 471:23 closely 417:5 closest 464:17 closing 366:24 387:11,11 388:14 462:16 closure 224:4,8 261:18 272:12 272:13,13 361:14 363:10 363:10,17 365:11,16 368:19 369:20 369:21,22 371:1 373:11 377:20 378:1,8 379:4,15,22 380:4,5,13,13 380:16,17,22 381:1,12,14 382:4,7,13,21 382:24 383:14 384:6 388:3 389:1 450:22 452:15,23 453:1,2,3,5,7,9 453:15,20 454:11 455:12	455:19,20,23 456:4,8,9,12,13 456:15,18,19 456:22,23 457:2,20,23 458:1,5,10,13 458:15,17,19 458:21,23 461:11,13,16 461:23 462:3,4 463:3,5,9 466:9,10,13 467:11,12,15 467:22 468:4 468:15,24 469:5,19,23 470:4,24 471:2 471:22 472:1,2 472:3,5,11,24 473:6,23 closures 366:10 clue 328:2 coal 231:2 232:18,21 233:21,24 234:3,5,12,15 234:19,21,24 236:24 237:3,5 237:9 238:10 239:10,24 240:1,3 241:20 241:20,21 242:4 252:19 255:3 256:17	263:11 266:1,2 266:10,14 274:22 275:3 283:9 285:16 287:24 288:20 289:1,15,16,24 290:2 295:5,9 296:3,4,4,4,5 312:11 322:5 328:9,15,21 329:2,6 341:3 341:5,6 429:11 440:10 451:2 465:8,9,11,18 coals 265:9 cobalt 417:9,12 464:21 code 221:5 364:24 379:8 coi 416:16 417:22 418:8 cois 416:6,9,18 417:3,7,10,17 cold 245:5 249:23 colleague 462:10 collect 299:7 345:9 352:1 393:4 394:23 395:4 397:21 397:24 398:2,4 collected 235:8 294:6,14	332:12,13 340:19 351:23 352:4 396:24 464:12 collecting 228:15 269:13 277:8 351:24 collection 332:5 397:3 398:23 collects 236:8 college 227:3 362:8 color 262:21 320:1,2,5 colored 237:14 column 295:5 columns 296:1 combination 368:12 438:13 combined 463:22 combust 239:12 combusted 234:22 combustion 274:22 294:2 295:9,10 come 239:17 243:7 277:9,17 300:12 338:8 357:9 358:15 426:2
--	---	--	--

[comes - conclude]

Page 17

comes 275:5,6 275:6 311:22 320:14 426:10 comfortable 225:10 coming 230:2 276:17 438:12 467:20 comma 292:2,2 commencing 221:11 comment 225:6 225:9,13 320:20 405:1 commented 311:7 comments 223:3 271:16 450:10,24 commercial 452:1 committee 402:19 committees 402:14 common 264:17 324:5 337:19 351:22 352:3 357:12 357:13 386:7 465:9,10 commonly 465:11	communication 402:17 communities 458:18 470:3,9 470:12,16,18 community 458:14,16 469:5,9,14,17 469:20 compaction 243:10 company 254:6 254:7 292:19 360:12,14 401:23 compare 284:4 294:6 316:13 337:11 349:20 355:11 356:9 388:1,3 408:11 411:7 415:19 433:20 434:8 compared 261:9 287:1 299:5 356:4 415:13 418:2,3 418:5,21 461:17 462:6 472:6 comparison 419:16 431:5 458:2 comparisons 397:19	competent 409:7 complete 334:14 378:23 395:16 410:15 410:21 412:2 412:23 413:4,8 413:10,10,12 413:24 414:1 414:13,20,23 420:1,5,13 422:6,7 423:17 457:20 458:23 completed 258:18 262:2 335:9 completely 252:12 256:24 257:3 334:20 389:15,16 compliance 376:13 compliant 382:15 466:4 complied 382:22 component 342:20 components 332:9 composed 322:4 comprehensive 435:4 436:24	comprised 236:4 computer 254:14 concentration 417:1 418:2 420:19 421:3,5 422:16,16 466:21 concentrations 279:14 302:6 302:15,21 307:3,13 379:1 408:12 411:8 411:15 415:10 415:12,24 418:21 419:19 420:20 421:9 422:8 459:13 466:23 concept 276:12 concepts 442:22 conceptual 410:21 412:2 439:21,21,24 461:1,2 concern 420:10 concerns 352:9 conclude 305:14 306:1 307:1 309:19 310:17 411:11 420:5,13 437:2
---	---	---	---

[conclude - considered]

Page 18

462:1,18 463:2 463:8 466:11 467:10,21 468:14 469:4 469:23 470:23 concluded 410:7 423:16 427:15 429:13 442:12,24 461:15 462:4 462:12,20 463:5 466:12 470:1 471:7 concluding 413:12 conclusion 289:5 307:21 308:4 310:20 336:5,6,8 348:8 356:22 410:6 422:24 429:16 430:12 431:3 443:7 461:13 462:7,9 463:21 466:16 469:16 470:6 473:22 conclusions 276:17,21 277:17 298:11 410:12 411:18 423:15 437:20 442:8 455:7 461:20 467:7	472:4 473:20 condition 320:16 conditions 245:4,6 320:8 351:23 397:2 397:22 410:24 437:17 438:9 conduct 240:24 260:9 262:7 279:6 293:20 348:13 395:13 396:6 409:6 conducted 233:15 260:18 264:14 270:9 270:24 279:10 279:11,12,13 281:2 282:11 282:14 284:6 284:18 287:9 288:13 293:6 298:22 300:8 301:19,20,24 304:7 309:17 311:6 335:13 350:22 352:16 353:1,7 354:13 392:15 405:4 408:18 409:17 409:21 410:1 423:1 425:13 453:13,20 455:20 457:21	461:12 471:23 conducting 279:18 281:5 281:14 352:13 395:22 453:3 conductivity 398:10 460:15 confer 474:5 confidence 299:9 configuration 385:20 440:24 441:2 configurations 284:2 configurement 410:24 confinements 243:11 confirm 234:19 241:1 250:7 310:16 331:6 350:14 366:24 430:20 confirmed 429:4 433:16 442:17,19 443:3 confusing 397:19 confusion 462:8 connected 345:7	connection 311:21 consequence 429:21 consequently 423:18 conservative 285:20 286:2,3 286:9 287:10 321:3 411:6,9 415:1,4 418:18 419:2 422:10 422:13 466:20 467:4 conservatively 353:7 consider 243:16 244:16 275:21 286:1 354:6 374:8 377:15 383:13 383:17 411:13 427:3,5 430:19 431:1 433:20 456:19 458:23 consideration 225:19 457:22 considered 312:23 383:3 413:4 420:1 432:15,18 433:24 456:9 460:3 461:23
---	---	--	--

[considering - control]

Page 19

considering 419:10 432:16	construction 261:22 269:6	contained 275:22 294:4	407:5 455:3
consisted 293:8	301:17 348:19	294:13 321:21	context 311:18
consistent 290:1 306:3	349:4 387:2	377:14 429:18	442:15
344:8 392:14	393:12 467:15	442:14	continually 236:6 440:1
393:6,12	468:16,20	containment 383:22	continue 365:6
418:23	469:7,12,15	contains 236:14 407:9	366:2 367:5
constantly 388:22	470:21 471:6	contaminant 419:7 454:8	435:15 440:6
constituent 300:16 302:10	consult 372:8	contaminants 417:11	457:15
302:12 306:18	consultant 268:19,21	contamination 307:15,24	continued 225:21 244:11
357:12,13,21	360:14 384:24	310:3,22	405:2 453:10
416:16,21	consulting 365:8 366:5,7	content 266:1	458:2 468:7,24
417:22 418:3	366:16 370:16	284:19,23	469:21 470:4
420:10 461:3,6	374:14 401:22	285:15,18,23	472:6
464:23 465:1,9	consumer 225:23	286:5,20,23	continuous 387:2
constituents 295:3 300:6	consumption 412:24 420:2,3	287:12,15,19	contours 397:12
303:23 304:18	468:3,6	288:2,7,13	contracted 332:8
304:21 305:1,2	contact 436:2,2	290:8 294:5,6	contractor 400:7
305:11,13	contacted 370:16	298:18,24	contracts 360:21
306:1,12,17,24	contain 230:15	309:19 310:5	contrast 427:2
308:24 309:3,4	238:17 246:23	310:17 341:1	contribute 226:21
411:1,2 413:19	262:13 273:7	contention 375:20	contributing 307:14,23
416:2,10,12	274:11 305:20	contents 230:12 274:8	309:12 310:2
420:9 421:10	312:9 316:5	283:3 285:12	310:22 465:21
450:7,21 451:9	369:19 407:8	286:19 298:24	control 221:1
451:12,13,23	426:11,23	299:11 301:16	247:21 291:10
452:1 463:14	437:20 444:22	369:17 378:20	
465:7,10	455:5		
construct 393:2			
472:18			

[control - creek]

Page 20

291:17 292:12	232:24 233:6,7	377:21,22	counsel 222:17
292:24 293:5	235:6,7 238:7	378:18 379:14	222:17,20,21
293:19 295:12	242:21 249:10	383:16 386:16	262:23 447:13
324:3,4 326:18	250:14 251:16	392:23 395:10	counted 272:7
355:7	251:20,22,23	396:17 398:22	450:18
conversations	253:5 255:16	403:11 405:24	counties 226:12
382:14	255:18 257:2	406:14,24	226:17
conveyed 384:2	257:21 259:3	444:6,18	county 226:14
384:4	259:17 262:13	445:13 446:12	226:15,15,16
cooled 295:19	266:4,8 273:4	446:23,24	478:2
cooperative	273:19 274:4	448:1 453:24	couple 236:18
221:4 225:16	280:13 284:20	456:11 460:11	245:8 263:10
225:17 226:6	289:2,13	475:12,13	263:22 299:20
228:4	291:11,12	477:15 478:10	308:1 309:7,22
coordinator	292:3,22 301:2	corrected 403:2	354:18,19
228:6,7	303:13,17	403:13,16,20	355:23 356:1
copy 230:3,8	304:16 315:5	404:4 405:10	457:2 458:24
272:21 273:3,4	321:5,20	405:24 407:16	468:1 472:8
273:21 274:4	323:12 332:6,7	424:17 452:7	course 315:17
294:20 333:12	332:10,19,23	corrections	326:17 355:23
368:2,11 369:7	334:5,6,18	246:15	433:10
369:12 371:5	335:3,4,24	corrective	court 225:11
378:1 405:24	336:13,14,19	272:15 450:23	227:13 267:24
406:24 453:24	337:5,7 338:16	453:15	359:17 449:5
454:22	338:19,22	correctly 316:5	cover 245:18
cornell 401:20	339:8 340:10	347:3 395:3	331:22 378:10
corner 231:13	343:2,12,18,22	399:14	380:5,11 402:6
232:20 237:8	344:11 345:19	correlation	covered 331:20
237:14,16	347:17 348:3	288:24 289:5,8	352:11
238:1 247:18	348:14 349:10	289:23 290:5	covering 380:6
correct 229:4	350:24 352:10	308:7	created 438:20
229:20,24	352:19 364:1	cost 226:23	453:19
230:1,8,23,24	364:10 369:4	363:18 387:24	creek 325:8,11
231:15 232:22	369:12 374:11	388:1,7	326:14,16

[creek - decision]

Page 21

397:11,18 413:6 414:15 414:24 415:3 415:13,20 418:1 420:3,21 421:1,12,13 424:1,2 460:18 466:15,19 criteria 303:5,6 303:9 304:10 304:10 305:17 306:6,11,22 307:4,6 309:8 310:1 346:24 427:4 446:21 critical 346:22 446:20 cross 223:8,11 223:16 246:9 247:15 249:1 266:5 329:16 329:19 352:23 378:9 400:19 400:21,23 443:14,19 474:10 crr 478:16 csr 221:22,22 478:16,17 cubic 328:19 341:17,19,21 341:23 342:1 459:5	current 238:16 242:3 268:17 269:10 273:5 292:10 360:10 360:11 364:14 381:6 401:24 458:6 461:18 462:6,12,14,21 currently 228:3 231:1 267:3 268:15 360:8 361:2 396:1 401:21 449:23 456:6 459:22 customer 226:24 227:5 customers 225:20 cut 238:2 346:11 390:9 cv 224:11,12 273:3,5,7 368:11 405:14 405:24 406:2 454:1,3 cwl&p 365:4 d d 223:1 268:8,8 373:18 376:1 dark 235:8 251:19,21 252:3 377:16	dash 390:18 data 270:21 277:8,16 307:21 348:17 368:1 399:9 419:5 439:19 447:22 448:7 451:22 460:6 460:19,20 463:12,12,13 database 395:22 databases 471:9 date 243:4 263:17 dated 271:14 dave 267:23 david 223:10 224:11,12 268:3,8 274:1 day 221:9 227:4,4 236:6 249:14,17 264:19,19,22 264:22,23,23 344:14 478:13 days 233:20 249:18 257:11 294:1 395:12 395:19 399:19 399:21,24 de 250:12 262:13 270:12	293:8 311:12 312:1,3,8,23 313:1,3,9,24 317:4 336:2 345:15 348:14 403:10 424:24 425:18,21,24 426:2,22 427:13,17,24 428:21 429:17 429:18 430:9 430:10,19 431:10,11 432:2,14,18,22 432:22 433:21 434:9 441:5 442:10,13 443:8 444:24 dead 236:5 240:2 debris 251:7 decade 430:16 decades 312:12 312:19 decant 231:3,7 255:2 decanted 246:4 246:5 248:6 253:20 decants 459:21 decayed 240:2 decided 477:11 decision 227:4 262:7 269:14
--	---	---	--

269:17,18 decisions 226:1 260:9 269:23 277:17 345:10 deck 437:20 declaration 224:4,6,6,10 228:17 229:2,3 229:10,18,19 230:20 235:22 363:9,12,16 364:7,10 394:6 decline 226:17 226:21 deep 245:9,11 248:15 396:12 default 419:10 defeats 387:6 defend 366:10 defer 475:3 defined 345:1 defines 470:8 470:16 definitely 433:24 definition 274:18 312:6 312:21,22 313:2 318:18 322:12 323:12 332:20 333:2,4 333:13,15,17 345:22 346:19 346:24 347:7	425:21,24 426:21 443:22 444:2,5,9,11,18 444:19 445:1,2 446:22 definitions 333:10 426:15 definitive 277:20,23 278:3,11 299:1 334:4 335:6,7 335:18,20 336:11 degree 268:11 268:12 276:13 276:24 278:21 296:17 297:11 360:6 401:17 401:19 449:17 degrees 449:19 deionized 300:10 delays 400:3 delivering 275:4 delta 319:15,17 319:19,20 deltas 236:15 236:23 237:4,8 317:21,24 318:2 319:5,8 319:11,12 demonstrated 463:14	denials 388:22 department 468:18 dependent 327:5 depending 316:8 depends 284:14 306:18 313:18 315:10,17 320:3 339:11 339:11 340:21 344:24,24 depict 294:23 309:15 371:21 371:23 464:8 depicted 279:22 280:1 290:3 341:8 374:12 377:11 380:7 393:3 depicting 390:9 depiction 280:3 depicts 294:24 464:9 deposited 301:13 319:13 356:19,20 depression 274:20 349:3 depth 245:12 248:17 413:23 440:13 441:2,9 441:19,20	derived 283:6 420:24 describe 233:17 234:1 268:9 272:4 275:15 356:9 360:5,17 361:1 361:7 362:6 364:12 365:22 367:12 368:10 374:11 378:5 382:11 388:14 401:15 402:2 404:16 406:2 408:4 412:9 425:4 426:22 427:21 428:11 435:20 449:15 450:1 451:6 453:3,5,6 455:12 described 258:10 296:5 297:6 314:22 356:3 392:13 392:18 451:21 455:18 description 238:18 357:2 461:2 design 279:10 343:9 348:19 348:23,24 349:8 450:8
--	---	--	---

[designed - direct]

Page 23

designed 231:20 232:6 274:21	417:17,20,21 419:15 422:6 424:23 427:22	deviation 290:13	358:21 366:19 366:22 386:21
desulfurization 333:18	432:2 434:23 459:10 461:8	deviations 290:2	386:22 388:20 388:20 389:12
detail 226:10 274:13 275:21 278:19 289:4 361:7 410:14 410:20 454:3 455:22 461:24	determined 264:4 380:23 412:22 413:9 413:15,24 414:20 417:18 422:8 444:23 471:11	devices 380:18 dewater 248:4 dewatered 233:13 238:20 238:23,24 239:8 241:24 248:6 257:1 339:14 374:6	389:15,17 393:3 394:1 402:6,15 416:18,18 418:16,16 431:16 432:24 433:13 435:21 439:15,18 441:6,15,22 442:1 451:12 451:12 453:8 457:3 462:17 468:1 472:4
detailed 388:17 details 273:7 348:24 390:11	determining 293:16 353:2,7 356:14 367:23 378:24 428:20 431:10 432:21	dewatering 233:18 240:23 241:11 257:9 257:22 258:6	difficult 226:9 438:16,16
detected 465:1 465:17 466:21 466:23,24	detrimental 225:22	diagram 371:6 371:8 390:16 390:20,21	dig 419:12
detention 375:3 determinant 346:22 446:20	develop 410:20 412:2 418:18 419:20	diesel 467:20 472:22	dike 323:21
determination 334:8	developed 361:18 408:13 408:19 412:10 418:22 419:17 439:24	differ 442:22	diked 324:1 349:2
determinations 289:12	development 362:10 365:17	difference 438:23	dikes 372:16 377:2
determinative 299:11	developing 362:10 365:17	different 272:7 276:20 277:7,7 277:16 282:17 291:1,2 294:10 295:2,3 310:14 317:16 319:10 320:2 328:1 333:20 347:16 347:17 348:21 349:6 356:24	diluted 421:11 dilution 414:17 415:7,15,15,16 415:19
determine 277:20 278:13 280:19 288:17 291:3 293:6 313:7,23 319:24 334:4 372:2,7,10,23 396:10 416:15	development 324:17		dip 394:5 dips 391:6
	developments 409:2		direct 223:7,10 223:14,15,17 227:17 255:4

[direct - drafting]

Page 24

255:12 259:23 263:19 268:5 283:22 359:22 390:13 401:11 412:13 433:2 436:2 443:2,10 449:10 459:16 direction 237:3 237:5 240:22 271:1,3 272:2 325:12,24 326:11 371:13 371:14 389:23 404:12,13 414:17 415:7 421:12 452:19 452:21 460:7 460:13,23,24 461:7 directionally 325:13 directly 413:16 415:7 424:9,22 429:1,20 431:12 432:4,5 436:19 442:1 442:13 disadvantaged 225:21 disagree 347:9 discharge 232:5 323:6 385:22 414:7 457:7 459:16	460:17 466:17 467:1,3,8 discharges 238:9 320:18 451:11 discuss 240:14 299:19 302:5 382:7 394:12 434:17 discussed 270:12 311:9 372:9 382:9 398:24 411:8 411:21 433:20 455:11 462:11 465:8 473:3 discussing 379:21 380:4 discussion 263:19 301:7 382:12 475:24 477:8 discussions 382:20 383:2 displayed 230:4 274:1 369:9 406:20 disposal 274:23 346:3 373:17 473:5,6,17,19 473:24 dispose 424:22 disposed 236:11 241:12	292:20 296:24 296:24 297:2 297:15 343:4 343:20,22 457:11 473:10 disputed 372:21 distance 413:23 437:11 distinct 240:6 264:1 366:20 distinction 284:11 426:9 distinctions 388:14 distinguish 266:10,16 distribution 438:17,19,20 ditch 324:19,20 ditches 386:7 diverts 387:5 division 222:17 222:21 243:2 dnr 471:8 docket 364:21 document 228:23 229:15 230:2,6 244:3 264:23 270:4,6 270:8,15 271:7 271:11,17 272:22,24 274:2 314:20	314:22 346:5,7 364:4,6 368:3 368:5,13 369:10 377:23 390:1,3,14 403:2,3,15,19 403:22,23,24 406:16,22 446:17 447:16 452:7,10,13,14 452:17,23 454:19,24 documents 270:21 363:3,6 384:12 385:1 409:11,12,15 476:21 doing 226:23 239:17 243:9 249:3,4 250:5 257:7 264:22 293:11 387:16 399:5 451:22 doug 372:14 378:4 downgradient 394:14 413:16 464:16,19 downhill 325:7 dozer 253:21 257:14 dr 475:17 drafting 230:11 270:22 274:7
---	---	---	--

[drafting - egypt]

Page 25

369:16 407:4 455:2 drafts 405:1 425:15 drain 246:17 248:13 249:22 397:14 drainage 391:8 397:13 drained 233:19 246:13 248:7 257:3 330:15 330:15 draining 250:3 drastically 261:12 draw 410:12 drawing 369:20 drawings 373:1 373:2 dredged 440:5 dredging 430:7 drill 351:17 drilling 400:13 drinking 412:23 413:8 414:1 420:2 423:17,19,22 435:23 436:1 436:11 drive 222:9,12 driven 469:2	drivers 468:22 469:3 dropped 261:22 dry 233:19 234:10 235:24 237:23 239:2,8 251:19,21 252:3 257:12 257:13 323:16 323:20,20 326:22 327:17 384:2,4 due 249:21 250:1 400:4 422:17,19 dump 468:20 dunaway 382:10 dust 436:6,7 467:20 472:21 473:11 duties 228:8 243:20 268:20 360:17,18 362:6,14 402:2 450:1,13 dying 236:5 240:1,16	449:14 478:16 earlier 297:6 299:14 328:24 329:5 330:9 350:16 357:20 367:15 377:17 377:19 416:11 428:15 430:13 445:7 455:19 459:9 465:8 early 257:12 271:14 374:1 374:21 380:16 404:20 408:22 earthen 472:18 easier 439:1 east 222:18,21 233:4,4,10 easy 366:23 ecological 404:1,22 407:19,24 408:10,18 412:17 414:3 414:12,21 415:3 417:15 417:17,22 418:7 420:14 420:21 421:2 421:24 423:6,9 424:1,2,9 430:4 431:18 432:11 433:17 435:5,6 436:14	461:5 462:10 467:9 economic 225:22 economies 226:2 economy 226:5 226:8,10 education 227:3 educational 227:23 268:9 360:5 401:16 449:16 effect 373:23 373:24 424:14 463:7 466:14 effective 376:13 effects 414:21 456:2 458:15 efficiency 294:2 efficient 388:19 effluent 261:4 261:11 egypt 413:1,2,5 414:19,22 415:9,13 420:2 420:2,3 421:1 421:2,9,15 423:22,22,23 471:4
	e		
	e 223:1 224:1 225:12 268:8 360:2,2 401:14		

[eight - error]

Page 26

eight 226:12,16 248:16 394:13 394:23 397:3	250:3	entering 329:3	envisioned 431:6,6 433:14
either 225:8 274:20 345:23 379:2 394:4 451:24 453:9 457:11 459:8 461:5 465:3 470:3,3,10,19 471:12,14	emissions 467:20 468:2,6 472:22	entire 234:1 281:9 328:3 353:2 380:11 381:19 388:2	epa 222:19,22 236:13 271:15 301:6,8 311:7 311:24 332:16 346:16 362:2,3 362:20 364:14 364:16 367:10 367:14 371:18 372:5 373:5 375:24 380:1 399:5,22 400:1 402:20 409:1 409:17 426:8 426:20 429:9 431:20 434:21 437:3 439:12 439:21,23 442:23 444:11 474:10 475:8
elapsed 432:16	emphasis 268:13	entirety 245:19 281:19 377:8 377:15 381:4 385:11	epa's 346:17 431:17,21 433:14
electric 225:16 257:23 453:18	emphasize 226:10	entities 364:22	episodes 248:1
elements 304:21 356:21 411:15 456:23 456:24 457:3 473:2	employed 226:7 228:3 268:15 360:8 401:21 449:23	entity 399:5	epri 453:17
elevated 303:15 306:17,21 307:23 310:11 420:9	encircled 369:22	environment 410:8 423:5,5 429:14 443:5 450:7 452:2 458:5 461:4 462:2,13 471:20 472:9	equipment 295:12 400:4 467:16 468:20
elevation 232:4 232:13 318:5 326:10 372:6 393:16 460:6	ended 425:10	environmental 222:16 228:6,7 228:10 269:6,7 269:20 348:2 373:19 401:18 401:20,22 402:7,20 423:9 449:18,20 450:4,5,9,11 458:17 461:17 462:19 469:24 470:2,9,13,14 470:16,18 471:17 472:6	equivalent 393:19 423:9
elimination 457:7	energy 468:3,6	envision 462:16	erosion 355:7
emergencies 250:1	enforcement 225:22 362:17 367:16		error 262:21
emergency 245:2,3 248:1 249:15,20,21	engineer 372:14 378:4 449:22		
	engineering 224:4 360:9 361:3,22 362:1 365:3 366:8 449:18,20		
	engineers 268:22		
	ensure 231:23 232:14 266:2		
	entered 283:10 433:5		

[especially - exceedances]

Page 27

especially 388:18 442:15	evaluated 277:18 278:20	424:14 436:10	examine 408:16 424:21
essence 222:4	410:9 419:24	everybody 477:13	examined 227:15 246:9
essentially 274:19 277:6	420:6 425:9	everyone's 311:4	268:3 359:20
288:14 289:9	429:9 431:17	evidence 276:19,20,22	401:9 436:23
300:10 313:19	437:5 440:11	277:3,4,5,12,23	449:8
354:17 416:12	440:13 442:23	278:12,12,20	example 269:19,21
453:8,11 457:5	456:7 458:7,8	279:9 289:10	310:10 320:17
established 345:21 417:2	458:13,17,19	289:11 299:13	347:1 351:11
establishment 365:13	470:15	299:16 302:18	351:12 354:14
estimate 252:20 254:1	evaluating 404:17,21	310:17,19	357:11,13
286:3,9 328:18	422:20 423:3	323:15 324:23	358:20 419:4
429:5	425:7 450:22	333:24 334:8,9	434:12 446:23
estimated 363:18 429:22	450:23 451:9	413:13 427:16	examples 236:18 346:16
429:24 431:14	455:22 457:24	429:20 443:7	426:21 444:11
432:7 440:15	evaluation 270:22 271:15	exact 243:4	excavated 457:10
440:18 468:10	304:18 368:1	315:2	excavating 393:19,21
estimates 281:19 428:15	403:6 404:24	exactly 263:24	excavation 235:23 457:13
estimation 328:14	406:7 453:8	400:9 419:11	467:16
evaluate 348:15 365:16	454:10 455:24	examination 223:7,8,8,9,10	excavator 253:18,21
395:23 405:2	462:4	223:11,11,12	exceed 397:13
409:24 411:3	evaluations 365:14 402:4	223:14,15,16	exceedance 304:13 305:16
412:20 414:2	450:20	223:17 227:17	418:8 463:19
419:22 435:1	event 324:15	247:16 249:1	exceedances 272:15 303:1,3
439:12,24	468:16	264:10 266:5	303:4,5,11,18
456:15 458:3	events 247:13	266:24 268:5	
	249:15 324:13	329:17,19	
	eventually 236:9 375:15	350:11 352:23	
	375:18 404:23	358:2,12	
		359:22 401:11	
		443:19 449:10	

303:21 304:9 309:8,12,24 464:2,5,20 465:13,21 exceeded 416:21 420:21 exceeding 298:16 exceeds 408:15 except 303:21 308:9 365:1 436:11 exception 287:16 303:8 304:8,11 309:7 309:22,24 345:16 exceptions 302:24 excess 464:22 excuse 462:9 exempt 243:17 243:18,21 244:12 373:14 376:1 exemption 312:3 exempts 373:19 exhibit 228:23 229:14 236:19 236:20 237:13 244:3 262:16 263:7 267:11 270:5 271:9	272:22 273:22 293:23 299:20 311:1 322:8 328:7 332:5 342:5 346:1,7 346:14 363:9 363:15,16,19 363:20,24,24 364:4,10 368:3 369:8 371:7 374:12 378:1 379:17,17 382:4 385:14 389:23,24 394:8,11,12 403:3,12,13,17 403:20 405:10 405:12,17,18 405:24 406:17 407:14,16 424:18 445:6 445:11 447:2,9 447:19,20 452:8 453:22 454:20 475:16 exhibits 319:2 331:4 363:5 404:4 475:4 476:3,5,10,12 476:20,23 exist 239:4 329:2 385:10 existed 329:8 384:20 417:18	existence 317:20 318:2 327:16 330:12 330:14 existing 380:23 expand 366:18 419:2 expansions 366:9 expect 285:22 290:2,13 306:16 309:10 309:13 310:4 310:10 312:10 313:11 315:8 325:4,12 326:16 339:15 355:7,12 356:9 357:3 414:16 415:12 421:1 457:3 463:6 467:13 472:3,4 472:7,24 expectation 325:6,19 expected 317:15 346:18 415:11 421:4 433:3,4 434:3 434:15 440:23 470:20 471:12 471:13 expenditure 226:9	expensive 387:4 experience 234:23 235:2 235:11 236:4 236:22 244:23 266:10 269:9 272:5 273:8 275:12 276:7 286:11,14 301:12 313:6 314:13 315:7 315:23 320:6,7 320:18 321:3 329:1 346:17 357:19 364:13 365:22 367:6 367:20 368:15 374:13,14 375:11 383:9 383:11,13 386:6,10 387:24 388:16 399:19 402:13 404:16,21 406:3 441:6 451:7 453:3,7 454:4 459:3 471:21 472:2 473:15,16 expert 273:11 273:14 281:23 368:18 369:1 406:6,11
--	--	--	---

[expert - familiar]

Page 29

450:11 454:7 454:14 expertise 451:3 451:18 experts 293:11 explain 250:11 291:23 298:21 307:20 325:10 326:9 415:2 416:9 421:6 422:13 424:6 438:6,17 439:1 460:22 explained 265:24 286:17 416:11 explaining 326:15 explanation 426:15 exposed 237:22 237:23 410:23 435:6 436:18 436:20,21,22 461:6 exposure 408:8 408:9,12,14 410:21,22 411:7,14 412:2 412:3,4,13 413:5,10 414:9 414:9,10,20,23 415:11,23 419:10 420:22	421:4 422:7 424:3 437:14 437:18,24,24 438:13,18 439:7 461:8 exposures 408:11 409:4 410:10 412:15 413:1 414:8,14 419:22 438:11 extend 245:12 248:17,20,21 extended 388:8 extension 247:5 extent 262:2 281:14 418:24 421:14 extract 300:11 300:12 extrapolated 281:16 extrapolation 282:4 353:1,6 extreme 439:7 extremely 433:12 435:3	facility 224:10 234:21 236:6 239:11 242:22 243:22 244:12 244:23 275:3 279:16 293:13 294:17 295:12 296:6 297:24 300:19 307:18 323:4 341:6 342:24 343:9 349:8 363:11 370:18 373:17 374:2 376:8 381:19 440:2 468:13 471:11 472:21 fact 232:3 287:18 294:12 309:23 317:2,8 319:8 323:21 355:17 356:18 385:9 386:3 387:18 432:12 438:7 472:16 475:15 factor 336:16 factors 226:20 327:5,6 438:10 439:7 440:7 457:22 458:3 459:7,15 472:8 facts 230:19	factual 228:15 229:8 failed 260:10 fair 259:13,14 261:19 fairly 246:18 249:13 299:5 fall 233:14 257:12 261:7 261:10 287:4 290:10,12 312:6 346:18 371:10 373:18 434:1 fallen 297:19 falls 287:2,3 288:18 343:4 false 319:15 322:6 familiar 242:10 258:5,19,21 270:6 271:10 276:12 298:5 311:17 314:24 316:20,21,24 318:24 322:14 323:21 326:19 326:24 327:10 351:19 363:6 364:6 370:7,12 387:17 403:3 403:22,23 425:1,12,17 452:10,12
	f		
	facilities 320:11 337:19 361:14,14 365:4 384:20 396:12 473:17		

[familiarity - fit]

Page 30

familiarity 370:10 378:19 399:18 425:5,6 faq 224:7 346:2 far 262:7,20 274:13 287:24 331:20 354:23 356:11,11 364:8 387:16 391:4 farmers 226:7 fatalities 468:22 fate 450:6,21 454:8 fault 332:18 favorable 227:5 features 327:22 february 244:10 federal 345:16 345:23 396:3 425:2,14 451:1 467:6 feel 366:11 369:21 390:2 feet 232:13 245:11 248:16 248:16 276:10 282:22,23 284:8,8,9 314:17 315:12 316:5,10	326:20,21 341:17,19,21 341:23 342:1 354:15 355:16 390:23 391:5 397:14 440:14 440:15,16,19 fell 287:15 367:19 438:10 felt 336:11 364:16 field 239:16 269:11,12,13 378:14 382:9 382:19,21 385:2 fifth 252:14,24 265:5 fifty 366:23 figure 283:22 283:24 284:4 355:15 369:24 370:2 371:5,8 371:12,15,21 372:18,24 380:7 filed 235:19 379:3 476:12 476:17,18 filing 328:6 373:8 390:5 filled 372:6 383:23 384:21	filling 383:24 final 236:7 346:17 347:1 429:11 446:23 460:19 finalized 238:22 finally 233:8 328:5 411:16 425:10 432:20 433:15 442:24 457:12 458:19 financial 226:1 financially 226:5 find 306:5 312:12 447:5 473:19 finding 221:6 473:16 findings 300:23 fine 234:19 266:15 286:9 finer 225:23 233:21,24 234:3,12,15 239:10,24 240:1,3 252:19 265:9 266:1,2 266:15 296:5 fingerprint 395:5 finish 322:22	finishing 322:13,19,20 323:1,5,8,9 347:6 459:18 fired 328:21 329:2,6 firm 360:19 first 226:13 227:21 239:18 290:21 309:20 317:6 323:3 329:23 332:4 334:2 361:21 372:4 375:13 375:20 376:7 383:8 384:16 390:4,6,8 395:2,18 403:1 404:20 410:20 411:20 412:1 416:9,14 443:22 444:2 453:5 456:14 457:4 459:1 471:18 472:7 firsthand 226:3 firstly 456:14 fish 413:3,4 420:3 436:12 fishing 423:24 424:1 fit 322:12 367:18
--	---	---	---

[five - franklin]

Page 31

five 255:1 262:5 267:17 267:19 308:9 400:18 443:12 448:17	292:24 293:2,8 293:10,16,23 294:4,5,6,8,9 294:11,13 295:4,8,9,23 300:22 302:3 321:22 328:10 333:21 334:17 335:2 340:23 341:6 342:1,12 343:11 352:15 374:9 384:17 385:8,10 386:11 410:3 417:12 423:4 427:17 428:6 428:18,21,24 429:5	247:15 429:19 follows 227:16 268:4 359:21 401:10 449:9 font 280:1,3,6 280:10,12 foot 231:23 248:11 432:8 440:14 foregoing 321:10 478:10 formally 372:5 formed 242:7 former 238:3,4 238:9,16,20 239:7,15,23 241:3,12,14 242:11,14,18 242:24 243:12 244:12,16,21 245:19 247:3,8 247:18 249:6 253:17 265:21 266:3 267:3 282:6 301:20 308:13 309:19 310:2 323:14 324:24 325:5 330:1 343:16 343:20 370:8 370:19 371:22 371:23 372:2 374:9 375:9,15 377:6 378:2,6	379:18 381:4 381:22 384:17 385:8,9 386:11 387:20 410:3 423:3 427:16 432:20,21 formerly 248:22 374:15 forming 237:8 formulate 411:18 forth 375:21 408:21 468:13 forward 225:7 416:13 436:18 found 285:12 295:3 305:3 307:12 329:6 341:15 414:13 438:7 439:16 465:22 470:17 four 263:23 326:20,21 366:18,20,22 399:6 433:6 fourth 380:16 fraction 295:10 295:14 459:6 frame 243:2 246:19 395:12 398:22 frames 433:3 franklin 226:15
flow 325:4,7,7 325:11,12,17 325:24 326:12 326:16 327:6,7 343:9,11 398:17 413:23 414:16 415:6 460:7,13,23,24 461:7	341:6 342:1,12 343:11 352:15 374:9 384:17 385:8,10 386:11 410:3 417:12 423:4 427:17 428:6 428:18,21,24 429:5		
flowing 237:4 261:5	focus 310:13 323:13 362:9 450:6 456:12		
flows 237:3 295:11 320:23 321:1,4 324:12 460:8	focused 362:20 412:11 414:14		
flue 333:17 358:6	focusing 361:10,11		
fluid 393:4	foia 371:17 373:4		
fly 241:15,17 242:15 247:5,8 248:22 250:21 257:19 259:1,5 259:11 261:15 261:21 279:19 281:3,8,20 282:1 285:18 285:23 286:7 286:11 287:17 291:7,10,16	folks 226:4 follow 352:12 358:5,10 followed 235:19 435:8 following 235:23 240:23		

[free - go]

Page 32

free 390:2 freezing 250:4 frequency 244:8 332:14 365:19 frequently 446:16 friday 328:6 373:8 front 237:2 379:16 fuel 286:12,15 340:24 341:2 fugitive 467:19 472:21 full 252:12,13 252:14 253:10 262:2,8 281:13 321:11 334:11 335:17 346:14 399:6 433:9 476:20 fully 382:17,17 function 300:2 313:19,20 337:21 functions 324:18 furnace 291:22 further 223:12 238:15 264:7 266:18 293:16 349:22 358:1,9 358:12 368:13	380:4 406:2 411:3,4 420:9 420:14 421:11 442:17 448:9 454:3 474:2 477:1 future 320:12 320:14,14 388:12 458:7 462:19,22 463:1	generally 230:14 256:23 274:10 315:2 316:5,20,24 343:19 365:22 374:1 386:9 393:15 403:8 407:7 408:7 410:15,18 425:4 450:1 460:8 463:24 464:23 generate 277:16 generated 433:10 472:22 472:22 generating 403:9 404:2 452:16 generation 365:6 gentleman 225:6 geologist 362:9 geology 268:13 360:6 getting 234:9 259:8 293:3 294:17 308:19 331:22 388:22 give 225:19 232:9 234:13 241:5 315:2	386:2 399:24 427:1 429:9,23 474:21 given 226:24 227:1 357:19 410:23 420:24 434:12 475:13 gives 282:17 328:2 glasses 452:11 460:12 glassier 295:20 glassy 295:21 go 233:23 250:9,24 295:7 308:21 322:10 326:3 329:15 331:18,24 339:2 343:8 345:14 346:14 346:21 349:19 359:9 360:23 364:22 371:4 400:12 401:5 408:3 409:8 410:18,19 411:12 417:15 428:24 435:18 435:19 446:6 447:1,4,15 451:13 474:9 475:22 476:1 477:4,6
	g		
	g 268:8 gabe 475:9 gabriel 222:16 gallatin 226:16 gallenbach 230:22 231:6 238:4,15 242:13 246:8 246:11 250:11 254:5 282:24 297:17 gallenbach's 247:15 355:21 gas 333:18 358:6 468:2,6 gather 399:3 general 298:23 311:8 315:3 327:8 392:7,8 392:9 405:7 410:9 443:4		

[goal - groundwater]

Page 33

goal 387:14 434:20	471:6 476:1 477:12	370:6 405:23 406:18 469:22	362:11,21,22 362:23 365:11
goes 343:11 396:9 445:22	good 227:19 242:4 249:3	greater 287:13 287:19 316:15	365:12,13,14 365:17 366:1
going 227:10 229:13 244:1	359:9 388:6 389:9 395:5,24	415:14 417:1 461:17 468:5,5	367:16,17,21 368:20 376:5
249:5 259:22 261:6 267:10	399:8 448:19 477:13	468:6 470:13 greatly 261:5	378:22 379:1 380:14,19,24
269:3 270:4 271:7 273:21	gosh 275:14 276:9	green 371:24 372:17,19,24	381:2,3,13 388:23 389:12
289:8 302:1 303:24 318:7	gotcha 265:2 governed	373:9 374:4,8 377:5,12 380:6	389:19 394:12 394:14,18
324:10 336:9 346:6 352:14	373:24 grade 391:4,9	381:5,17 386:15,17	395:1,2,5,8,13 395:21,22,24
357:15 363:3 364:3 367:22	393:16 graded 391:7	greenhouse 468:2,6	396:2,6,10,14 397:17 398:2,4
369:6 371:4 375:21 376:4	grades 393:4 gradient	grew 226:19 ground 326:13	398:7,17,23,24 399:4,14,16
377:23 384:22 385:7 386:20	401:22 402:3 413:17 449:24	327:8 351:15 413:10 414:1	412:11,14,14 413:8,20,23
386:22 387:1,2 387:3,3,4	450:2 460:16 464:18	groundwater 240:8 241:7	414:7,16,18 415:6,8 416:20
388:2,9 392:4 393:3 397:11	grading 391:13 392:11 393:7	264:2,6 272:13 272:14,16	416:21 417:1 418:4,6 419:6
397:20 403:1 403:15,19	393:11,15,17 394:1,2,4	279:15 303:5,9 304:10 305:16	421:12,14 423:16,18,19
406:16 409:6 415:20 419:11	grand 222:18 222:21	305:22 306:5 306:10,11,22	423:20 435:23 435:24 436:3
428:22,23 439:22 445:6	granular 234:4 266:15	307:4,6,14,18 307:21,24	436:12,13,19 447:22 450:8
447:1,5,18 448:21 452:6	grass 237:21,24 great 229:6,22	309:8,12,24 310:2,22	450:22 451:4 451:10,11,14
454:19 466:8 468:12,17	256:8 269:19 269:21 358:20	349:17 361:12 361:12 362:9	451:19 454:9,9 458:9 459:14

[groundwater - hearing]

Page 34

460:5,7,7,13,17 460:23,24 461:7 463:4,7 463:10,15,18 463:19,20,23 464:2,5,8,9,11 464:12,19,22 465:13,21 466:4,6,7,18,21 466:24 467:1,3 467:8 472:9,10 guess 272:19 298:13 372:9 377:14 396:13 426:20 428:8 438:5 455:21 460:18 guidance 388:21 408:21 408:24 409:1,3 409:8,12,14,16 409:18 418:23 419:18,19 453:19 guide 409:13 guys 256:4 258:12 333:12	268:3,8,9 272:4,24 273:11,17 274:1,15 276:12 280:16 290:18 300:2 310:23 322:18 328:20 329:21 350:13 459:9 459:17 465:8 haley 224:9 268:16 428:14 half 395:19,20 440:14 460:21 hall 221:12 478:6 hand 245:2 270:4 271:7 273:21 288:16 346:6 363:3 364:3 369:6 377:23 403:1 403:15,19 406:16 445:6 452:6 454:19 478:12 handed 331:4,9 350:15 445:11 handful 257:11 handing 230:2 handle 227:2 232:12 475:4 handled 246:3 344:14	happened 259:20 354:15 happens 226:3 290:24 472:17 hard 226:4 253:8 254:2 259:18 266:16 266:16 400:12 457:1 hardships 226:2 hats 268:18 haul 467:17 469:10 470:20 473:14 hailed 467:18 hauling 468:8 469:13 472:14 473:4 hazard 402:17 head 318:22 325:20 health 404:1,22 407:18,24 408:13,14,17 409:5 410:8 411:7 412:17 412:21 413:7 416:19 417:4 418:5,18,21 420:6 421:24 423:5 429:14 430:4 431:18 432:10 433:16	435:4,5 436:10 437:22 443:5 458:4 462:2,10 462:12 467:9 471:16,19 472:8 hear 246:11 249:24 327:13 471:5 heard 230:21 250:11 255:13 274:19 282:24 292:19 297:23 316:4 336:23 337:3,6 338:1 338:13,14,16 342:16 355:21 hearing 221:12 225:3 227:7,12 228:21 235:19 260:6,13,20,23 266:21 267:13 267:15,18,21 267:24 273:10 273:13 311:13 329:12,15 336:7 348:10 349:24 350:7 350:10 358:11 359:1,4,7,12,17 364:8 368:17 368:21,24 383:12 400:17 400:21,24
h			
h 222:16 224:1 268:8 360:2 hagen 223:10 224:11,12 262:6 267:23			

401:3,5 406:5 406:10 443:11 443:15 448:11 448:17,21 449:5 454:6,13 474:6,9,13,18 474:22 475:2,3 475:5,18,21 476:1,6,7,10,17 476:22 477:3,6 477:9,17,19 hearings 366:9 heavier 295:14 heavy 369:23 372:1 397:12 height 385:20 held 221:11 475:24 477:8 help 288:17 289:11 302:18 323:5 324:9 325:14 370:16 393:7 409:12 409:13 helped 379:15 hereunto 478:12 hhera 224:8 407:22 409:21 409:24 410:6 410:16 418:10 423:1 high 285:14,14 285:15 287:23	290:8 308:4,5 308:8,8,10 320:24 367:12 388:13 408:4,7 410:5 422:10 422:10,12,13 437:10,14,24 438:13,14,21 439:7 higher 307:13 320:22 321:3 415:11,12,23 424:15 434:6 438:11,22 439:7 440:23 highest 282:22 highlighted 262:21 423:15 455:15 highly 305:21 hire 254:7 hired 254:5 348:12,15 historic 236:16 292:5 308:15 471:1 historical 371:18 372:12 384:7 458:20 471:7,9,11,13 historically 231:7 244:20 250:10 323:22 355:19 356:20	432:13 history 388:17 463:22 464:23 hit 380:21 hold 274:21 289:21 324:14 377:3 385:5 473:21,23 477:12 holding 247:5 248:22 374:9 384:17 385:8 385:10 386:11 hole 394:3 holistic 453:8 455:24 honor 261:1 hope 262:5 326:14 475:7 horizontally 396:11 horseshoe 247:17 hour 254:10 359:9 hours 472:23 house 362:18 household 392:1,3 423:20 households 225:24 housing 324:17 how'd 257:22	huh 416:7 428:10 441:21 446:13,18 human 404:1 404:22 407:18 407:24 408:10 408:17 410:7 412:16,21 413:7 416:19 417:4 418:5 419:18 420:6 421:24 423:5 429:14 430:4 431:18 432:10 433:16 435:4,5 436:10 437:22 443:5 458:4 461:5 462:2,9 462:12 467:9 471:16,19 472:8 hundreds 315:13 316:1 hydraulic 318:22 325:20 398:10 460:15 460:16 hydraulics 337:1 hydrogen 279:11 284:19 284:22 285:2,6 286:20,22 287:1 288:6,12
--	---	---	--

288:15,23,23 289:23,23 340:9 hydrogeo 362:10 367:23 398:20 hydrogeologic 396:7 hydrogeologi... 395:14 450:20 460:14 hydrogeologist 269:11 hydrogeology 268:13 273:12 361:19 460:3	411:1,18 412:1 416:2 417:3 420:7 472:19 identifying 464:3 iepa 225:18 242:23 243:13 243:16 244:7 244:15,19 247:2,17 264:12 265:20 319:2,5 321:20 328:8,15 350:15 362:7 362:13 382:5,8 382:12,14 383:3 389:23 394:19 409:3 418:23 419:18 iepa's 229:7 316:16 317:7 318:9 319:1 326:19 389:24 477:11 il 222:10,12,18 222:22 illinois 221:1,4 221:12 222:16 222:19,22 225:16 226:11 226:12,17,18 228:4 271:15 301:6,8 303:4 303:6,8 304:9	305:16 306:5 306:10,22 307:4,5 309:8 309:24 311:7 348:1 360:7 362:2,3,18,20 364:23 367:10 367:14 370:14 370:17 371:17 371:18 373:5 374:1 375:16 375:19,23 379:7,8,18,21 379:24,24 380:1 459:13 463:15 470:8 478:1,5,7,17 imagine 329:5 329:7 immediately 465:18 impact 224:8 227:2 294:15 297:19 305:21 306:10 318:4,8 318:9 326:11 356:21 396:5 405:8 424:9 430:8 432:13 436:7,7 452:15 453:1,2,4,6,7 453:20 454:11 455:12,19,20 455:24 457:20	457:23 458:23 461:11,13,23 463:3 466:9,10 466:11 467:11 468:5,15 469:5 469:23 470:24 471:17,19,21 471:22 472:2 impacted 435:23 436:13 436:19,21 470:21 impacting 226:2 impacts 381:4 381:15 404:17 417:24 453:12 458:1,8,11,17 458:20 461:22 463:23 465:15 467:14,22,24 469:8,9,11,14 469:17,20 470:2 471:3,7 471:12,13,15 472:10,12,13 473:4 impermeable 387:7,7 457:14 implausible 463:16 466:5 implement 315:17
i			
idea 298:23,24 ideally 399:8 ideas 409:18 identification 295:1 identified 328:7 363:5 364:4 370:8 403:2,15,16,20 413:22 417:7 417:10 423:21 430:5 438:1 439:9 452:7 identify 293:2 293:4 371:22 372:15 410:21			

implementati...	364:19 368:19	404:17,18	313:16 317:19
228:9 346:3	374:18,20	405:8 406:8	318:14,19
362:21	375:1 376:24	425:22 426:3	333:17 339:10
implemented	377:3 383:4	427:4,11 429:8	349:16 356:15
380:10 425:11	386:4,24	430:2 431:5,17	363:19 364:21
implementing	392:15,22	431:22 432:9	367:6 380:5
458:15 468:18	393:13,20	433:21 434:22	382:1 384:11
implications	425:18 426:9	437:1,21,23	384:14 394:18
225:19	426:22 427:6	439:9,14 440:1	402:13 427:7
implied 366:8	427:14 428:21	440:13 441:7	457:13,16,16
implying	429:17 430:10	441:10,13	461:20 465:11
466:22	431:11,13	442:23 444:16	467:19 469:9
important	432:3,6,23	450:14,19,24	469:10 471:16
275:24 282:18	434:1,2 436:20	impression	included 283:5
313:10 336:15	437:6,9 439:10	383:3	283:11,11,16
413:18 440:21	440:7 441:11	improvements	283:17 303:15
441:1 460:6,14	442:21 443:23	463:7,9	304:22 308:12
460:16,22	444:17 451:14	inaccessible	309:4 317:2,18
importantly	impoundments	281:11 352:4,7	317:19,20
357:4 426:24	262:12 272:5,8	inapplicability	319:1 328:8
427:9	272:11,18	221:7	347:1 351:6
impoundment	274:16,24	inches 248:11	356:24 373:20
241:4 244:17	275:15,18	354:17,18,19	395:8 410:9
273:11 274:17	311:18,21	354:19 355:5,6	413:2 416:24
275:6,11 276:8	313:4 315:5,24	429:23 430:1	417:2 418:8
276:11 284:2	316:3 333:11	430:17,20	446:22
312:5,7,9,24	336:22 347:13	431:15 432:7	includes 295:4
313:8,13	347:17 348:20	434:15 472:18	316:17 365:19
314:11,15	354:22 364:13	incidental	371:5 380:6,11
315:9,10	364:17 365:9	442:6	381:21 385:20
318:19 332:21	372:22 373:10	include 281:19	387:20 450:19
333:5 344:20	373:13 374:16	285:16,16	including 251:7
344:23 347:7	375:3 377:7,9	296:11 300:24	305:17 353:13
355:13 356:10	381:23 385:18	304:17,24	360:22 365:7

[including - interest]

Page 38

366:12 381:5 404:17 406:7 433:17 inclusion 262:20 357:2 inclusive 283:20 349:20 incomplete 334:15 379:2 inconsistent 288:24 289:15 289:16,24 290:5 incorporated 438:21 incorrectly 317:12,17 increase 226:23 increased 468:23 incurred 458:12,16,18 473:13 independent 394:13,24 index 475:6 indexed 355:15 indicate 244:15 288:3 317:14 324:24 382:14 396:19 397:23 438:9 indicated 266:5 421:23	indicates 310:1 indicating 261:23 indication 398:17 indicative 280:21 285:15 288:19,20 304:2 310:8 320:8 321:8,17 342:21 348:19 357:17 437:5 individual 407:10 industries 322:21,21 infer 319:12 inferences 319:9 infiltration 387:15 inform 356:22 information 226:24 228:16 229:9 230:16 232:10 241:6 243:6 269:13 274:12 277:6 278:6,8 279:10 279:20 282:3 307:16 325:3 344:15 348:16 349:12 351:2,8 353:4 368:8,14	371:16,17 372:8,10,12 379:1,4 384:5 384:7,8 385:4 390:5,12 396:23 398:20 399:4 409:3 420:15 428:13 429:12 470:17 informed 422:9 425:11 442:17 ingestion 413:3 435:22,24 436:11,12 initial 248:22 initially 323:16 370:13 386:20 injuries 468:21 inlet 255:3 inorganic 438:1,2 input 335:22 inscribed 372:1 380:12 inside 344:22 insignificant 279:2 298:2 442:20 inspected 370:22 inspection 384:14 inspections 243:1,6,15	installation 400:4 installed 307:18 381:1 381:13 395:3 396:2 399:14 399:17 instance 322:5 332:16 353:23 instances 339:6 instantaneous... 249:14 institute 449:19 453:18 intake 231:11 231:15,22 232:16,19,23 233:1,8 integral 451:17 461:1 intent 260:11 457:15 intention 389:18 intentioned 226:4 interactions 242:23 interchangea... 423:11 interest 408:10 411:1 416:2,10 423:2 427:23 428:17
--	--	---	---

[interested - kind]

Page 39

interested 346:9 417:24 internal 266:1 344:22 345:1 interpretation 323:11 382:17 intersection 425:7 introduce 475:15 invalid 335:5,5 inverse 472:16 investigated 278:23 investigation 258:18 259:24 260:10,17 262:8 277:19 278:16 279:4,6 279:18 282:5 283:22 294:20 301:10 308:14 314:5 348:13 351:2 396:10 investigations 367:23 419:12 450:20 invited 239:16 involve 450:13 472:20 involved 253:22 419:1 450:18 457:3 472:15 473:12	involvement 228:13 229:6 involves 408:7 412:13 ion 302:11 ipcb 225:19 issue 297:20 324:24 348:1 385:2 395:11 397:5,20 399:1 404:19 411:16 issued 380:1 issues 245:22 268:23 269:20 270:12 362:22 373:7 387:9 388:11 405:7 411:12 469:24 473:13 it'd 257:10 296:4 item 319:16	jason's 260:15 job 264:22 365:24 jobs 226:2 joshi 222:11 223:10,11,12 223:14,15,17 263:1,4 267:9 267:17,23 268:6 273:10 273:16,17 329:10 336:4 346:11 348:7 350:5,9,12 358:1,10,13,23 359:15,23 368:17 369:2 400:14 401:7 401:12 406:5 406:12 443:9 445:10 448:10 449:3,11 454:6 454:15 474:2 474:21,24 475:3,13 476:4 476:15,18 477:2 joshi's 334:3 judgment 275:13 277:14 july 228:12 251:5 jump 249:5	june 221:9,11 225:2 478:7,13 justice 402:20 458:18 469:24 470:2,9,16,18
			k
			k 360:2 karen 221:22 478:4,16 keep 409:1 440:6 kenneth 223:13 224:4,5,10,12 224:13 359:16 359:20 360:2 369:9 448:24 kept 240:10 383:24 key 388:14 407:9 412:12 459:7,15 kind 230:18 257:16 269:18 293:10 298:4 332:1 336:7 358:18 366:3,4 367:13 368:12 385:5 387:6 391:6 405:6 408:2,22 411:10 426:21 428:1 430:20 437:17 445:15

[kind - landfill]

Page 40

451:17 455:16 know 240:7 249:11 255:24 263:22 267:5,6 275:16 277:15 280:11 290:8 297:23 299:5 302:2 311:2 312:18 314:2 315:20 320:24 321:7 324:11 330:17,21,22 330:22,23 331:15,22 334:14 335:19 337:19 338:10 339:19,20 340:6 344:13 350:1 351:16 352:13 355:6 355:18,20,20 376:15 377:4 382:12 383:12 385:8 387:7 391:23 398:9 410:18,22 411:14 412:13 416:1 418:23 419:7,8 422:20 424:10 425:10 427:3,8,9 428:2 430:16 430:17 432:17 433:11 434:1	434:24 437:18 438:5,11 439:23 440:12 440:14 441:12 442:2,4,12,14 442:19,20 443:1,4,6,6 445:8 450:20 453:8,12 455:15 456:16 457:5,6 458:21 459:3,24 460:19,21 461:3 462:15 466:3 467:18 467:18 468:9 468:11,12,20 469:1,2,12 470:21 471:4 472:13,15 473:11,15,18 knowledge 229:9 237:19 238:8,12 240:17 243:15 244:8,15 245:9 245:15,18 246:22 248:23 251:3 260:2,15 266:14 278:1,4 285:17 297:3 301:3,7 312:8 312:20 313:4 329:1 331:23	351:5 373:11 373:22 374:3 375:11 376:11 377:5 383:9 384:1 386:6 387:23 389:24 390:1 391:1 394:17 396:1 398:15 400:3 425:20 426:1 441:5 knows 260:21 I I 222:9 227:22 360:2 401:14 lab 258:13 labeled 231:14 232:21 368:3 lack 396:18 laid 230:19 lake 412:24 413:2,5 414:19 414:22 415:9 415:13 420:1,2 420:3 421:1,2 421:8,15 423:22,22,23 471:4 lakes 280:19 land 237:21,24 240:10 243:2 243:14 292:15 292:16,17	319:21 363:11 364:20 366:2 370:15 374:23 375:24 388:9 land's 384:22 landfill 234:11 236:1 239:13 241:14 242:11 242:14,18,24 243:8,12,19 244:13,16,21 245:13,16,19 245:24 247:4 247:18 248:18 248:21 249:6 249:11 252:10 252:19 253:17 253:19,24 255:4,11,15,19 291:20,21 296:24 297:2 297:15 323:15 323:16 324:4,5 324:9,20,21,24 325:5,9 326:1 326:4,14,23 327:4,18 328:3 330:1,4,19 343:4,16,20,22 343:24 344:3 356:20 361:9 361:10 362:22 364:20 366:17 366:20 368:18
--	---	--	---

[landfill - likelihood]

Page 41

370:8,20	366:7,10,14,15	leachate 459:8	408:5,7 410:5
371:22,24	366:18,23	459:11 463:12	411:5 418:11
372:3,5,8,11	367:1,3,7,9,13	465:6,14 466:3	418:14 419:23
373:12,20,23	367:19 374:14	leaching 300:6	420:4,12
373:24 374:10	378:8 392:9	300:7	422:10,12
374:11,12,16	434:22 436:5	lead 305:13	424:15 437:18
374:22 375:7,9	landowner	306:1,24	451:1
375:12,15,16	225:17	309:18 417:9	levels 226:11
375:18,19,22	landscaping	438:13 464:21	258:24 261:2,3
376:3,7,16	358:21	464:23	261:6,9,16
377:8,8,11,13	language	leads 432:18	262:5 269:14
377:16,17,20	345:16 390:21	463:8	281:12 306:17
378:2,6 379:11	391:1 420:8	leaking 361:11	318:4 335:12
379:11,13,18	426:18	leave 339:13	335:16 356:12
379:24 380:2,6	large 287:16	led 432:1	426:12 434:6
381:4,9,20	299:5 302:23	438:21	lewis 223:15
383:4,5,10,15	303:1 304:8	lee 293:6,10	224:7,8,13
383:17,18,21	352:16 366:19	356:23 358:5	401:7,9,14,15
384:18,22,23	397:10 435:4	left 232:17	404:15 406:6
385:9,10,12,23	468:19	237:13 260:11	406:20 425:1
386:1,3,8,12	largely 366:1	287:14 339:16	433:19 442:10
387:1,6,20	368:16 377:11	339:17 365:2	443:10,21
388:4 390:10	387:7 462:9	375:22 391:4	462:11
391:9,13,15,24	larger 434:3	legal 222:17,21	license 221:22
392:4,10 393:1	440:9,22	336:4,5,8	licensed 449:21
393:7 395:23	lastly 328:20	348:8,9	life 284:14
397:15,18	late 257:12	lesser 415:15	294:14 440:7
437:6 457:12	375:9,13	letter 358:5	light 237:14
467:19 468:10	408:23	level 261:17	279:12 290:19
468:13	layman's 437:7	290:23 312:13	290:24 357:5
landfills 324:11	439:2,3	317:24 318:6,7	lighter 295:10
361:10,11,11	leach 300:17	319:14,14	likelihood
364:18 365:2	leachability	348:23 367:12	328:3
365:23 366:1,6	300:13,15	388:13 394:5	

[likely - lode]

Page 42

likely 236:22 276:18 277:10 287:20,23,24 317:23 326:2,3 326:11 327:7 339:17,17 342:21 344:6 356:15 392:12 465:16 468:5 469:8 limestone 358:20 limitations 281:5 298:19 limited 398:19 459:4 473:18 line 231:23 248:11 287:3,4 288:17,18 289:10 290:11 290:12,13 299:16 302:18 303:22 346:16 369:23 372:1 377:16 380:12 391:6 liner 393:2 451:16 457:15 lines 276:20,22 277:3,4,4,12,23 278:12,12,20 279:9 289:6,8 289:11 290:2 299:13 310:17	310:19 333:23 334:8,9 346:22 397:12 413:13 427:15 429:19 443:6 liquid 300:7,12 300:17 308:5 377:4 392:2 444:16 liquids 391:14 391:16,20,21 391:24 393:4 457:4 lisa 475:14,17 liss 223:13 224:4,5,10,12 224:13 359:16 359:20 360:2,4 362:24 363:5 364:12 368:4 368:18 369:2,9 370:6,19 371:7 372:17 377:19 387:17 389:22 398:21 400:15 448:24 list 456:24 listed 320:18 355:3 409:11 410:17 427:6 427:18 435:6 442:11 listen 327:22	listening 330:9 listings 476:20 lists 349:20 lithium 438:3 447:24 litigation 450:12 little 231:10 232:9 239:19 240:13 241:5 243:5 251:17 255:12 264:24 274:15 279:3 286:6,17 288:1 289:4 298:18 310:14 322:7 323:14 328:24 352:11 353:12 354:16 360:16 365:4 388:17 410:14,20 411:22 413:6 414:14,15,22 414:24 415:3 415:12,20 417:24 420:3 420:21,24 421:11,13 424:1,2,17 432:24 441:14 455:18,21 457:19 460:17 461:24 466:14 466:19	live 324:17 living 227:4 llp 222:8 local 226:2,10 239:16 365:4 located 234:7 234:15 235:21 244:21 247:17 278:22 324:19 369:24 381:18 386:7 417:5 450:5 455:13 464:14,15 467:16 470:17 471:10 location 231:15 232:22 233:5 351:12 464:9 472:16 473:10 473:10 locations 332:17 350:20 351:13 464:8 464:10 473:17 lode 222:9 223:7,8 227:10 227:18 228:20 228:22 243:24 244:1 248:24 259:22 260:6 260:13 263:5 264:9,11 266:18 443:18 447:13
--	---	--	--

[long - make]

Page 43

long 228:11 244:20,24 245:9,23,24 246:12,21 256:3 257:9 284:15 316:8 327:10 329:24 350:16 361:21 399:15 430:16 430:18 440:3	looked 264:21 275:21 279:9 279:19 280:15 286:19 308:3 313:20 314:2 331:8 372:10 412:16 413:9 414:6,8 416:17 416:20 419:21 424:5 428:2,3 435:4,21,22,23 436:1,2,4,15,16 456:3 471:16 473:3,6	341:2 345:13 350:3 354:20 357:19 361:9 387:3 388:7 389:8 407:9 430:1 437:8,10 438:10,12 440:7 443:2 451:21	made 260:8 263:24 274:21 283:16 297:12 307:8 319:9,11 319:24 322:4 349:2 356:22 383:20 407:10 422:4 429:7 430:1 431:16 432:8 442:21
longer 261:3 339:8 350:5 376:23 397:4	looking 275:16 277:2 286:22 287:7 323:11 326:15 331:6 343:23 346:15 350:15 385:1 387:15 403:11 414:5,21 415:3 417:23 423:16 434:14 451:16 453:11 464:6	low 285:19 286:7 298:18 308:10 328:4 341:1 355:5 356:12 398:10 441:10	magnitude 316:15 356:11
look 235:3,9,14 239:17 275:18 276:3 279:17 284:7 287:1,2 288:16 289:11 307:9 309:20 309:21 310:19 313:7,23 314:1 314:14 317:22 318:18 322:2 331:2 334:23 339:2 342:8 347:10 350:16 356:23 357:21 384:5 390:2 391:4 397:6,9 397:12 409:4,5 409:7,16 414:24 415:4 416:14,14 436:9 475:7,9	looks 235:12 342:5 346:3 390:8 391:3,7	lowered 259:1 259:10,21 261:16,17 335:12	maintain 337:20
	loops 389:8	lower 265:5,5 281:12 286:6 298:17 317:24 319:14 421:4	maintenance 233:16 243:9 264:16,18 266:7 337:22 355:19 357:6 387:9
	lot 256:24 261:14 320:11 325:19 328:21 338:7,8 339:16 339:17,22	lunch 350:3 359:8	major 279:13 302:6,12 303:2 303:4,20 304:11 307:9 309:6 360:20 360:23
		lynn 382:10	majority 239:9 302:13 430:2
		m	make 225:6,9 226:6 232:15 236:22 243:9 243:10 245:21 246:15 276:21 283:10 289:12
		m 225:13 227:22	
		ma'am 228:19 229:12 230:7 244:4 263:14 267:14 363:7	

[make - matter]

Page 44

291:1 302:13 307:8 308:11 320:21 322:24 334:8 335:5 345:2,10 363:23 364:9 376:22 377:6,6 384:10 386:10 387:3 394:4 397:19 399:13 410:11 422:2 426:8 430:18 433:13 makes 261:14 284:12 322:12 392:2 makeup 278:13 302:15,21 305:18 306:7 making 226:1 269:14,17,18 269:22 283:2 316:4 367:17 382:21 man 274:21 349:2 manage 328:1 442:4,7 450:5 459:24 managed 242:8 297:23 318:22 327:24 375:5 457:5,6	management 269:7,23 317:17,17 324:6 360:21 368:19 386:8 442:5 manager 366:1 manages 297:24 managing 391:14 map 371:5 373:9 390:11 397:6 464:14 464:15 march 236:20 378:14 marion 221:12 270:10 279:1 281:1 284:10 300:19 370:7 397:2 403:9 404:2 423:1 452:16 455:13 463:23 469:6 478:6 marked 271:9 272:22 273:22 293:23 311:1 319:1 369:7 406:17 454:20 market 221:12 221:12 478:6	mass 328:3 massachusetts 449:18 master's 268:12 401:19 449:17 material 233:20 234:10 235:8,14,20 236:4,22 237:14,20 239:2,9,10,11 239:23 240:5 243:7,10 248:5 251:21 252:4,5 252:20,22 253:16,23 255:14 256:9 256:12 257:14 257:15 258:9 258:12 265:7 265:10,11,20 265:21 292:14 292:15 295:19 295:20 302:15 302:19 337:9 356:18,19 372:15 381:22 382:1 385:23 468:12 472:18 472:20 473:14 materially 430:8	materials 234:20 235:3 235:23 236:2,3 251:18 252:7 252:16,17,18 259:16 266:6 270:1 283:2,5 283:20 286:23 288:1,2,4 290:23 291:1,5 291:19 292:17 296:8 297:7 298:8 305:21 320:3 322:4 333:18 340:17 340:21 342:22 362:24 394:3 402:22 424:22 428:2 452:3 473:5 math 315:13 339:21 354:20 matter 221:3 228:14 269:2 270:2 271:8 288:20 297:9 297:18 298:2 311:1 316:17 348:9 356:1 361:16 363:13 363:17 364:6 375:21 377:24 387:19 394:7 395:11 402:10
--	---	--	--

[matter - migrate]

Page 45

407:11 412:3,7 455:21 matters 268:23 347:22 362:14 362:17,19 mauntel 372:14 378:4 maximum 416:22,22,24 418:2,21 419:9 422:16 466:20 466:23 mclaurin 223:7 224:6,11 227:11,15,19 230:4 264:12 327:11 353:24 355:20 mclaurin's 283:1 297:17 327:13 mean 232:3,5 232:11 236:6,8 237:23 240:1 242:4 249:13 250:4,15 251:13 253:1,8 254:10 257:10 258:22 259:7 259:18,19 262:4,5 263:22 265:12 266:14 275:11 276:15 282:16,18	312:14 314:4 315:13 324:20 330:22 336:14 336:18 337:3 340:4 351:1 354:4 355:3 356:12 357:11 365:12 377:9 379:7 391:16 400:11 418:16 419:3 422:14 426:7 432:15 434:11 437:8 438:6,8 439:1 464:18 meaning 290:12 292:20 375:23 390:8 423:6 means 276:16 311:21 398:10 meant 292:21 299:1 314:9 379:17 392:21 410:11 418:5 441:12 444:12 measure 280:23 measured 300:10 413:19 418:3 419:5 420:19 429:6 430:15	measures 272:15 285:1 measuring 398:12 meet 323:4 388:18 meeting 379:5 meets 346:23 347:6 446:21 melt 391:19 member 225:17 members 222:3 226:7 mention 440:8 448:23 476:11 mentioned 235:20,22 240:24 277:2 284:18 288:6 303:10,14,24 304:20 305:13 307:3 308:9,24 309:5 314:7 339:21 372:13 378:12 389:5 398:16 418:10 422:12 449:1 mentioning 430:7 mentions 380:17 mentoring 360:21	met 382:17,17 metals 303:23 304:21 305:17 306:5 309:5 349:14,17,21 357:15,17 meters 471:10 method 279:14 284:24 285:1 300:9 methodologies 279:6 280:15 methods 276:17,20 353:9 metrics 468:2 michigan 449:21 microfilm 390:5 microphone 225:8 microscopic 290:23 microscopy 279:12 290:19 mid 437:18 middle 391:7 midway 445:15 445:18 midwest 365:6 migrate 419:8 421:15 451:12 461:4
---	--	---	--

[migrates - mow]

Page 46

migrates 412:14	443:8 444:24	molybdenum 438:3	morning 227:19 249:3
migrating 421:10	minimize 324:12	molybdeum 447:24	477:12
migration 451:4,9,16,23 452:1 454:8	minimum 394:13,23 399:3,21	moment 258:23 304:6 345:14	mosby 223:4 225:7,12,12
miles 468:11 469:1	minority 470:10	441:4 447:1 474:21	move 241:14 254:16 257:14
mind 394:9	minute 267:17 302:24 329:13	monitor 386:20 387:15	273:10 283:21 290:19 299:23
mine 241:22 365:10	475:8,23 477:5 477:7	monitored 307:19	311:9 316:19 333:23 368:17
minerals 283:15 296:12	minutes 350:6 400:18 474:4	monitoring 228:9 272:14	393:4 409:21 411:11 424:13
minimal 462:21	missed 263:18	279:15 362:11	424:13 431:8
minimis 250:12 262:13 270:12	missing 395:19	362:11 365:13	431:24 434:19
293:8 311:12	mix 341:6	365:17,18,20	454:7 461:24
312:1,3,8,23	mixed 242:15 251:24 256:14	367:3,16,17,21	476:2
313:1,3,9,24	256:17 341:3	368:1 376:6	moved 269:16
317:4 336:2	model 410:21 412:4,5,9	378:22,23	movement 319:21 398:7
345:15 348:14	439:21,23,24	380:14,17,20	moves 476:4
403:10 424:24	451:23 461:2,2	380:24 381:2,3	moving 232:18
425:18,21,24	modeled 420:20,20	381:13 388:8	233:12 236:13
426:2,22	432:9 439:16	389:12,19	238:3 239:22
427:13,17,24	466:17,24	394:12,18	242:10 308:21
428:21 429:17	modeling 365:16 451:19	395:1,3,8,23	309:14 320:6
429:18 430:9	454:10 467:4	396:2,14	323:9 354:24
430:10,19	models 451:24	398:23 399:1,6	394:6 416:8
431:10,11	molten 295:19 295:20	399:7,12,16	418:9 422:23
432:2,14,18,22		400:1,2,4	426:17 428:19
432:22 433:21		416:20,23	429:15 455:9
434:9 441:5		464:8,10,11	457:21 469:22
442:10,13		months 398:12 399:3,23	mow 236:6,7

[muddy - normal]

Page 47

muddy 236:2 251:19 252:7 252:16,17,18 252:19 265:7 265:10,11 multiple 276:19,20,22 277:2 279:9	304:3 nature 234:4 327:21 328:2 330:11 342:24 345:3 365:8 441:8 442:13 near 413:20 417:11 nearby 437:12 458:16 nearest 468:13 necessarily 264:19,23 281:21 288:3 290:9 299:10 304:1,3 320:19 320:20 321:17 324:22 327:2 necessary 312:3 335:19 335:21 366:11 386:9 396:20 396:20 422:10 necessitate 429:10 need 249:19 281:10 289:13 299:7 315:19 320:12,14,15 321:11 333:12 337:19 349:1 396:9 399:11 408:16 461:7 472:17,19	475:10 needed 228:16 257:13 381:7 396:6,15,19 397:21 420:10 needs 268:24 269:21 297:23 321:10 366:13 380:2 457:5 467:17,18 negative 471:3 negatively 302:11 negligible 431:15 442:15 neibergall 222:16 223:8 223:11,12,16 249:2 260:3,8 260:22 261:1,8 263:2,9,10 264:7 266:20 273:15 329:18 329:20 336:10 346:13,15 348:12 349:22 358:3,9,24 368:23 400:19 400:22 406:9 443:13,16,20 445:13,16 448:9,15,19 454:12 474:4,7 474:16 475:10	475:19 476:9 477:4,15 neighboring 324:21 325:2 net 453:11,11 457:24 network 365:18 neutral 300:10 never 240:21 292:20 298:16 320:15 352:1 429:1,20 431:12 432:4 459:16 new 361:10 374:22 438:20 nitrogen 279:11 284:19 284:22 285:2,6 286:20,22,24 288:7,13,15,24 289:23 340:9 noise 469:11 nominate 411:4 non 255:14,17 433:21 434:9 438:2 nope 239:5 248:19 255:9 normal 294:7 297:8 298:7 441:11
n			
n 223:1 227:21 227:22 268:8 360:2,2 449:14 name 225:11 227:20,21,21 268:7 270:21 280:4 359:24 401:13 449:12 narrative 368:16 narrow 244:20 244:24 245:10 245:24 246:12 246:21 249:8 327:10 329:24 350:16 national 457:7 nationwide 434:21 natural 274:20 349:3 naturally 283:15 296:10 296:14 297:7			

[normally - officer]

Page 48

normally 256:18 north 221:12 222:18,21 235:21 241:16 246:6 248:8 325:14,15,17 326:4,13,14 327:8 386:1 397:9 455:15 455:16 460:8 northeast 237:7 460:8 northern 234:8 460:21 462:13 466:18 northwest 237:11 note 289:9 314:8 328:7 339:18 noted 247:2 314:8,8 317:21 319:17 334:21 352:23 407:18 412:1 464:3,20 464:21 notes 294:3 notice 378:7,7 378:12,12,15 378:16,20 notification 389:9	noting 238:17 npdes 239:1,4 320:17,21 323:4,6 374:24 385:22 457:8 npdes's 264:15 nuisance 469:10 number 226:14 226:14,14,15 226:16 235:18 245:23 314:23 344:13 356:2 371:19 380:17 391:5 402:15 408:6 422:21 435:4 436:9,16 439:18 445:21 450:10,12 451:8 numbered 390:7 numbers 282:17 296:19 307:10 315:1,3 315:3 316:22 342:8 355:10 457:1 460:12 numerical 475:7	o'clock 225:4 359:13 object 259:22 objection 273:14,15 336:4 368:22 368:23 406:9 454:12 475:19 475:20 476:8,9 observation 258:10 observed 465:16 obtain 285:4 299:6 348:24 obtained 292:8 293:2,24 295:2 300:20 312:11 obviously 347:16,22 462:22 occasionally 313:16 occasions 450:10 occur 245:7 332:17 399:12 408:9 410:10 412:15,24 414:8,10,15,17 414:19 415:8,9 417:11 436:3 458:8 468:22 468:23	occurred 247:12 336:19 340:1,22 353:14 355:4 355:19,22 381:3 430:15 432:17 occurring 283:15 296:10 296:15 297:8 304:4 381:17 october 242:19 376:4 offered 476:5 offering 276:23 office 239:17 378:14 382:19 382:21 officer 221:13 225:3 227:7,12 228:21 260:6 260:13,20,23 266:21 267:13 267:15,18,21 267:24 273:10 273:13 329:12 329:15 336:7 348:10 349:24 350:7,10 358:11 359:1,4 359:7,12,17 368:17,21,24 400:17,21,24 401:3,5 406:5
	o		
	o 225:13 227:21		

[officer - once]

Page 49

406:10 443:11	256:4,8,14,17	351:10 352:3	406:10,24
443:15 448:11	256:22 258:1	352:11,24	407:12,15,23
448:17,21	258:15 259:12	353:17,20,23	408:2 409:10
449:5 454:6,13	259:15 260:20	354:3 356:13	410:2 411:20
474:6,9,13,18	261:14,21	358:11,18	412:20 413:11
474:22 475:2,3	262:1 266:18	359:1,4 360:2	415:2,17,22
475:5,18,21	267:15 271:23	361:4,9 363:8	416:1,5 417:20
476:1,7,10,17	273:16 280:5	363:15 365:21	418:14 420:17
476:22 477:3,6	285:10 289:18	367:12 368:10	421:6 423:7
477:9,17	290:1,12,18	368:13,17	429:15 431:1
offline 292:7	291:15 292:6	369:24 370:3,6	431:20 432:12
oftentimes	292:23 297:3	370:10 371:12	435:13 436:14
284:16 287:4	298:21 299:16	371:21 373:15	437:19 438:15
296:13,14	303:14 304:5	374:3,19 375:8	438:23 440:20
298:17 302:17	308:20 309:2	376:14,19,21	441:18,20
308:8 316:9	310:16 311:11	378:11,16,19	443:15 445:3
320:21	311:15 312:17	379:6,9,12	445:20 446:10
oh 225:10	313:6 314:13	381:12 382:11	447:7,15,17,23
234:14 254:2	315:4 316:3,7	382:20 384:11	448:5,14,21
275:14 280:12	321:6 322:16	386:18,19	453:23 454:19
289:13 316:2	322:18,24	387:23 389:11	455:5 464:6
342:8 350:7	325:17,18	390:4,13 391:9	474:2,22 475:2
354:23 367:2	328:13,18,23	391:18 392:6	475:8,22
391:11 392:21	330:6,17 331:1	392:13,24	476:22,24
398:1 400:6	332:20 333:1	393:6,9,24	477:3,17
447:12 448:4	333:12,20,23	394:2,6,10,11	oklahoma
460:9,18	334:16 335:16	396:18 397:1	268:13
469:18	336:1,10	397:20 398:3	old 373:1,2
okay 225:12	338:14,16	398:21 399:11	older 291:22,24
227:8 249:7	339:4 340:16	400:10,14,16	once 239:1
250:6,9,13,24	341:7 342:16	400:24 402:13	247:5 324:14
251:10,14,17	343:3 345:13	403:1,24 404:3	331:5 395:3
252:3,15 253:6	346:1,21 347:8	404:11,15	397:9 411:4
254:9,18,21	348:1 351:1,5	405:21 406:2	432:4 457:8

[onerous - pages]

Page 50

onerous 389:2 389:3 ones 240:8,9 264:2,14 355:1 373:4 379:20 open 390:22 operate 275:1 275:18 operated 340:4 364:17 372:21 376:23 383:18 383:20 operating 257:17 361:11 364:18 373:13 374:4,17,20 375:9,13 394:19 395:9 395:15 396:7 432:6 433:9 455:16 operation 238:5 242:14 294:1,7 312:12 336:21 368:19 453:10 458:2 459:16 461:18 462:21 468:7 469:1,21 470:5 472:6 operational 235:2,11 236:3 240:17 456:6 463:22	operations 273:12 312:19 340:6 429:2,21 432:5 442:14 442:16 operator 394:17 opinion 276:5 276:23 278:7 278:11,21 281:23 290:11 294:16 296:17 297:19 307:6 318:11 321:13 427:12 opinions 388:20 optical 291:2,3 293:2,4 294:8 294:11,17 optimize 450:9 option 473:8 options 450:23 450:23 order 232:16 246:18 281:10 299:2,8 319:23 324:12 339:20 349:11 355:5 372:7 393:3 407:13 460:6 461:8 473:14 475:7	orders 316:15 356:11 organic 234:9 235:20 236:3 240:5 263:21 266:11 283:2,5 285:14 287:24 288:20 297:18 298:2 organics 285:16 296:12 298:7 357:4,7 organism 424:11,12,12 outage 355:22 outages 433:6 outfall 239:1,4 239:4 323:7 outlays 226:9 outliers 309:7 outlined 466:3 outside 243:11 250:2 259:23 260:1 298:6 326:17 344:19 346:18 377:14 overall 226:18 278:21 284:12 overburden 241:21 242:6 overcalculation 318:12 overexcavate 393:2	overflow 459:23 oversaw 404:9 oversee 228:9 402:4 own 443:1 owner 394:17
p			
p.m. 359:11 477:19 packet 267:7 pad 257:20 page 223:3 293:22 294:19 302:1 322:8 325:14 333:14 341:8,13,14 342:4,5,8 343:8 345:15 346:6,7,12 370:3 380:9,15 380:16,16,18 390:6,14,17 392:14,18,19 414:4 443:16 445:7,22,22,24 445:24 446:3,3 446:3 447:9,21 457:1 460:12 464:6,7 466:8 pages 221:10 390:5			

paper 254:12 451:15 453:18 papers 451:15 paragraph 346:9 445:8 parameters 309:22 460:15 pardon 263:1 453:2 paren 390:18 390:19,19,22 390:23 part 221:6 243:20 254:11 258:11 262:17 262:24 263:3,6 264:15,22 272:16 291:9 296:9,14 297:15 299:4 301:17 314:9 318:14 323:3 328:9 333:10 349:17 351:7 355:18 357:23 358:21 361:2 361:13 364:24 366:15 367:4 369:20 374:10 374:12 376:9 376:20,22 377:17 378:8 379:8,10,13,21 381:13 382:15	382:22 387:21 387:24 388:1 388:15,15 389:6 394:12 394:20 396:3 396:14,18 412:17 418:10 419:16 425:9 431:4 435:1 439:15,16 440:9 444:5,9 444:10,18 459:12 460:3 461:23 473:3,6 partial 334:12 participate 243:21 participation 389:9 particle 293:16 302:11,12 particles 266:15 295:1 357:1,1 particular 269:22 306:19 352:4 357:17 369:20 397:23 398:18 450:6 particularly 232:12 324:3 329:8 466:14 partners 365:5	parts 371:10 378:9 380:22 389:7 pass 240:7,9 264:2 375:4 398:11 419:13 passed 225:23 226:24 355:10 past 390:4 391:5 path 242:7 pathway 412:12,12,23 413:8,10,12 414:1,20,23 423:16,17 pathways 410:22 411:15 412:2,20,22 413:4,7 414:2 414:12,13 419:24 420:6 420:14 422:7 435:5,18,21 436:4,9,14 pay 254:10 pennsylvania 401:18 people 296:6 315:18 337:22 359:9 382:9 384:9 percent 226:18 226:19 234:14	237:2 252:6,10 252:22,24 259:4,5,10,11 261:4 265:3 281:6 285:1,21 285:23 286:2,8 286:8,9 287:10 287:13,16,17 287:20 288:3 298:16 322:3 334:21,22 335:1,2 352:14 383:5 437:7 percentage 234:13 percentages 352:20 percentile 437:6,8,15,16 437:23 438:4,4 438:8,24,24 439:6 440:15 440:17 perfect 233:5 perfectly 238:1 perform 277:8 411:5,12 421:16 455:7 performance 388:18 performed 261:17 282:6 437:3
---	---	--	--

performing 418:11 422:2,4 472:2	323:7 permitting 269:7 360:23 361:10	359:14 476:19 ph 247:21 309:22	263:20,21 264:3 283:9 328:9,15 375:5 375:6,6 465:18
perimeter 370:24	perry 240:9 255:21	phenomena 319:19	piles 240:6 263:20 264:1,1 265:24
period 257:11 327:23,24 329:9 331:7,16 354:16 397:4 430:18 440:3	person 260:16 263:7 271:21	photo 237:22 331:13,14	pinched 226:4 pinckneyville 255:21
periodically 434:5 440:4	personally 332:6	photographs 318:24 319:4 371:19	pipe 232:1 pipes 249:22
periods 257:13 433:1 434:14	perspective 351:18	photos 317:22 317:23 319:9 330:19,21,23 331:9,10,11	place 374:5 376:6 411:5 426:4 438:11 471:23 472:1,5 472:11,17,24
permit 243:21 244:12 320:7 320:12,13,17 320:24,24 321:8,12,12 323:4 348:24 349:4,4,6,7,9 349:11 362:5 373:14,20,21 374:24 376:1 382:18 388:22 392:22 394:19 395:9,15 396:7 457:8,8	pertinent 268:19	phragmites 297:23 298:1 357:7	placed 253:17 291:19,20 292:14,16,17 326:22 327:17
permits 320:7 320:22 348:18 349:1 362:10	petition 221:4 224:7 228:14 228:18 262:18 263:3,7 270:2 270:5 363:1,4 363:13 370:8 371:7 377:24 402:23 403:7 427:13 442:10 452:4 476:13 476:19	physical 372:13 384:14	placement 367:24
permitted 320:16 321:6,7 321:7,14,17	physics 449:20 pick 257:11 329:16 picked 295:11 picture 262:16 262:20,22 384:24 piece 334:7,9 429:11 461:1 pile 231:2 232:18,21 236:24 237:5,9 238:10 263:11	places 299:20 plan 224:5 369:21,21,22 371:1 377:20 378:1,5 379:15 379:22 380:4,5 380:10,13,14 380:14,20 381:3,12 382:4 382:7,13,22 383:14 384:6	
	petitioner 222:10,13 227:16 267:22 268:4 359:15 359:21 401:6,7 401:10 449:3,9 476:2,4 petitioner's 224:3 227:9		

[plan - pond]

Page 53

399:1	299:6,10	231:14,15,19	266:3 267:3
plant 228:1	340:14 342:3	231:19 232:2,2	275:5,5 277:19
231:2 234:6,17	350:22 430:21	232:5,19 233:1	278:15 279:4,9
240:4 245:1	430:23 442:18	233:2,2,8,9,10	279:19,20,20
250:2 275:3	plot 288:15	233:13,19	279:20,21
279:1 283:15	plus 295:22,23	234:8 235:5,9	281:3,4,8,8,9
312:11 321:10	342:12,12	235:14,21,23	281:11,14,16
329:2 340:6	421:12	236:7,7,11,14	281:20,20,24
358:14 373:5	point 231:11,16	236:15 237:3	282:1,7,10,11
429:1,3,21	232:19,23	237:10 238:1,3	283:3,22
432:5 433:8	233:1,8 239:14	238:4,5,9,12,16	284:12,13,15
442:2,14	261:11 373:10	238:20 239:7	286:19 287:9
455:16	374:15 385:3	239:15,23	287:13,13,17
plant's 329:8	389:22 408:12	240:11 241:12	291:7,7,7,8
plants 328:21	411:7 415:11	241:16,17	294:20 297:1
329:6 436:8	415:23 421:4	246:4,5,13,20	297:15 299:11
please 225:7,10	pointed 315:19	247:17 248:4,9	300:21,21,22
227:19 234:19	pointing 233:3	248:14 250:20	300:22 301:9
268:1,7,9	465:5	250:21,21	301:14,16,16
272:4 298:21	points 407:9	251:1,6,7,17	301:20,22
359:18,24	polarized	252:6,13,13,18	302:2,3,4
360:4 364:12	279:12 290:19	252:24 253:1,3	303:3,3,6,10,11
365:21 383:12	290:24	253:6,9,11,11	304:12,12,12
401:13,15	policies 228:10	253:12,14,20	305:14,15,19
404:16 424:18	policy 226:11	254:16,22	306:2,3,9
425:4 435:15	polish 375:4	255:1,2 257:4	308:13 309:17
443:17 449:6	polishing 347:5	258:17,24	310:1,2,6,13,18
449:12 451:6	pollutant 457:7	259:1,4,5,11,24	312:22 313:17
plm 290:19,21	pollution 221:1	260:16 261:2,3	313:23 314:4
291:6,9 293:4	295:12 365:1	261:15,17,18	314:14 317:13
293:6 294:15	469:10 470:13	261:21 263:11	318:1,1,6,7
294:24 298:11	470:14	263:19 264:13	319:16,21
298:11,13,15	pond 230:20	264:15,18	321:22,22
298:19,23	231:1,3,6,12,12	265:1,8,22	322:7,11,13,19

[pond - posed]

Page 54

322:20,22	457:14,15,21	281:3,7,12,15	428:4,16
323:1,1,2,3,5	458:2,2,5,10,22	281:19 282:21	429:11,22,24
324:19,20	459:1,11,16,18	283:10,16	432:19 433:1
325:2,5 326:1	459:19,19,20	284:15 285:12	433:13 434:7
327:4 328:10	459:21,22,23	285:14 287:11	434:11 442:3,4
329:2,3 334:17	460:1 461:12	287:15,21	443:8 444:8
335:1,2,18	461:14,16,18	291:6 293:8	459:21,22
338:1,14,18,21	461:24 462:3,5	295:2 297:19	462:14 472:15
338:23 341:16	462:16,19,22	298:3,17 299:5	pools 257:6
341:19,21	463:3,9,13,22	303:2,7,15	poorest 226:12
343:12,14,17	464:16,17,19	306:20,20	population
343:24 344:3,3	465:3,4,14,19	307:22 312:4	226:17,18,19
347:5,6,6,14	465:20 466:4	312:18 313:14	299:8 408:9
351:2 352:14	466:13,23	313:19,21	470:10
352:15,15	467:11,13,16	314:10 315:14	portion 244:21
353:24 354:4,5	467:22 468:7,9	315:15 316:18	247:8 255:16
354:6,8,14	468:15 469:5	317:4,8,16	255:17 283:3
358:14 385:24	469:21,24	319:13 321:21	293:7 303:11
386:1,2 397:11	471:23 472:1	322:22 329:6,8	306:2 337:16
410:2,3,3,3,4	pond's 314:16	336:3 337:20	343:7 357:8
417:6,6,12	ponding 247:9	337:22 339:23	372:23 377:6,7
423:3,3,4,4	247:11 257:6	340:4 344:3	377:11 384:18
427:16,16,16	ponds 231:7	345:1,2,6,7	430:22 443:22
427:16 428:6	250:4 257:3	348:13,16	445:11 450:17
428:19,21	259:16,17,21	351:19,20	462:23 466:18
429:1,6,15,17	261:5,15 262:2	352:17 353:2	portions
431:8,9,10,14	262:4,8,12,17	353:14 354:24	281:20 352:16
431:24 432:2,7	262:23 263:3,4	355:2 356:1	362:9 381:5
432:21,22	263:6 270:11	357:6,20,22	pose 423:4
433:18,22,23	270:13 275:20	386:7 403:9	442:24 443:5
452:15,24	275:22 276:4	410:8,12	posed 420:5,13
453:10 455:12	277:18 278:23	422:20 423:2	428:4 437:2
455:13,14	279:1,22 280:1	427:7,9,17,18	443:3
456:3,4,5,16,20	280:2,3,7,8	427:23 428:1,3	

[position - presence]

Page 55

position 228:5 228:11 268:17 269:10 360:10 360:11 364:14 374:23 383:10 384:21 401:24 positive 319:15 positively 302:10 possible 298:5 317:23 329:2 337:1 338:4 344:2,4 396:15 413:14 438:18 possibly 226:2 387:8 457:17 465:4 post 272:13,13 380:17 457:13 potential 226:21 288:17 365:15 408:16 412:13 417:11 420:10 427:22 428:4 436:6 458:7,17 461:8 468:2,3 potentially 296:10 310:11 345:6 386:22 388:8,11 428:3 459:18 464:15 464:18 467:17 471:5 473:4	poverty 226:11 470:11 power 221:4 228:4 275:3 312:11 328:21 329:2,6 370:14 370:18 371:17 453:18 powerpoint 224:11,12,13 224:13,14 229:23 230:3,9 230:12,14,19 273:18,22 274:5,8,10 279:5,23 294:19 299:24 302:2 304:15 342:6 343:9 369:3,7,13,17 369:19 370:1,4 371:5 406:13 406:19 407:1,5 407:7 409:11 411:23 416:8 428:19 434:19 454:16,22 455:3,5,10,10 464:7 466:9 powerpoints 475:11,12 practical 351:18	practice 442:22 450:17 451:17 prairie 241:20 241:22 328:9 328:15 preamble 311:23 346:16 347:2 426:5 444:13,14,20 446:23 precede 345:22 446:2 preceded 296:1 339:7 425:15 preceding 312:5 314:11 333:1 430:13 444:17 precipitation 247:10,13 261:13 324:13 324:15 331:21 391:17,22 392:11 precise 277:21 predicated 250:3 predominantly 233:21,24 234:7,12 235:21 prefer 320:1 350:4	preferred 335:10 preliminary 269:5 premier 408:20 preparation 371:11 398:24 454:10 prepare 228:17 229:10 270:1 320:21,22 362:24 366:9 371:8 379:16 384:5 402:22 452:3,19 prepared 230:9 263:7 274:5 310:24 363:16 363:18 364:8 369:13 371:1,9 371:13,15 377:20 378:2 379:23 402:24 407:1,10 452:14 455:4 preparing 228:13 229:7 243:21 404:6 preponderance 276:18 presence 283:1 304:1 357:18 384:17 385:7 385:24 386:1,2
--	---	--	---

417:21 present 222:3 230:21 235:5 246:8 277:21 290:16 296:19 310:20,20,21 320:19 327:23 331:7,9,12 334:4 350:17 422:17 427:23 428:16 429:5 434:4 441:9 442:21 474:20 475:1 477:1 478:6 presentation 230:21 280:16 287:7 305:5 308:18 311:10 316:19 380:9 409:22 427:19 428:9 461:19 462:1 presented 296:19 298:12 300:23 301:9 308:17 presents 455:6 president 225:14 360:11 pretty 236:8 275:2 285:19 308:4 312:10 340:7 459:2	prevent 387:14 previous 289:21 314:15 375:8 previously 361:2 372:21 476:12 prey 424:12 primarily 238:5,11 245:3 270:11,20,21 379:11 450:5,7 primary 271:17 313:14 323:2 365:5,24 404:3 427:7 431:13 432:6 433:23 442:1 444:8 452:17 452:18 453:17 463:11,21 468:7 principal 268:19,20 270:14 360:13 360:15,17 402:1,2,4 449:24 450:2,3 principally 402:5 principals 360:19,20 prior 242:19 269:9 340:18	361:20,24 374:1 private 413:14 413:21 probabilistic 437:4 probably 256:10 262:21 272:6,7,19 275:14,15 276:3 282:16 282:17 298:22 319:18,19 324:17 335:18 337:3 354:18 356:2 357:4,21 370:12 391:7 408:20 413:18 447:2 450:15 450:17,18,19 453:14 456:18 465:10,17 procedure 408:5 procedures 260:14 277:15 proceed 474:20 proceeding 230:17 271:10 325:1 336:2 364:5 368:9 397:5 399:2 477:11	proceedings 221:11 225:1 478:8,11 process 239:17 242:1 249:22 250:2 257:9 258:3,6 285:6 295:11,19 323:4 342:24 344:13 381:1 427:21 438:16 453:16 455:6 469:15 processes 277:15 279:8 produced 227:15 268:3 308:5 359:20 401:9 449:8 451:15 455:1 produces 261:4 261:13 product 402:7 402:17 professional 273:8 275:12 277:14 278:2 313:6 368:14 375:11 383:11 383:13 449:21 454:4 professionals 268:22
--	--	--	--

[profile - putting]

Page 57

profile 390:8,8 429:8 431:16 437:4,10 438:20 program 365:17,19 378:23 388:10 388:23 389:1 394:19 395:8 395:23 program's 394:13 programs 361:13 367:17 376:6 389:13 389:20 progressively 269:16 prohibitive 335:11 project 360:20 360:20 projects 450:5 450:12 451:9 promulgated 362:18 376:10 proper 393:16 properly 409:19 properties 291:2,3 293:2 294:9,11,18 337:2	property 326:10 propose 379:23 proposed 225:20 378:6 380:5 394:18 proposing 387:19 475:15 protect 418:5 protection 222:16 348:2 349:17 373:19 413:20 416:22 417:1 418:4 459:14 463:16 463:18,20 464:2,5,20,22 465:13,22 466:5,6 protective 418:7 prove 262:12 provide 243:5 272:21 289:3 348:16 368:2 368:13 372:10 385:3 403:6 409:8 410:5 426:21 455:21 461:12 provided 229:18 230:16 236:21 246:17 256:6 274:13	282:3 312:20 313:1,2 344:16 346:16 351:7,9 363:4,9,12 368:8 371:16 379:1 384:8 404:24 418:23 428:14 444:19 450:10,24 provides 409:3 426:16 428:15 providing 229:8 424:18 426:20 proximate 443:2 proximity 343:24 public 223:3 225:6 389:8 publish 468:21 publishes 468:19 pulaski 226:14 pull 445:16 pump 231:19 231:20,20,21 231:22 232:4,6 232:11 257:24 pumping 255:3 pumps 231:19 232:17 pure 294:4,13	purple 280:7,8 purpose 247:23 248:2 291:16 292:23 300:3 311:5 317:16 324:1,3,8 358:7 380:19 385:19 387:6 391:12 394:2 394:23 422:18 424:19,20 purposes 274:23 282:4 293:4 337:23 350:21 353:1 365:17 385:21 386:8 391:8 392:10 395:6 395:14,22 423:20 424:23 pushing 232:12 put 234:11 241:18 252:18 297:10 300:10 315:20 351:2 372:19 385:5 386:22,23 396:10 408:6 408:21 439:20 452:11 putting 243:8 277:9 304:5 308:22 354:7 441:4
--	---	---	--

q	quantity 315:15	quick 400:18	rate 398:6,17
qualifications 368:9 406:3	quarter 253:9	quickly 249:5	451:13 460:17
qualified 403:10 424:24	253:13 265:5	398:11	468:21,22
qualify 427:13	quarters 252:5	quit 374:17,24	470:11
427:17 430:19	quartz 296:10	quite 247:22	rates 451:12
454:7	298:8 357:11	315:20 316:9	468:19
quality 303:5,6	question 235:18 240:12	317:23 330:7	rather 346:24
303:9 304:10	245:23 261:2	366:17 371:10	431:4 446:22
304:10 305:16	262:1,15,17	372:6 384:13	461:11
305:22 306:6	264:3 278:1	quitting 374:20	ratio 287:1
306:10,11,21	285:8 297:1	quote 263:16	288:15
306:22 307:4,6	326:5,7 328:5	293:24 294:4	rationale 466:2
309:8 310:1	332:4 334:13	322:11 390:22	rcra 361:13,14
323:6 395:5,21	348:9,18 358:4	r	reach 259:10
395:24 418:6	373:8 446:10	r 225:12 227:22	281:13 422:24
458:9,9,10	questionable 414:23	364:21 401:14	reached 307:20
459:11 463:4,7	questions 235:18,19	449:14	440:4
463:10 466:3	241:15 247:14	ra 431:17,20	read 258:22
466:11,14	260:18 263:10	radium 447:24	289:14,22
467:2,7,11,14	264:7 266:19	rains 400:11	340:7 347:3
472:9,10,10,11	266:22 291:14	rainwater 331:21	380:2 417:6
472:12	329:11 334:3	raised 245:22	433:8 445:21
quantify 253:8	349:22 350:14	328:6 335:17	452:11
265:14 408:6	358:1,9 359:2	373:7	reading 260:4
437:16	359:4 382:16	ramifications 225:24	reads 230:3
quantifying 265:16 408:8	394:8 443:10	range 253:10	273:24 289:16
quantitative 299:2 425:23	446:16 448:9	286:8 354:14	369:8 406:19
	448:12,24	402:6 440:12	454:23
	474:3,11	440:13	ready 227:8
		rapidly 295:19	267:15 359:13
			445:9 449:2
			real 276:3
			317:24

realize 226:20 457:1 really 241:20 253:8,10 261:12 269:4 274:12 280:23 282:19 293:1,3 293:11,12 294:10,16 295:20 296:13 296:23 297:2 297:14 298:23 300:15 309:21 309:23 311:22 311:24 313:13 315:22 317:22 318:18,19 328:2 337:19 344:18 347:11 355:6 357:4 381:10 404:20 411:6,8 416:14 419:6,8,10 441:12 442:15 443:2 461:1 462:21 465:1 465:15 466:5 reason 225:14 232:7 264:20 281:24 299:4 321:12 327:3 338:6 352:5,5 386:2 413:17 463:11 468:8	472:7 reasonable 276:13,24 277:10 278:21 296:17 297:10 reasonably 411:10 reasoned 414:24 reasoning 415:10 reasons 313:20 317:11 321:9 351:5 389:4 443:6 rebecca 222:20 rec 224:3 recall 255:23 333:6 353:15 receive 234:4 238:9,12 246:20 247:24 312:4 314:10 322:12 427:8,9 429:3 433:2,12 441:23 442:13 444:8,16 received 231:7 242:14 429:1 429:20 431:12 432:4 433:23 434:12 459:16 receives 231:2 255:2 263:11	314:14 459:22 receiving 242:19 442:1 recent 356:6 recently 402:19 receptor 414:10 424:9 424:15 461:9 receptors 408:11 411:14 414:9 418:7,19 419:21 422:1 423:6,10 424:2 435:6 436:18 436:19,21,22 437:11 461:5,5 461:6 recess 267:20 329:14 359:11 401:4 448:20 474:8 recessed 477:18,19 recognize 228:23 229:14 230:5 244:3 272:24 274:2 368:4 369:10 369:11 406:21 454:24 recognizes 312:2 recollection 311:4 331:1	333:7 recombusted 234:6,22 239:11 recommend 400:1 recommenda... 229:7 247:3 314:20 315:1 316:14,16 317:7,20 319:1 319:6 326:20 record 225:4 227:20 234:18 239:3 247:7,15 265:19 267:4 267:22 329:16 359:13 360:1 401:6 417:7 435:19 448:22 449:1 474:10 474:23 475:16 475:22,23,24 476:2,24 477:4 477:7,8,10 478:7 records 254:9 254:12,14 255:8,23 256:4 264:17 338:11 338:15 339:2 339:24 recreation 413:1
--	---	--	---

[recreational - related]

Page 60

recreational 458:20 470:24 471:4	referring 237:15 266:7 269:18 296:16 319:5 370:1 373:2,9 391:2 391:20,21,22 426:18	336:16 349:13 350:19 358:4 368:14 374:5 382:13 383:3 420:5,13 442:9 455:22 466:11	373:18,23,24 375:16,19 376:7,10,15 379:10,24 383:9 386:21 388:16 434:24 451:2
recreators 419:20 420:1	refers 317:4 363:17 425:22 442:9	regardless 347:4 416:23 419:6	regulatory 312:21 313:2 346:24 361:17 364:22 365:10 374:5,13 375:10,11 379:19 380:11 404:24 405:3 408:19 425:21 446:21 450:10
recross 223:12 266:20 358:2	refers 317:4 363:17 425:22 442:9	regime 375:12 register 345:16 regs 228:10 379:5	
redirect 223:8 223:11,12 264:9,10 350:1 350:11,14 358:12 448:10	refined 411:13 411:17 420:11 421:17,19 422:7	regular 391:23 434:1	
redo 262:3 281:24	reflect 372:18 reflected 337:18	regulate 314:9 379:13 384:23 427:2 429:11	relate 289:5 300:5
redoing 335:17	reflection 320:3	regulated 296:2 312:6 313:12 364:19 364:23 374:22 375:6,12,16 376:2,16,17 431:7	related 226:8 268:23 269:20 269:21 270:10 270:11 272:5 272:10,12 291:13 296:9 318:3 334:10 357:6 362:22 362:23 364:13 365:9,22 366:5 367:9,13 392:11 402:5,8 402:20 403:8 404:16 405:7,7 411:22 416:2
reduced 261:6 261:12	reflective 317:8 refresh 311:4 331:1 333:7	regulating 399:5	
reduction 458:6 462:5,15 471:19	regard 249:8 regarding 242:23 273:8 278:22 283:1 289:4 296:18 297:18 301:8 302:21 305:14 306:1 307:1,22 309:19 310:17 316:17 317:3 327:14 332:4	regulation 366:20 426:10 regulations 333:11 361:13 361:17 362:21 366:12,19 367:18 373:12	
reference 291:4 293:9,12 294:17 315:22 318:5 380:14 426:2			
referenced 247:17 316:14 318:7 378:9 434:18			
referred 374:9 459:17			

[related - reports]

Page 61

417:4,7,10	rely 269:13	removed	302:5,22
425:6,7 436:5	278:12	233:20 234:2	308:12 310:24
436:5 450:14	relying 344:15	235:14,24	311:5,9 314:3
450:21 451:1	remain 229:4	238:24 239:7	314:4,5 328:7
465:4,19	229:20 363:24	241:2 248:3	334:21 337:5
466:22	364:10 456:6	252:9,18	337:10,14
relatedly	remainder	254:23 256:9	340:16 341:8
425:12	281:15 386:12	265:7 315:8	342:4 344:10
relates 271:14	remained	338:10 355:18	351:3,9 352:21
274:12 289:7	385:23	355:24 457:5,9	355:14,15
439:19	remaining	462:24 473:5	376:3 398:16
relationship	252:11	removing	404:9 423:7
308:2 327:3	remediation	239:14	424:17,19,20
relative 458:6	269:7 361:13	repeat 271:24	428:14 430:23
468:24	450:9	326:5	439:15 447:17
relatively	remedy 269:6	repeatedly	447:21 452:19
456:17,17	269:22	331:16	453:22 455:11
release 409:2	remember	rephrase 326:6	462:11 464:4
released 409:1	243:4 263:23	348:10 377:14	473:21,23
releases 458:8	299:4 316:21	473:22	475:16
462:19,22	removal 456:4	replying 385:3	reported
463:1 472:9	456:9,12,18,22	report 224:9	221:22
relevant 297:21	456:23 457:2,4	243:22 258:18	reporter
344:18 345:5	458:1 461:16	258:19,24	225:11 227:13
349:17 418:1	462:5,23 463:5	260:1,5,12,17	268:1 359:18
418:20,24	466:13 467:12	271:5,14,24	449:6 478:4
419:21 420:21	468:4,24	272:1 275:17	reporting
436:5 439:10	469:19 470:4	277:4,19	365:2
reliable 307:7	471:2 473:6,23	278:16,18	reports 224:10
353:3,5	475:20	279:4 283:22	244:6,9,12
relied 428:13	remove 239:19	285:19 288:10	270:22 274:14
440:1	248:4 249:13	293:22 294:3	360:22 402:24
relief 387:19	249:16 251:7	294:21 298:12	404:7,12
	314:23 392:2	300:23 301:10	407:10,13

[reports - rid]

Page 62

433:7 represent 295:23,24 representative 299:8 398:4,6 reproduced 283:23 reproduction 288:9 request 301:5 requested 310:16 requests 371:18 require 365:15 required 348:23 349:1 380:24 399:16 468:9,11 472:23 473:14 requirement 373:21 381:14 394:13,23 requirements 272:14 285:5 373:11,20 374:5 379:19 380:22 382:15 382:18,24 389:12 requiring 397:24 research 422:5 422:6,9 453:18	resident 225:18 residual 295:9 residuals 274:22 respect 323:9 respectfully 225:18 respond 370:16 385:4 response 229:7 229:11 244:2 260:3 271:8,10 311:2 334:3 364:5 394:7 435:16 476:20 responsive 366:11 rest 252:11 326:22 390:6 restoration 457:16 result 305:24 374:19 422:24 429:2,3 438:11 440:23 453:12 458:5,10,13,21 461:17 462:5 463:6,9 465:17 467:8,14 468:5 469:12,12,19 472:5 resulted 431:9 resulting 415:10,23	461:9 467:2 results 241:9 250:8 279:15 281:15,18 282:14,21 285:7 287:3,8 288:12 294:24 301:1 302:21 304:6,14 305:9 305:10,12 306:4,23 307:7 307:10,10 308:5,17,23 309:2,18 310:4 310:6,8 335:5 342:3 357:16 367:16 420:24 423:13 430:21 466:9 473:1 resumé 273:3 368:11 retain 324:12 retention 324:16,18 327:4 355:8 retired 225:14 475:15 retirement 227:3 retrofit 457:14 return 443:16 returned 252:8 252:9	reveal 302:21 revegetating 457:18 review 230:12 271:5 272:1 274:8 317:6 318:9 360:22 360:22 369:17 370:13 371:13 371:14,18 382:19 384:11 399:22,24 407:5 411:4 450:8 452:20 455:3 reviewed 311:6 314:19 346:1 351:1 353:10 384:20 404:13 405:1 428:1,2 460:5,14,19 470:17 471:8 reviewer 362:5 388:24 reviewers 388:20 reviewing 367:16 revisions 363:22 reworking 388:23 rid 239:12
---	--	--	--

[ridge - route]

Page 63

ridge 240:9 255:21 rig 351:17 right 225:3,8 232:17 249:5 251:12,15,19 258:17 259:1 262:9 272:20 279:3 280:13 280:14 283:3 283:21 285:11 286:17 288:16 289:1,3,16,17 292:11 293:22 294:21 295:7 298:10 299:21 300:2 302:7 303:12 304:17 305:23,24 306:23 309:14 310:23 314:7 314:11 316:1 316:21 323:13 326:19 328:11 328:21 329:10 329:15 330:20 331:22 334:23 335:12,14 338:20 340:5 344:10 345:14 345:23 347:14 347:23 350:13 350:14,23 351:3,14	352:21 353:18 353:21 354:2 359:7 362:8 364:3 368:4 369:6,12 376:9 381:23 387:17 389:22 390:7 390:16,20,20 391:4 393:18 394:15 399:21 406:16 407:19 407:20,22 409:20,23 410:13 412:3 412:18 415:19 416:3 418:12 419:17,24 421:17 424:16 428:5,18 431:24 441:16 443:9 444:7 445:12,23 446:5,8 447:10 452:6,24 454:13,17 455:9 456:10 471:18 473:7 rigorous 435:8 rise 427:1 429:9 risk 402:5,7,7,8 402:11 404:1 404:22 405:2,4 405:7 406:6,7	407:19 408:1,5 408:15,16,18 408:21,22,23 409:6,8,9,13,17 410:7,11 411:9 411:10,11,13 411:17,18 412:17 414:3 416:13 418:11 418:14 419:23 420:4,12 421:1 421:2,17,19 422:9,19 423:4 423:13,23,24 424:2,4,7 425:9,13 427:1 429:9,10,12,13 429:14 431:6 431:19,21 432:10,11,11 433:14,15,17 434:17,20,23 434:23 435:1,3 437:2,2,4,6,21 437:22 438:5,7 439:8,11,13,16 439:16,22 440:11 442:24 443:1,3,5 448:5 458:6,6 462:2,6,10,14 462:15,19,21 462:24 467:5 470:14 471:19	472:8 risks 404:18 408:6 415:3 420:5,7,13 421:24 422:5 423:18,21 425:7 428:4 430:4 431:18 434:21 437:5 438:1,2,14,22 440:23 458:4,7 458:13 462:12 462:16 468:17 468:23 rivers 280:19 rj 293:6,10 356:23 358:5 rmr 478:16 road 241:18 rock 231:22 rocky 242:6 role 322:11 360:18 room 230:5 274:2 369:10 406:21 roughly 253:1 253:15 265:4 341:21 round 246:24 rounds 397:3 route 265:14 326:3,3
--	--	---	--

routes 467:17 470:20 routine 233:16 264:15,18 266:7 355:19 433:4,24 routinely 293:10 rpr 221:22 478:16 rule 272:16 311:23 345:23 345:23 346:17 346:23 347:1 396:3 404:24 409:17 425:8,9 425:11,14,19 426:5,16 430:3 431:7,23 433:15 446:21 446:23 467:6 rulemaking 375:21 rulemakings 362:18 rules 364:20 374:22 376:2 381:9 425:2 runoff 231:2 232:18,19 234:5 236:24 237:5 238:10 263:11 276:3 283:9,14 297:8	298:7 324:3,4 324:6,9 326:18 357:10,14 393:7 436:22 runs 232:1 237:9 387:5 rural 225:17 s s 224:1 225:13 227:21 360:2,2 385:24 401:14 406:20 417:4,8 417:23 431:8 448:6 459:23 464:17,21 465:16,17,18 478:16 s's 360:3 safety 352:8 458:14 468:15 saline 226:15 325:8,11 326:14,16 413:6 414:15 414:24 415:3 415:12,20 418:1 420:3,21 421:1,11,13 424:1,2 460:18 466:15,19 sample 285:2,5 287:5,16 290:22,23	291:1,17,17,22 291:24 292:9 292:12,24 293:3,5,19,24 294:3,5,6,12,18 296:9,14 298:16 299:6,8 300:9,11,13 301:1,13,21 302:13 304:12 308:14 309:11 312:10,13,14 312:15 332:5 332:17 345:5 350:20 351:11 351:13,22,24 352:1,3,7 sampled 241:3 samples 269:14 287:12,18 290:16 291:4 291:10 292:8 293:10,12,13 295:2 296:23 299:3 300:18 300:20,21,21 300:22,24 301:3 302:4 303:15 304:7 308:9,15,23 309:3,9,16,17 332:12,15,18 340:19 342:21 344:18 345:10	345:10 350:20 351:6 394:14 394:24 395:4 397:4,21 398:2 398:5,23 464:11,11 465:14 sampling 241:1 241:6 267:2,3 351:19 sanitary 239:13 255:15,19 sarah 222:9 saturate 245:16 249:9 250:7 324:21 330:3 saturated 325:1 326:21 326:22 327:17 328:3 saw 226:17 383:20 saying 261:8 373:15 says 288:23 346:22 347:2 390:22 443:22 446:19 scale 284:7 scenario 437:14 438:5 439:13 456:1,2 456:8,13,15,20 462:6,17 463:1
---	--	---	--

[scenario - see]

Page 65

470:3,4,5 471:14 472:1 472:12 scenarios 437:18 438:1 438:18,21 456:3,7 458:4 scenic 458:20 470:24 471:3 schiff 222:8 science 228:1 402:21 sciences 450:4 scientific 276:13,24 277:10 278:22 296:17 297:11 423:8 scientists 268:23 404:8 scope 233:17 234:1 239:6 259:23 260:1 260:15 301:8 scraped 239:9 242:5 263:24 screen 274:2 369:10 406:21 screened 436:10,23 screening 411:5,17 418:11,14 419:4,13,23	420:4,12 421:23 422:11 435:8 436:17 467:9 scrubber 235:12,15 238:13 240:20 240:21 242:15 245:2 248:1,3 252:10 266:11 290:6,7,10,15 291:20 296:18 296:22,23 297:12,14 298:6 343:21 356:15,19 357:3 scrubbing 249:22 250:1 se 265:24 seasons 399:6 seat 227:12 second 228:14 239:20 380:15 388:24 390:6 416:14 417:10 444:15 476:13 476:19 secondary 238:5,11 312:4 313:17,23 314:9 322:13 427:8 434:7 442:3 444:15	459:18 seconds 350:9 section 241:18 333:10 390:18 sections 234:7 sector 226:8 sediment 234:1 238:17,21 239:6,15 248:3 251:7 265:3 275:22 276:2 280:19,24 282:19,22,23 283:6,11,17,19 284:2,4,9,12 286:23 287:12 288:4 298:14 301:19,20,21 302:16 308:13 308:15 314:23 317:13,21 318:4,10,12 319:12,24 321:21 328:8 328:10,14 336:15,18 337:8,11,12,13 337:15 338:3,7 338:8,10 339:7 339:12,16,18 339:22 341:16 348:3 353:7,12 354:4,8,15,20 354:21 355:4	355:18,24 356:4,7 414:11 420:23 424:3 431:2 456:18 459:4,5,6,10 462:23 463:17 466:6 467:17 469:3 473:9 sedimentation 239:20 254:23 sediments 282:20 285:12 286:19 287:9 287:21 293:7 299:1 302:22 303:7 305:20 306:9 317:18 317:19 322:3 339:10 342:20 348:16 357:8 398:9 457:9,10 463:13 468:8 472:15 see 237:4,14,15 240:7 242:2 243:7 250:20 258:1 262:22 264:1 270:21 276:8 284:5 287:2 290:2,9 290:13 297:8 305:15 306:16 306:19,21 308:2 309:6,7
---	---	--	--

[see - side]

Page 66

310:11 312:18 312:19 313:11 314:17 315:8 316:10 319:4 322:13 331:13 331:14 333:7 337:21 339:14 345:5 346:2,19 354:4,22 355:12 356:5,9 357:3,13,22 363:21 372:16 390:16,21,24 391:6 409:10 428:8 430:6 444:24 445:4 446:4 447:12 457:1 460:12 471:5 475:18 seeing 318:1 338:8 354:4 seek 320:11,13 seems 273:13 seen 226:3 315:24 316:4 321:2,3 323:16 324:23 325:3 356:5 397:2 426:4 selected 438:18 selecting 438:19 selenium 304:23	self 226:7 senate 362:18 send 258:12 sense 261:14 307:8 308:11 386:11 sent 292:15,20 356:21 440:2 459:19 sentence 347:8 347:9 446:19 sentinel 465:9 separate 292:4 301:2 345:2,7 417:3 separated 234:10 separately 386:11,21 387:11 september 376:3 series 322:22 323:3 served 225:15 402:15,17,19 450:11 serves 225:21 service 225:16 314:17 316:9,9 serviced 226:13 serving 402:14 set 231:21 247:14 312:1,2	386:21 416:12 434:21 437:24 460:19 478:12 sets 366:19 396:12 416:18 417:3 settle 313:14 settles 459:20 settling 337:2 375:3 427:7 444:8 seven 226:15 245:11 248:16 several 307:10 314:24 319:10 322:20 356:24 370:13,21 413:13 427:15 464:1,19 shaft 241:22 shake 279:14 299:20 300:3,4 300:8,11,14,18 301:19,20,23 302:6 304:17 305:10,12,24 306:4,24 309:3 309:16 340:12 344:17 349:13 350:21 357:16 459:8 463:12 465:7 shallow 396:12	shallower 413:21 shaped 247:17 shared 227:1 shoot 234:14 short 246:18 320:13 327:23 330:2 401:1 433:3 467:14 469:8,14,20 shorthand 407:21 416:5 478:4,8 show 229:14 244:1 247:4 250:8 262:16 262:21 283:24 336:2 348:5,13 showed 432:11 showering 436:3 showing 228:22 330:17 shown 279:7 287:14 288:14 288:16 304:14 323:19 339:8,9 470:12 shows 236:18 284:1 shrank 226:18 shut 261:10 side 231:22 233:4,4,10
---	---	---	---

[side - site]

Page 67

234:16,17	444:17,23,24	348:12 363:5	sit 225:9
235:21 237:13	445:4 450:16	363:11,23,24	site 243:21
241:16 397:17	significantly	364:4,8 369:7	244:11 269:22
413:17 464:18	294:9 442:22	370:7 374:8	297:9,9,22
sides 234:8	silly 387:13	377:24 378:17	325:23 344:10
263:24	similar 295:17	379:17 382:4	356:21 361:13
signature	329:4 388:5	387:18 394:7	371:19,20
478:15	389:19 390:12	394:11 403:16	373:17 395:6
signed 378:3,4	428:23 451:20	403:16,20	396:10 397:1
significance	453:13,16	405:23 406:17	397:22,23
360:23	472:23	424:17 442:9	398:18 399:18
significant	simple 275:2	452:7 454:20	405:4 410:9,10
232:4,9 236:14	308:4 376:1	475:15,16	410:23,23,24
275:19 276:1,4	simplistic	476:5	411:14 412:12
276:5 279:2	408:14	sipc's 228:14	413:20 416:2
284:16,16	simply 290:7	228:23 229:7	418:20 419:11
287:22 296:22	single 287:5	229:14 244:2	422:17 424:21
297:12,22	288:19	260:11 262:7	426:11 429:12
306:15 310:5,8	sinking 241:22	262:11,15	430:4 431:19
310:21 312:5	sinks 295:15	263:7 270:2,5	432:10 433:15
313:12 314:10	sipc 222:10,13	271:8 311:2	433:17 439:21
314:14,16	225:20,21	336:1 348:5	441:13 442:5
324:12 332:23	226:13 227:5	363:1,4,13	443:1,4 455:17
333:1 338:6	228:10 240:24	364:5 368:3	457:11,11,16
342:19 343:6	243:20 244:2,9	371:7 377:24	458:7 460:3,6
344:7 345:18	244:11 258:4	394:7 402:23	460:8,21 461:2
345:21 354:7,9	258:18 260:5	403:2 445:6	461:2 462:14
355:24 356:3	262:3,9 267:11	452:4	462:22 464:10
356:15 357:7	272:22 273:22	siphon 248:10	464:13 465:2
414:19 415:9	277:19 293:23	248:10	466:19 467:19
426:11,23	301:8 310:14	sir 249:3	468:10,13
427:10 429:4	311:1 317:4	256:16 258:23	470:19 472:16
429:22 434:6,8	328:7 332:8,16	339:1 344:5	472:19,20
434:13 440:10	344:12 346:2,7	474:16	473:5,6,9,10,14

[site - solids]

Page 68

473:17,19,23 473:24 sites 409:16,16 409:19 451:21 453:14 458:21 464:24 471:8 471:10,13 473:16 situated 440:23 situation 439:5 situations 438:13 siu 228:2 six 226:12,15 245:11 248:10 248:16 398:12 size 238:1 299:6 315:10 315:18 347:14 347:22 421:8 437:11 sizes 347:17 skipped 469:18 slag 295:5,16 295:18,22 333:21 342:12 slag's 295:17 slide 230:3,5,20 231:14 232:22 233:5,12 236:13,18 238:3 242:10 273:24 274:1 275:16,17	279:4,7,22 280:16 283:21 283:23 287:7 288:10,22 289:19 294:19 299:23 304:15 305:4,6,7 308:18,21,21 309:14,15 311:10,10,24 314:8 316:19 323:14,19 369:8,9 371:4 406:19,21 408:4 409:10 409:21 410:2 410:14,17 411:22 414:5,6 414:6 416:8 418:9 420:16 422:23,24 423:15 426:17 426:19 427:6 427:19,20 428:9,19 429:24 430:6 434:19 435:7 435:10,12,14 435:18 437:19 440:8 442:12 447:6,11 454:23 455:9 455:10,14 457:1,21 460:9	460:10,11 461:19,24 464:7 slides 341:9 345:15 408:3 443:17 447:3 447:11,14 455:1,4 slightly 389:11 slipping 243:11 slope 319:21 327:8 slopes 243:10 326:13 351:11 390:9 slow 398:18 sludge 235:12 235:15 238:13 240:14,14,20 240:21 242:15 248:1,3 252:8 252:10 266:11 266:17 290:6,7 290:10,16 291:20 296:18 296:23,23 297:12,14 298:6 342:18 342:19 343:3 343:19,21 344:2,14 356:15,19 357:3	sludgy 234:9 sluice 249:16 313:14 sluiced 275:4,4 427:8 429:1,20 431:12 432:5 433:23 440:1 442:2 444:9 small 241:18 266:15 280:11 429:7 431:4 432:17 433:4 433:10,12 442:15 456:17 456:17 459:2,3 smaller 347:20 442:19 snow 391:19 society 402:16 402:18 software 452:1 soil 228:1 269:14 309:17 312:18 393:15 400:13 436:7,7 436:9,21,22 soils 269:14 451:14 solid 285:2 287:12 290:23 300:6,17 308:4 solids 232:12 232:14 308:3
--	--	---	--

solve 268:23	434:12 435:7	south 222:9,12	specific 269:1,3
solving 269:20	437:7,8,15,24	234:16,17	269:4 274:18
somewhat	438:5,22 440:9	241:15,17	316:22 361:16
389:19	440:10 441:8	250:21 257:19	363:10 376:20
sorry 237:12	442:21 463:19	259:1,5,11	376:21 397:1
245:21 260:6	475:23	261:15,21	397:22 402:9
263:14 286:1	sorts 269:15,24	279:19 281:3,8	405:4 409:15
289:13 305:7	270:22 277:15	281:20 282:1	410:12 411:14
326:4 341:11	297:7 298:8	287:17 291:7	411:14 418:19
381:8 392:23	313:21 320:4	300:22 302:3	418:21 422:6
398:1 403:11	322:21 358:21	321:22 326:13	422:20 423:13
403:13 413:7	sought 321:12	328:10 334:17	426:21 429:12
414:6 415:18	sound 254:19	335:2 342:1	430:4 431:19
427:20 440:17	259:13 261:19	343:11 352:15	432:10 433:15
446:1,2,4	269:4 330:20	397:17 410:3	439:19 443:1
447:11,17	339:3 447:10	417:11 423:4	specifically
455:10 469:16	477:13	427:17 428:6	236:19 261:15
469:18	sounds 254:20	428:18,20,24	279:17 310:13
sort 277:20	259:14 334:23	southeast	334:16 370:17
278:5 295:18	338:20,22	232:20 237:11	379:20 409:20
297:8 308:22	350:24 354:2	237:12 247:18	456:3 461:11
318:22 320:6	359:8 448:19	southern 221:4	471:24
322:23 326:10	477:15	225:16 228:4	specifying
349:12 352:13	source 226:5	234:7 370:17	269:22
355:18 356:13	285:15 286:23	371:16	speculating
389:18 402:8	287:5,20,23,23	southwest	473:15
405:3 408:7,13	288:17,19	231:13 237:7	spell 225:10
409:18 410:10	302:18 358:14	237:10,14,16	227:20 268:7
411:3,5,20	461:9 463:17	spacing 367:24	359:24 401:13
415:4 419:9,12	465:15	396:11	449:12
420:9 422:15	sources 231:11	speak 262:6	spots 410:19
423:8,12	297:4 298:5	specialize	spread 235:24
424:14 429:7	358:15,18	269:1 361:15	spreadsheet
431:5 433:9	422:21,22	402:9	451:24

[springfield - stormwater]

Page 70

springfield 222:18,22	416:19 424:18 428:6 448:22 477:12	289:14,21 319:11 322:15 326:20,24 327:1 421:7	410:24 411:21 412:1 416:1 418:10 419:1 419:16 421:16 422:2
square 238:1	started 234:8,9	statements 229:3,19 363:23 364:9	steps 389:5 399:11 410:15 410:17,19 457:20
ss 478:1	242:1 255:3 258:1,2,3 269:11,12,15 340:6 362:4 375:13 404:20	states 272:9 409:2	stewards 264:21
staff 222:3 360:21 371:10	starting 287:6 354:3 361:20 361:24 376:15 382:13 400:1 407:13 428:18	station 270:10 279:1 281:1 283:2 284:10 292:21 312:15 370:7 397:2 403:9 404:2 423:1 452:16 455:13 463:24 469:6	stewardship 402:18
stand 225:8	starts 445:22	stations 370:14	stipulate 262:23 263:2,5
standard 221:5 221:5 262:18 263:6 264:2 285:1 310:15 387:18 388:19 403:7 463:20 464:2,5,20,22 465:13 466:7	state 227:20 238:16 241:20 241:22 268:7 268:14 293:23 322:11 328:9 328:15 333:11 345:23 359:24 360:7 362:17 370:14 401:13 421:16 449:12 451:1 470:8,10 470:11,15 478:1,5	statistical 368:1 395:6,7 395:21	stop 239:14 250:4 263:15 331:21
standards 241:8 264:6 272:16 349:18 378:8 387:21 388:5,18 408:17 413:20 416:22 417:2 418:4,6 459:14 463:16,18 465:22 466:5	state's 471:8	statistically 299:7	stopped 242:19 373:13 374:4 385:5,22
standing 387:8	statement 231:4,8 242:16 242:20 246:16 288:22 289:9	statistics 440:12 468:21	storage 252:8 274:23 375:2,4 385:18 397:9 442:7
standpipe 248:8	stated 377:19	status 242:3 403:8	store 441:12
standpipes 246:6,18		stay 320:4	stormwater 231:2 261:22 276:3 317:17 324:4,6,9 326:18 355:8 386:8 391:16 391:17 459:24
start 225:5 230:20 251:4 311:8 331:24 399:5 411:20		steam 433:9 steep 351:11 step 225:7 239:22 305:12 378:11 410:20	

[strauss - sure]

Page 71

strauss 222:20	349:9	304:1,1,13	405:3 407:10
street 221:12	subject 269:1	307:3,13,23	409:17 425:13
221:12 343:12	285:5 337:5	308:2,5,5,7,8	431:23 433:14
478:6	346:23 361:16	308:10 465:11	452:3 467:6
stress 225:22	362:19 375:19	465:12	supported
strike 305:11	376:16,22	sulfates 308:2	404:23
337:24	382:12 383:14	sulfur 358:6	suppose 431:1
stringent	402:9 426:9	summarize	supposed
381:10	427:12 429:10	298:11 442:8	394:18 446:2
strip 390:22	430:3 446:20	465:24	sure 232:15
393:15	subjected	summarized	243:9,10
stripping 391:3	295:18	314:3 440:8	245:22 246:12
392:20 393:10	subjecting	summary	260:22 262:10
strips 244:24	290:22	230:16 270:9	262:14 267:18
245:1,10,24	submit 244:6,9	308:17 424:19	280:5 285:7,10
246:2,7,13,21	244:11	437:20 447:22	316:4 326:6
249:9,16	submitted	455:6 461:13	331:3 332:3
327:11,17	244:2 267:6	461:15,20	333:9 334:1
330:1,6,19	382:5 395:9	summer 257:12	337:17 340:7
331:7,9 350:17	submitting	summertime	341:5,9 342:5
structural	228:14,15	236:7	350:10 351:21
344:19 345:2	subsequent	superfund	366:21 367:17
structure	405:1 409:1	408:24	382:3,21
274:21 280:24	410:19 430:13	supervision	384:10 393:8
377:2	subsequently	371:9	399:13 400:6
structures	335:17 451:10	supplemental	401:17 404:19
324:11,14	sufficient	224:5	405:16 407:17
studies 401:19	413:22	support 224:7	412:11 414:4
470:12	sufficiently	228:17 229:10	422:15 423:14
studying	331:21	270:1 351:16	424:20 428:1,7
280:20	suggest 359:8	363:1,12,17	428:13,22
stuff 234:5,6,10	suite 222:9,12	364:8 394:7	429:19 431:12
234:11 242:6	sulfate 303:8	397:3 402:22	435:22 442:11
267:6 347:14	303:16,21	403:7 404:23	445:16 447:8

[sure - systems]

Page 72

449:13,17	373:12 374:15	451:10,11,13	335:17
450:3,15 453:5	374:17,20,24	451:19 454:9,9	susceptible
455:14,23	375:2 376:24	458:9 466:11	470:13
456:14,24	377:3,6,9	466:14 467:1,2	suspended
457:24 458:24	381:22 383:4	467:7 472:10	231:23
460:24 461:15	385:18 386:3	surprising	sustainability
462:20 463:11	386:24 392:15	308:6	458:12 467:23
466:2,12,17	392:22 393:12	surrounding	468:1 472:14
467:12,24	393:20 404:17	460:20 469:6	473:12
468:16 469:7	404:18 405:8	survey 259:2	swear 227:13
470:8 471:2,24	406:8 412:14	259:16,24	268:1 359:18
474:6	412:24 413:5,6	260:14,18	449:6
surface 244:17	414:7,9,18	262:3 279:10	swimming
262:12 272:5,8	415:8 418:6	280:17,21	413:1,2 423:24
272:11,18	419:8 420:20	281:2,6,10,14	switch 323:13
273:11 274:16	420:22 424:3	281:18,24	sworn 227:14
274:16,24	425:18,22	282:3,6,11,13	227:15 268:2,3
275:6,10,15,18	426:3,9 427:4	282:15 283:7	359:19,20
276:8,10	427:5,13	283:12,18	401:8,9 449:7
280:20,24	428:21 429:8	284:6 332:5	449:8
311:18,21	429:17 430:10	334:7,11,14,15	system 275:4
312:7,9,24	431:11,17,21	335:8 336:16	338:9 365:18
313:3,8,13	432:2,9,22	340:18 352:14	380:5,11
315:5,9,24	433:21,24	352:16 354:13	381:13 395:3
316:3 318:19	434:2,22	356:6 430:23	396:14,19
327:9 332:21	435:24 436:2	surveyed 259:5	399:4,14,16
333:5,11	436:12,18,20	259:6 281:9	400:2 457:7
336:22 344:23	437:1,6,9,11,21	334:18,20	systems 297:1
347:7,13,16	437:23 439:9	335:1,2 352:19	297:16 337:20
354:22 355:13	439:10,14,24	352:21	362:10 365:13
356:10 364:13	441:7,11	surveying	367:21 396:2
364:17,18	442:23 443:23	371:20	400:4 450:9
365:9 368:18	450:8,14,19,22	surveys 259:15	451:16
372:22 373:10	450:24 451:4	261:16 335:13	

[t - tertiary]

Page 73

t	292:7 299:3	290:19 311:8	tells 282:19
t 224:1 360:2	308:14 310:6	315:15 329:24	287:4 465:14
449:14,14	329:14 332:18	334:17 360:16	temporal
table 282:16	337:13 345:11	371:6 372:20	327:21 328:1
287:14 294:20	350:20 359:11	378:12 386:15	330:10,12
301:2 302:1	371:7 399:12	392:9 393:9	395:20
309:21 336:16	401:4 448:20	431:21 456:22	ten 329:13
339:8,9 341:7	473:9 474:8	473:4	458:3
341:10,11,12	478:8	talks 275:17	tend 357:17
341:14 342:3	talk 231:10	345:18	tens 276:10
447:2,22 448:1	250:9 267:10	tandem 315:21	284:8,8 314:17
464:3	274:15 275:20	tasks 395:16	315:11,12,16
tables 345:13	278:19 279:3	tds 307:5,6,7,8	316:1,5,10
447:6	280:14 301:18	307:13,23	339:20 355:15
tacky 257:18	322:7 323:14	308:7,9,10	term 275:8,11
257:19	332:20 336:14	team 404:8	276:3 277:4
take 257:9,10	340:8 341:7	450:4 452:19	311:12,17,20
267:16,17,19	344:17 392:8	452:20	313:3,10 423:8
287:2 300:11	407:12 409:20	teams 268:22	423:9 425:17
305:11 314:23	424:16 432:20	technical 222:4	425:21 426:2,6
315:17 324:10	457:19 461:10	385:4 388:19	426:8 467:14
329:12 331:2	461:10,22	technique	469:8,14,20
339:19 352:7	talked 251:17	280:18	terminated
359:8,9 390:2	255:12 256:23	technology	374:23
399:23 400:17	257:19 265:21	449:19	terms 310:15
401:1 416:13	299:13 311:3	tell 285:11	353:7 354:11
443:12 445:21	316:13 328:23	286:18,23	408:14 437:7
448:17 468:9	328:24 347:12	287:20 301:13	439:2,3
468:12	355:17 357:20	302:15,24	terrestrial
taken 234:6	377:16 385:2	305:18 306:7	436:22
240:3,5 255:10	393:10 397:4	327:2 335:20	terribly 308:6
255:14,19	445:7 459:9	338:6 372:14	terrific 443:15
267:20 291:10	talking 232:13	385:19	tertiary 312:4
	265:9,9 275:10		314:9 442:3

444:16	testifying	306:24 309:16	449:3 450:13
test 240:8,10	250:18 362:17	340:8,16	452:9 453:21
250:8 265:24	testimony	378:24 459:8,8	454:21 460:2
277:20 278:3	229:23 230:4,9	465:6,7	465:20 466:8
278:11 279:14	230:21 236:21	thallium	469:22 474:7
284:24 299:20	247:16 250:23	304:23 417:9	474:13,15,16
300:3,4,7,8,14	263:19 273:18	417:13	474:17 476:4
300:19 301:20	273:24 274:5	thank 225:13	476:22 477:15
305:24 306:4	283:1 297:18	227:5,7,8,10,23	477:18
307:10,10	297:24 311:3	253:3 261:1	thanks 290:18
309:3 334:4	327:13,22	263:9 267:12	308:20 350:13
344:17 349:13	329:24 330:2,4	267:13,14,23	406:18
350:21 357:16	330:9 331:17	272:23 273:23	that'd 262:9
463:12	331:18 332:1	280:13 285:9	theme 428:23
tested 240:7	332:22 333:24	292:23 298:10	thick 284:8
241:7 258:13	336:23 337:3	300:1 305:8,23	thickness
264:1,5 265:20	338:12 342:16	310:23 316:23	280:19 282:19
265:21 266:6	344:7 355:21	322:10 326:5,8	282:22,23
298:14 340:20	357:5 358:4	329:10,18,21	283:11,17
459:12	367:15 369:3,8	329:22 331:24	284:2,5,17
testified 227:16	369:13 406:13	333:14 336:10	336:15,18
231:1,6 238:4	406:20 407:1	341:16 342:11	338:3 372:6
238:16 242:13	428:15 444:22	346:8 348:18	thing 261:12
242:18 254:5	454:16,23	358:17,23,24	282:18 388:6
264:4 268:4	testing 241:1	359:5,6,15	445:17,19
327:11 340:23	250:6 265:23	360:4 361:15	465:5
353:24 355:20	265:23 266:2	365:21 370:6	things 227:3
359:21 361:18	277:22 278:8	376:21 400:14	269:8,15,24
375:8 401:10	340:10,12,14	401:1,3 403:14	270:23 276:19
430:12 449:9	349:16	404:15 405:9	277:7,16
475:14	tests 300:5	405:23 416:1	286:24 296:5
testify 246:11	301:19,23	424:16 443:9	296:10,11,12
260:11 263:8	302:6 304:17	444:21 448:14	298:9 308:1
292:19	305:10,12	448:15,16	313:21,22

[things - took]

Page 75

314:1 320:4	387:22 388:6	threshold	440:3 445:21
325:20 355:7	389:14 398:15	285:20,20	446:1 454:6
360:24 362:12	405:13 411:21	286:2 287:11	464:12
373:6 376:5,6	419:6 420:14	312:1,2 419:19	times 245:8
388:21,23	420:16 430:11	thrown 392:1	246:1 256:24
391:24 393:3,6	433:5 434:14	thumbs 239:21	320:11 370:21
394:4 400:7	434:18 436:21	tight 227:2	433:11 450:12
418:16 438:20	442:4 443:13	time 233:13	title 230:3
think 240:6	447:5,6,8	239:1,18,20	273:24 369:8
255:13 267:9	449:1 455:18	242:1,22 243:2	406:19 454:23
275:24 282:2	459:9,17 460:9	246:18 249:24	titled 452:23
282:18 286:6	460:18 463:21	256:3 257:11	455:11 464:7
286:17 289:13	465:3,5,16	258:3 264:8	today 229:23
289:14 291:20	472:11 475:10	279:16 282:11	258:10 273:18
292:4,18,19	thinking 280:7	284:16 289:11	274:5 276:23
296:21 297:21	third 225:5	294:1 308:14	330:10 357:5
298:1,1 301:18	227:9 380:16	310:6 314:17	357:20 369:3
312:19 313:10	thoroughness	315:21 316:10	369:14 406:13
315:11,14,18	339:11 340:21	320:14 327:23	407:2 454:16
315:23 317:13	thought 260:7	329:9,21 330:2	476:23
328:23 329:4	347:11 392:21	330:7,23 331:7	today's 226:5
330:2 332:22	thoughts	331:16 338:7	todd 230:22
335:6,22	271:16	349:23 353:13	together 277:9
336:24 338:20	thousands	354:11,12	315:21 351:2
341:7 342:16	315:16	355:4,10	438:12
342:23 343:3,4	three 226:14	362:13 365:1	tomorrow
344:7 350:5	240:6 252:5	373:13 383:4	477:12,18
352:11,22,22	254:24 263:20	390:2 395:12	ton 254:4 255:6
354:10 355:14	263:23,23	396:5,15,19,21	256:1,2,11
356:13 357:7	284:1 292:4	397:5,21,24	265:18 315:19
357:20 362:4	296:1 330:6	398:22 430:16	tons 315:21
372:20 376:3	346:21 366:18	430:18 432:16	took 239:12
376:12,12	416:18 433:6	433:2,3,8,12	240:9 257:10
380:15 387:10		434:14,16	348:1 383:10

[took - typical]

Page 76

410:15 457:20 457:22 top 235:8 244:21 245:24 247:3,4,9 249:9 330:1,19 346:9,16 368:16 370:24 382:2 443:22 445:8 topic 451:15 topics 235:18 291:13 311:9 topographic 349:3 topsoil 390:22 391:4 392:20 393:10 457:17 total 295:6 430:14 touched 240:13 356:13 tough 399:19 towards 234:17 325:8,11 326:1 326:4,16 390:16 405:15 421:13 toxicology 401:20 402:5,8 402:11 trace 303:22,23 304:21,21,21 305:17 306:5	309:5 349:14 tradition 434:1 traffic 469:11 transcribed 478:9 transcript 478:10 transport 450:7,21 454:8 469:3 transportation 468:19 treated 322:12 372:4 treating 385:21 treatment 274:23 322:23 trend 287:3 288:17,18 290:14 tried 364:21 378:10 truck 265:17 315:18 347:22 469:2,10 trucked 253:23 trucking 254:6 254:7 472:20 truckloads 265:7 314:23 315:7,16 316:12,13 339:19 347:13 356:2	trucks 253:22 254:1,3,22,24 255:6,24 256:1 256:11 265:12 265:13,14,16 315:19,21 339:20 467:20 468:12,20 true 229:4,20 230:8 273:4 274:4 289:21 364:1,10 369:12 405:24 406:24 448:4 453:24 473:21 473:23 478:10 truthfully 384:19 try 257:11 320:4,4 397:19 475:23 trying 255:23 265:14 374:21 427:1 439:22 turn 279:4 305:4 310:24 323:14 399:23 405:9 428:6 453:21 turning 278:18 410:14 437:19 twice 239:18 470:10,11	two 221:9 226:14 231:23 240:7 243:1 249:14,17 251:18 263:23 265:23 281:15 284:9 287:1,11 303:2 332:9 345:2,7 349:20 360:3 363:3 376:13 390:4 396:12 402:24 416:17 417:3 433:6 444:4 456:7 458:3 474:4 type 243:16 255:6 257:13 265:17 285:3 299:19 340:10 347:5 361:1,7 365:3 370:14 372:15 379:9 382:19 400:12 409:4 439:5 441:11 453:16 types 265:23 283:14 362:14 365:19 394:24 433:13 434:9 437:1,11 473:17 typical 284:1 285:17 286:4
--	--	---	---

315:8 333:4,13 347:12,13 391:9 437:17 438:5 439:5 441:6,11 typically 226:23 245:5 274:24 276:8 285:22 318:21 346:18 408:18 465:7	uncommon 276:10 337:21 337:24 382:18 under 271:1,2 272:1 297:1 318:22 361:12 364:14,16,19 364:20,21,23 365:2 366:10 366:18 367:19 371:9,13 373:12,18 374:22 375:13 375:16 376:5 376:15,17 380:16 381:3,9 381:9 386:21 387:24 388:1,4 388:6,15,21 389:1,12,14 394:20 396:2 396:24 404:12 404:13 408:14 431:7 452:19 452:21 462:17 462:20 463:1 470:3,3,4 471:12,13 undergraduate 449:19 underlie 377:7 underlying 381:5,21,22 463:20 466:7	underneath 250:7 384:17 385:8,9,10 444:1 understand 229:22 273:17 291:9 327:6 334:13 369:2 393:16 406:12 410:22 426:6 433:7 442:3 454:15 460:7 460:16,23 461:7 463:17 476:7 understanding 242:1 259:19 260:24 291:18 292:13,14,22 301:11 311:20 323:24 324:2 325:22,23 326:10 328:12 330:8,10,15 338:17 343:1 343:19,21 344:9 347:4 383:7 384:3 394:22 423:8 426:14 439:8 460:13 unexpected 226:5	unique 231:18 232:2,8 458:24 459:24 460:1 unit 222:4 238:23 243:16 247:9 261:3,10 265:3 269:23 278:13 280:22 291:10,17,18 291:18 292:10 292:16,18,24 293:3,24 294:1 294:4,7,13,14 310:15 318:21 320:8,16 321:14 323:10 346:23 366:1 374:9 376:22 386:11 387:12 426:11 431:10 432:1 433:2 442:1 444:22 444:24 446:20 459:3 united 272:9 units 250:12 277:21 278:19 279:17 281:1 282:13 284:5 292:5 297:4 300:24 301:19 301:23 303:19 306:16 307:1,2 307:12 314:24
u			
u 225:12 227:22 uh 416:7 428:10 441:21 446:13,18 ultimate 389:18 473:21 473:22 ultimately 225:24 346:22 446:19 unable 281:9 unacceptable 423:21,23,24 unburned 285:18 286:4 289:1,15 296:4 uncertainties 411:19 uncertainty 388:9			

317:4 318:10 318:12 337:4 346:17 353:17 374:6 379:9 395:11 396:1 397:5 399:1 409:24 424:21 424:24 425:8 427:3,12 428:6 432:13 433:19 433:20 434:9 441:5 442:9,10 443:3,4 university 268:12,14 401:18,20 449:21 unnecessary 422:3,4 unreasonable 339:3 unsafe 351:12 351:23 352:2 unstable 351:15 updates 363:19 upfront 365:16 upgradient 232:8 413:17 465:2,18 upper 233:10 upset 245:4 320:23	upsets 245:2 upwards 325:13 usable 242:4 usafacts 226:12 usage 279:9 use 246:3 249:20,21 269:17 276:21 277:24 278:5 279:6,8 280:18 292:9 299:17 300:5 302:17 302:17 319:23 320:1,4,15 321:3,6,7,7,8 321:11,14,14 330:3,12,14 335:20 348:20 349:6 389:15 391:21 411:6,8 418:17 420:8 423:19 used 238:4,11 240:10 241:19 245:1,24 247:20,21,21 247:23 248:2 260:14 275:8 277:3 278:7 285:20 311:17 311:23 313:3 313:14 317:16 322:20,22	330:16 331:15 334:8 335:7 353:4,10 358:6 358:7 371:16 371:17 372:12 377:3 385:19 408:6 409:12 413:14 419:5,9 419:16,19 423:11,22 424:21,22 429:12 442:4,7 455:7 458:3 459:23 466:20 466:23 467:5,6 470:20 usefulness 294:15 304:5 308:22 usepa 224:7 311:22 312:20 313:1,2 314:8 345:15 346:2 388:10 408:20 426:16 434:10 435:1,21 439:9 443:22 446:14 467:5 usepa's 434:17 434:20 437:21 users 423:21 471:4 uses 323:4 358:22	using 279:14 291:6,16 292:24 300:18 302:6 307:21 311:12 320:5 354:14 374:24 419:1 420:1 422:10,15 423:22,23 426:8 457:15 468:1 usually 257:10 276:22 295:18 318:23 348:22 348:23 utilize 277:16 utilized 248:9 313:19 utilizing 276:16 287:10
v			
v 268:8 valleys 387:3,5 value 282:22 341:2 458:21 471:1,4 values 283:6 308:10 353:2 variable 298:15 298:15 variations 395:20			

[variety - water]

Page 79

variety 256:11	visible 247:4	423:14 428:22	269:23 273:12
various 272:8	visited 297:22	441:14 456:21	362:11 375:5,6
336:22 340:8	370:19 371:19	walking 428:5	375:6 377:1,4
361:12 365:3	visual 258:9,11	wallace 268:12	383:23,23
367:18 371:10	visually 266:9	want 239:12	384:1 392:1,3
371:17 451:24	visuals 319:23	247:14 250:9	wastewater
471:15 476:14	vital 442:16	250:24 256:10	436:20
vary 276:9	vn 366:10	258:17 263:2	water 231:3,7
vast 302:13	370:17 378:7,7	290:18 304:22	231:11,19,21
430:2	378:12,12,15	310:13 314:1	231:23,24
vegetation	380:1 383:10	320:12,23	232:6 238:17
232:15 236:5	384:8 385:4	322:18 329:23	238:24 241:23
240:2,16 251:7	volume 252:3	331:2,24	242:8,9 244:20
version 280:11	252:15,17	332:20 333:23	245:15 246:2
404:21	259:7,9 276:7	336:14 340:8	246:20,23
versus 288:23	280:22 284:12	344:17 345:14	247:4 248:11
288:24 289:23	284:13 321:17	346:14 350:2	248:13 249:9
289:23 387:11	321:18 328:8	352:12 388:3	249:13,23
388:15,18	328:10 341:16	389:22 400:17	250:3 255:2
389:2	349:9 353:8	400:18 409:4	257:4,6 258:24
vertical 390:9	356:4,4,5	411:3 416:13	259:7,9 261:4
391:5	440:20,24	417:13 435:9	261:5,6,9,11,16
vertically	441:8,15	435:10,11	261:17 269:14
396:11	volumes 337:11	437:13,13	280:20,24
view 297:13	356:8 376:4	439:6 445:14	281:12 282:10
347:8 385:11	440:22	446:5 447:4	300:11 303:6
390:10,11,11	w	448:23 474:20	304:9 306:21
violation	w 401:14	wanted 308:2	308:5 317:16
378:15,15,16	wacker 222:9	375:23 443:21	317:24 318:3
378:20,21	222:12	wants 262:23	319:14,14
violations	walk 230:18	warranted	322:12 323:6,9
379:5,6	372:13 408:2	434:24	324:12,14,16
virtue 424:3,10	410:13 423:12	waste 241:20	325:1,4,12,24
		242:19 269:7	325:24 326:12

[water - word]

Page 80

326:16,18	way 237:8	368:21,24	444:16
327:3 328:1	260:19 269:6	400:17,21,24	whereof 478:12
330:3 335:12	275:15 283:16	401:3,5 406:10	white 371:24
335:16 337:20	293:1,5,13	443:11,15	397:10 451:15
343:23 365:1	297:10 318:8	448:11,17,21	451:15 453:18
375:4,4 377:3	324:18 328:1	449:5 454:13	wind 436:6,6
385:6,21 387:5	332:22 387:16	474:6,9,13,18	windows
387:8 391:19	389:10 392:2	474:22 475:2,5	433:12
398:10,14	394:5 425:10	475:18,21	winter 249:19
412:14,23,24	440:22 441:22	476:1,7,10,17	witness 225:5
413:5,6,8	ways 319:10	476:22 477:3,6	227:9,14
414:1,7,9,18	435:5 439:18	477:9,17	260:11,24
415:4,8 418:6	451:24	weeks 355:23	267:10,14,16
419:8 420:2,20	we've 243:1	356:1 433:7	267:22 268:1,2
420:22 421:9	245:22 250:20	wells 307:18,21	336:5 359:6,14
421:14 423:17	311:12 317:14	365:18 367:24	359:18,19
423:19,23	345:21 379:20	380:24 394:15	400:16,23
424:3 434:13	398:24 430:16	395:1 396:11	401:6,8 445:8
435:23,24	434:18	396:12 397:15	445:11 448:14
436:1,2,11,12	wear 268:18	413:15,19,22	448:16,18,23
436:18 437:12	weather 245:5	413:24 416:20	448:24 449:2,6
442:5 450:8,22	400:11	417:4,8,8,23	449:7 450:11
451:4,10,11,19	webb 221:12	418:1 447:23	454:14 474:11
454:9,10 457:4	225:3 227:7,12	448:6 460:20	474:15,17
457:8 458:9	228:21 260:13	464:1,10,15	477:10,11
459:21 466:11	260:20,23	465:2,22	478:12
466:14 467:1,2	266:21 267:13	wendell 230:22	witnesses 223:6
467:7 472:10	267:15,18,21	went 240:21	260:4 292:19
watson 230:22	267:24 273:13	340:9 365:1,3	375:8 474:24
231:1 238:15	329:12,15	401:19 427:22	word 269:17
242:13	336:7 348:10	west 247:8	275:24 333:1,2
waugh 221:22	349:24 350:7	wet 257:16	333:4,13 335:7
478:4,16	350:10 358:11	275:5,7 323:16	335:20 356:24
	359:1,4,7,12,17	427:10 434:13	389:16 391:21

[word - zero]

Page 81

444:24 445:4 words 311:23 339:12 work 226:7 257:13 269:9 270:9,11,24 271:2,4,15,16 271:23,24 272:4,10 293:10 307:17 311:6,7 317:13 322:2 328:21 332:1 360:13 361:1,3,7,9,12 361:12,20,24 363:10 364:12 365:6,9,10,22 366:3,4,5 367:6,6,9,13 381:7 404:9,11 404:14,16 405:6 450:13 450:16,18 452:18,20 459:12 467:16 468:18 worked 272:6 272:17 315:4 315:13 362:14 364:24 365:3,6 366:15,24 367:3 403:6 404:9,19 451:8 452:21	worker 458:13 468:15 472:23 workers 468:17 473:11 working 226:4 251:14 344:12 360:19 362:16 364:20 464:24 works 232:10 world 450:6 worries 280:11 403:14 worst 437:13 worth 465:5 wrap 298:4 write 259:24 written 322:17 389:10 wrote 260:16 384:9	279:7 280:12 292:1 297:6,21 298:7,22 301:1 316:2 325:22 326:6,13 332:14 333:9 334:23 337:15 342:8 346:13 348:22 352:1 352:18,22 353:22 362:5 362:16 365:15 370:2,5,5 372:12 375:18 380:10,15 381:9 384:13 387:22,22 389:3,14 391:23 392:20 396:17 398:3,6 398:19,21 399:13 402:11 407:15 410:17 412:5,6 415:18 416:11 417:14 420:16,18 423:2 435:20 437:22 439:3,4 444:20 447:20 451:8 464:4 470:1 year 245:8 246:1,24 354:16,18,19	355:5,6 376:13 395:19,20 399:3,6,9 years 225:15,15 262:5 292:7,7 307:19,19,19 315:17 330:24 336:21 344:13 362:16 374:14 402:16 405:2 450:16 yellow 280:1,10 280:12 yep 237:18 405:18 443:24 445:23 yesterday 230:22 246:9 247:16 250:10 250:17,19 274:19 337:4 357:5 389:24
	x		z
	x 223:1 224:1		
	y		zero 329:5,7
	y 225:13 yard 234:5,22 237:3 255:3 yards 328:19 459:5 yeah 241:3 253:1,20 255:7 256:19 259:21 263:5 269:3 273:15 278:1		